Conclusion and discussion

The pilot was to collect qualitative data and covered 12 semi-structured interviews with experts and users of entrepreneurial philanthropy. Systematic analysis of these observations gives an indication which of the indicators may influence the alignment maturity between SEs and PHs. It was suggested that a theoretical framework of entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment can be demanded as a step towards an operational conceptual framework, which contains a selection of 15 indicators. Consequently, the main focus of the research agenda is to propose a new approach for the quantitative assessment of entrepreneurial philanthropy and to illustrate this approach by evaluating a commonly-used management scales. The developed conceptual framework may provide a distinctive route for both SEs and PHs to categorise alignment and to examine methodically their possible evolution.

Alignment between SEs and PHs is an important and expanding phenomenon that merits further study. There is a need for quantitative research for its discriminant and convergent validity, to create an empirical database with the critical language differences of such alignments. This will deepen the understanding of entrepreneurial philanthropy dynamics, particularly it’s drivers and enablers. Finally, focused attention on the working of alignment maturity when the two worlds meet, could bring forward its development to solve wicked problems in society.

1 Abstract

Partnerships are increasingly considered to have the potential to address societal problems that one single actor cannot solve. This paper rationalizes the development of partnerships between philanthropreneurs and senior executives of non-profit organisations by the effects of its alignment. In business organisations, the process of alignment focuses on the activities that management perform to achieve cohesive goals (e.g., finance, marketing, sales, human resources). Whereas in an entrepreneurial philanthropy partnership (EPP), the stage of alignment addresses both how the opted societal change is in harmony with the entrepreneurial approach and how this approach can be in harmony with societal change. This approach is deemed crucial in understanding how the two partners involved can translate their views on leadership, strategy, and culture into opportunities that enhance their impact. Theoretical research have provided foundations for identifying dimensions of a theoretical entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment model that may strengthen the impact of a partnership between an entrepreneur and a non-profit organisation.

2 Introduction

The importance of the concept of alignment has been acknowledged and documented since the late 1970’s (McLean & Soden, 1977). Over time, such focus has grown in importance as companies strive to link the continuously evolving technologies and businesses in light of dynamic organisational strategies (Luftman, 1996). Although alignment is often discussed in management literature, it is rarely explained in the dynamism of partnerships between philanthropreneurs (PHs) and senior executives (SEs) of non-profits. The theory of alignment in this paper addresses the extent to which the corporate values of leadership, strategy, and culture can create an entrepreneurial philanthropic environment that facilitates the achievement of societal change. Alignment can be defined as the degree to which the individual members of the strategic partnership are motivated to behave according to the defined partnership goals (Beer, 2009). To illustrate the strategic relevance of this effect, it might be helpful to use alignment as a measure of the partnership goals. Alignment is expected to require planning, a willingness to reassess and make adjustments regularly, and a workforce that feels involved and responsible for the partnership achieving its goals. These outcomes can be the result of an intense partnership dialogue, or sometimes more simply, the result of joint skills, visions, and gains. The findings of the research gave direction for the development of a theoretical entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment model that may help the involved partners to remove barriers of impeding cooperation, and thereby increases the performance of individuals, processes, and the partnership organisation as a whole to create a greater philanthropic impact.
3 Decoding Entrepreneurial Philanthropy Partnerships

As a form of philanthropy, entrepreneurial philanthropy is not new. However, the concept is evolving. The 21st century is likely to be an age of accelerated strategic partnerships between non-profit organisations and entrepreneurial philanthropists (Shaw et al., 2013). A working definition of strategic partnership has been posed by Brinkerhoff (2002, p. 22) as ‘a dynamic relationship among diverse actors, based on mutually agreed objectives, pursued through a shared understanding of the most rational division of labour based on the respective comparative advantages of each partner’. The PHs have established these strategic partnerships with SEs to define ambitions to achieve greater impact on a scale proportionate to wicked problems in society (Rath & Schuyt, 2014). These EPPs bring expertise from the business sector in their approaches to problem solving, operating models, and theories of change. Rather than focusing on the traditional grant-giver or grantee relationship, the PH takes a more dynamic and hands-on approach by providing capacity building and developing management expertise in the EPP with the non-profit organisation they support (Frumkin, 2003).

The EPPs are emerging because PHs are seeking new forms of engagement with their (local) communities and are increasingly re-examining their philanthropic practices. Matching a non-profit’s vision with the skills and funding of a PH can be a powerful combination. Even so, PHs must acquire legitimacy to be regarded by others in the field of philanthropy. It has been suggested that various forms of capital are heavily intertwined in the process of entrepreneurial philanthropy. Importantly, this approach is not just about supporting the most effective and trustworthy non-profit organisation, but rather it is a partnership that constantly seeks alignment to build intended impact from informed understanding. Based on the exploratory definition of entrepreneurial philanthropy (Rath & Schuyt, 2014) and further research of the phenomenon partnership (Rath & Schuyt, 2015), an exploratory definition of Entrepreneurial Philanthropy Partnership (EPP) has been developed:

The search performed by philanthropreneurs (and their companies) to create an aligned partnership with a senior executive of a non-profit organisation to achieve greater philanthropic impact, contributing business principles such as tailored investment, human networks, knowledge transfer, applied materials and/or time capacity, therefore acquiring economic and/or symbolic returns. This exploratory definition will be used to further research of a conceptual framework of entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment.

Frequently, when PHs and SEs of non-profit organisations wish to build an EPP to realise a significant impact on civil society, a certain lack of alignment can appear as a type of ‘Tower of Babel’ confusion (Moody, 2008). This issue may affect the mutual expectations on the envisioned partnership, which only partially comes to fruition. In the worst case, the project, despite everyone’s good intentions, does not commence at all. Theoretical research into the strategic planning process of companies and non-profit organisations has yielded insight into the business aspects that contribute during the alignment process aimed at establishing EPPs. Those aspects have been subdivided into the domains of: leadership, strategy, and culture (Vicere, 2010). Using Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) (Kaplan & Norton, 2006; Parmenter, 2010), 25 theoretical indicators in these three domains have been implicated in building and organising a strategic partnership. Following qualitative research among five PHs and seven SEs of non-profit organisations, 15 indicators (Figure 5.1) were found to be significant for a conceptual framework of entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment and therefore selected for further research (Rath & Schuyt, 2015).
The hypothesis posed in this paper is that the degree of alignment in leadership, strategy and culture will affect the partnership between a PH and an SE. The American term ‘alignment’ relates to the negotiation process (synchronisation) between organisations to realise effective partnerships. The importance of alignment is increasingly recognised and has been empirically found to have positive effects on organisational partnership performance (Delery & Doty, 1996). Alignment may refer to two aspects. First, it can relate to ‘the act of aligning’ or the process of negotiation, convergence, connection, and realising commitment. Secondly, alignment may refer to ‘the state of being aligned’, which refers to the state of optimum convergence, connection, and commitment. In this paper, alignment is defined as the sense of the alignment process between the direct partners building towards a potential partnership (Lachotzki & Noteboom, 2005).

Alignment in entrepreneurial philanthropy refers to the convergence process between PHs and SEs, as well as to the problem of matching business principles offered by PHs with the requirements of the SEs. The theoretical exploration of alignment may lead to a road map that both further develops the convergence process, and details the effectiveness of the methods and techniques that can be used to achieve a state of alignment. This concept has been regarded as a means towards achieving alignment, which implies a mutually beneficial partnership (MacKechnie, 2010). The added value produced by such an EPP is expressed as a more effective and efficient approach to philanthropic issues with both partners actively striving to contribute to the discovery and realisation of a greater impact in society.
4 Theoretical exploration of alignment in EPPs

In recent years, the competitive advantage of corporate organisational performance that contributes positively to business partnerships has received attention both in international management theory and in practice (Holtbrügge, 2004). Partnerships are increasingly understood as pieces of a larger puzzle, rather than as comprehensive, stand-alone approaches. In addition, the interest in partnerships between businesses and non-profit organisations is increasing and becoming more strategically important (Austin, 2000). However, non-profits do not always feel comfortable with an entrepreneurial approach, which may lead to confusion, and unfortunately resulting in the suspension or cancellation of partnerships (Moody, 2008). Therefore, it is important that each party synchronises competitive strategies with existing strategies for engagement to build and sustain partnerships. In addition, EPPs that aim to establish alignment maturity in the domains of leadership, strategy, and culture are more capable of producing a mutual profitable partnership performance (Rath & Schuyt, 2015).

While these domains are understood, and generally considered as common sense, the difficulties lies in trying to operationalise and implement this approach to create effective partnership skills, which are crucial in all disciplines. Thus, a theoretical framework proposed in this paper is based on the development of alignment in partnerships and aims to further understand behavioural and social dynamics in this context. Alignment in partnerships is widely believed to improve synergistically of performance. However, achieving alignment remains an on-going challenge and concern for management and the biggest challenge for executives is to ensure that the organisation makes the correct choices (Papp, 1995).

The objective of developing a conceptual framework of entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment is to create a roadmap for achieving the establishment of an EPP and additionally make a contribution to define what domains and indicators within the domains matters most to alignment. This goal requires an effective planning process, a willingness to reassess and make adjustments regularly, and a workforce that feels involved and responsible for the organisation achieving its partnership (Chorn, 1991). The question that drives alignment in an EPP is not easily answered. Therefore, it is logical to more closely explore the three selected domains of the framework through established research theories.

4.1 Domain Leadership: the linking path

Within a societal context, an organisation aims to achieve their ambitious objective using an influence relationship among leaders and followers who intend real changes that reflect their mutual purposes (Rost, 1991). From a dynamic perspective, there is generally a healthy form of tension between embracing the personal core values and the ability to realise the formulated ambitions. The leader of an organisation typically wants to continuously measure and manage the alignment between the elected strategy and its execution. Leadership occurs within the context of core values, it guides and facilitates to make a positive difference, and contributes to a larger good. In practice, however, motivational sessions, visits, or reviews have been insufficient means to engender a high degree of understanding of leadership within the organisation and to ensure change is supported and carried out. From a more ideological perspective, leadership entails issues that envision a certain impact on society. As there are no easy solutions, these issues often result in a public debate due to the worldview or values in question. These factors complicate the organisation’s ambitions, where the societal context is reflected in factions or interest groups (e.g. social, cultural, or environmental).

Leadership, after all, is handling issues set in a social matrix of heterogeneous institutions and their relationship with transparency.
and accountability. Leadership instruments such as policy, chain and network management, and ambiguity management are crucial tools in the process of alignment. By focusing on what leaders believe and value, and then positively building on this understanding, the potential for impact is far more reaching than when leadership is approached as a problem-solving activity. The key insight from Lachotzki and Noteboom, (2005) is that leadership primarily contributes to partnerships’ success and their structural gains. The control strategy implementation of leadership has shifted the focus from structured safeguards to driven interaction. This shift in focus has been achieved by leading with a strategic ‘pull’ and an operational ‘push’, which requires a permanent ‘corporate dialogue’ between all levels of the organisation so the strategic convergence of the organisation can be constantly measured and managed. The dialogue can only be implemented in a structured and consistent manner using specially designed communication infrastructure, also known as the Executive Dialogue Centre (EDC). This EDC is an internet portal that has been developed to function as a virtual office to facilitate leadership interaction between management, staff, and other stakeholders. The portal also allows management to steer their decision-making process and simultaneously manage the processes of the company.

In addition, this tool allows alignment to be managed by developing delineated perspectives in which employees can participate and grow in an environment of accountability and freedom. Within this scenario, the leadership process is defined by four key factors, which are: clear intention, robust objectives, effective interaction, and resource support. These factors may help define the alignment problems of an organisation and can, for those organisations that desire this outcome, locate and evaluate the obstacles in the alignment process and continuously create results that exceed the expectations of stakeholders.

4.2 Domain Strategy: the guiding path
The alignment strategy as discussed in this paper which is based on the Balanced Scorecard principle (Kaplan & Norton, 1992), defines what matters most to partnerships and then create a road map to achieving the partnership purpose. The objective of the balance scorecard is to align everyone and everything in organisations with the elected strategy, what requires planning, a willingness to reassess, make adjustments regularly of the PH and SE that feels involved and responsible for the establishment of the partnership. If they are not honest in their assessment of the partnership, the alignment is flawed from the beginning. It has been noted that the partnership finds the right strategy and then communicates and pursues it in a way that is concrete, relevant, and meaningful to both partners (McAlister & Ferrell, 2002). Within this approach, both partners should align the goals and gains correctly to engender a high degree of commitment among their staff to reach their goals and gains. Additionally, the organisation should be motivated and trained to support the cohesive execution of the strategy, also allowing it to change as the partnership evolves. Partnerships have members who operate in different capacities, functioning in different departments. What one person does in one department affects the activities of others in the same or different departments. The strategy guides everyone’s activities so the organisation as a whole works toward the same goals using prescribed processes, so they spend their time on activities that promote objectives instead of focusing exclusively on their own goals. Members of the organisation also become more mindful of using other resources to accomplish their partnership goals. Both the envisioned impact and outcome should be clearly formulated and synchronised appropriately to not only move towards achieving relation synergies but also financial synergies and synergies of internal processes. Executing such strategies requires a certain degree of versatility to continuously implement small and large changes. A strategy that generates
enthusiasm within an organisation but is unable to shift from obsolete methods and structures, for example, is not likely to push any boundaries. Importantly, the successful execution of such a strategy requires the full commitment of a number of key actors within the organisation to realise individual projects and plans. The tension between the responsibility to maintain daily operational results and the adaptive capacity of the organisation is a dilemma. A mature strategy development and implementation in the alignment process requires continuous action to be taken, what lend support to the belief that the nature of partnerships is evolving to fit a more competitive environment. According to Kaplan and Norton (2006), the alignment process may be hindered if one of these indicators is not met or is only partially satisfied. In this perspective, a partnership may realise that better ways of operating exist and the partnership begins to adopt and implement these more effective practices. Ultimately, alignment is quantifiable but the quality of the measurements is primarily determined by the degree of convergence and commitment.

4.3 Domain Culture: the driving path

Culture represents more than the characteristic theme: ‘The way we do things around here’. This phrase refers only to the complex, subtle practices that is ingrained in an organisation’s culture, to the point where it become part of its identity. These habitual thoughts and behaviors are often the basis for what an organisation does well. But when a philanthropreneur and a senior executive want to cooperate in an entrepreneurial philanthropy partnership, those habits may need a substantive extension because their beliefs, norms and values derived from the organisational culture influence their actions and the informal messages that they communicate. Therefore, the development of a joint partnership culture can be an important driver to the establishment of such a long-term, sustainable partnership (Isaacs, 2004).
to only work out a values statement or to identify several cultural attributes; there is a need to create a culture that will drive the partnership further. This culture change may start with the elementary announcement of naming culture as a priority, and it has real impact on the ability of the partnership to achieve better results. This provides the spark for cooperative work involving management, staff, and others to assess the current culture of the partnership, to explore the extent to which that culture is aligned with our leadership and strategy, and to think about what the optimal culture might be, and how to get there together. By engaging the relevant staff a better understanding about the aimed culture can be developed, and can make culture work as an opportunity to build a stronger partnership organisation.

4.4 Alignment: the road map

From a business perspective governed by the interests of an organisation, its economic principles, and engineering abilities, alignment refers to building a long-term relationship with both the internal and external stakeholders an organisation. Network organisation is paramount and this involves scanning the environment from an internal orientation perspective, which links prevalent corporate world paradigms. According to Van Riel (2012), there are three fundamental traits of corporate culture embedded in building and maintaining alignment. Building alignment starts with collecting relevant information (e.g. on public opinion, behaviour of employees) followed by supporting information on the proposed course of action, and subsequently a demonstration of successes and ensuring its accountability. These three characteristics consist of both external and internal cultural components.

Building sustainable, mutual relationships with stakeholders commences with a collection of relevant information. Internally, this relates to knowledge of all characteristics of the organisation identity and the extent to which employees support and promote the strategy in their daily activities. From an external point of view, knowledge on public opinion must be collected including the organisation’s reputation and specific branch issues. Within that process, management may choose to take a negotiation or confrontation stance. A negotiation strategy typically employs techniques such as consultation and building consensus. Confrontation, however, is about ‘mirroring’ (e.g. influencing newspaper articles) and ‘power play’ (e.g. taking legal action). All available data should be shared with management and constitutes input for the decision-making process that may lead to the desired alignment. Ultimately, the success of an organisation is determined by its level of alignment.

Organisations with alignment maturity are more successful because they build ‘mutually rewarding partnerships’ both internally and externally. In this way, an organisation’s image throughout a successful process goes from reputation as a tool to alignment as an organisational goal. Consequently, communication professionals have increasingly focused on building alignment. This trend supports the stance that organisations should produce results and organise, channel, process, and make use of any criticism from stakeholders. In addition, organisations must ensure that both parties are aware of each other’s arguments and facilitate a shared culture. In alignment, it is not about winning or losing a discussion but about gaining respect, which in turn, creates a negotiation position.
4.5 Partnership: the result

The growing complexity and magnitude of problems in civil society exceed the capacities of individual organisations to address them. As a result, SEs of non-profit organisations are becoming increasingly aware of the need to diversify their resources and the importance of expanding their partnerships to include businesses. PHs who recognise the opportunities and benefits of working with non-profit organisations aim to move beyond traditional philanthropic activities and towards EPPs that are more entrepreneurial and business-like. In this approach, the two sectors co-create new values for themselves or others and the strategic question becomes how they can establish greater value for organisations, individuals, and society. Likewise, a growing number of businesses are aware that their customers and shareholders expect corporations to act responsibly by providing support for important community issues and events.

As a result, non-profits have to be able to find a common ground that links the societal needs they believe to be important with the business interests of entrepreneurs and this challenge can be addressed through strategic partnerships. According to Austin (2000), successful partnerships built on principles of collaboration enables the participating organisations to move from the traditional philanthropic relationship to a more integrative partnership, that is more equitable. In this arrangement, the two organisations work on issues they both believe are important, make recognised equity investments for a mutual (societal) return, and agree to share resources. In addition, each party works to make the relationship a dynamic one that encourages an active learning experience.

As an outcome of this research, Austin (2000) defined a practical set of seven practices present within the strongest strategic partnerships:

1. Clarity of purpose;
2. Connection with purpose and people (i.e., an emotional connection with actual social cause that creates a psychological force, the ‘click’ between the partners);
3. Congruency of mission, strategy, and value;
4. Creation of value (if both partners are asking themselves how they can create value for their partner, collaboration is most valuable);
5. Communication inside and outside (to ensure that everyone understands why the partnership is important for attaining the mission, and that stakeholders are also informed of the important alliance);
6. Continual learning (continually trying to discover how to create more value and how each party can learn how to partner together);
7. Commitment to the partnership (not seen as official deals but as long term relationships based on a fundamentally deep commitment through good and bad times).

The description of the human element in these practices is interesting and indicates partnerships between entrepreneurs and non-profits is not simply a question of applying standard operating procedures for collaboration. In addition, these findings demonstrate how alignment helps to establish and manage partnerships that are effective and mutually beneficial.
5 Operationalisation of leadership, strategy, and culture

Based on further exploration of the conceptual framework entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment, a crucial consideration is expected when selecting a determinant in its operational relevance for addressing an aspect of the phenomenon in question. Therefore, it follows that valuable predictor indicators have action, that is, they move from observation to observation and can create a difference between or among other indicators. Exploration of the concept of alignment along the domains leadership, strategy, and culture provided input to address the indicators of the conceptual framework, which were based on the Key Performance Indicators (Kaplan & Norton, 2006; Parmenter, 2010).

Evidence has demonstrated that leadership might be an important linking principle in the alignment process to create a partnership (Lachotzki & Noteboom, 2005). In addition, it has been suggested a small subset of leadership skills closely correlates with the success of the partnership, particularly among the frontline thought leaders of entrepreneurial philanthropy. The personal core values of these people are viewed as the decision guidelines of how the corporate dialogue consciously or unconsciously creates partnerships (Cardona, 2000). Therefore, it is necessary to understand, develop, and mobilise these leadership capabilities in ways to be both profitable and responsive to societal challenges. Leadership in EPP is not only about developing and communicating a shared mission and vision, but is also about sustaining commitment to achieve impact in (civil) society.

The process of importance is trust in effective problem solving when information is gathered, analysed, and considered. This problem solving implies a relatively difficult issue to achieve alignment with, yet it might be a key input into decision making for considerable partnership issues (e.g. ambitions in civil society) and daily challenges (e.g. how to increase knowledge sharing within the team) (Brookes, 2006). Leaders who are strongly results-orientated tend to emphasise the importance of efficiency and productivity with inspirational leadership as the ultimate advantage. However, there is a need for different types of skills, such as a generalised ability to sustain conflict within a partnership and the development of experience in non-structured relationships based on continually emerging processes.

Seeking different perspectives are expected to be important to grasp changes in civil society, encourage staff to contribute ideas that could improve performance, accurately differentiate between strategic and minor issues, and assign the appropriate weight to the various partnership concerns (Stanzo et al., 2011). Although partnerships are defined by the motivation of both partners, they are expected to be the result of the core values, mission, and vision, and the ambition to realise impact in society. Therefore, it might be prudent to understand and practice behaviour patterns and values of leadership that encourage the alignment process of the desired partnership.

For developing a partnership strategy, leadership is viewed as the impetus because it offers unique opportunities such as financial resources, skills, or networks. Within the process of alignment, strategy theories may suffer from an instrumental emphasis and certain assumptions about mutuality in decision making among partners, democratic control, and accountability among stakeholders (Austin, 2000). Importantly, the partnership strategy may guide each partner to further develop the most relevant mission and vision to derive a maximum impact (Iyer, 2003). The more common the EPP purpose is to each partners’ strategy, the more aligned the relationship is likely to be, including following the same guiding path to achieve shared goals (Googins & Rochlin, 2000). Following this approach, developing an exit strategy is a commonly overlooked consideration of a partnership, yet it may play a key role in determining its strategic direction. In the process
that occurs within three chronological stages of partnerships (formation, implementation, outcomes), an exit strategy should examine the in-depth motivations behind the partnership, and is therefore the integral part of the process when terminating a long- or short-term partnership (Warner & Sullivan, 2004). In addition, the emergence of risk taking means that outcomes-based funding is advancing the alignment of funding with shared goals.

The distribution of responsibilities as a result of and required by the EPP is an area of interest considered important both in theory and in practice, particularly when measuring the assessment of the EPP or the ‘social return on investment’. It seems also useful to examine the implementation of risk taking concepts, the distribution of power, and the performance measurement to identify how strong these factors are and whether they support the alignment of the partnership (Kaplan & Norton, 2006). The outcome of EPP can be measured at three levels: direct impact on the issue and its stakeholders; impact on building capacity, knowledge, or reputational capital that can attract new resources; and influence on social policy or system change (Selsky & Parker, 2005). This approach requires that non-profits also show entrepreneurial instinct to measure the results that can be used to contribute to the mission of the EPP.

A partnership’s culture comprises of a set of intangibles, which are the shared beliefs, values, and assumptions about how both partners think, behave, and react. This culture can be viewed as a self-reinforcing web of individual and partnership management styles in a collective (organisation, community, etc.) for producing the outcomes of a shared concept and commitment (Drath et al., 2008). Previous research has demonstrated that organisational culture can positively influence organisational performance by motivating staff and shaping employee behaviours toward specified goals (Daft, 2007).

Culture can enable an EPP to constantly adapt to changing conditions and quickly react to dynamic environments. In addition, setting goals and expectations early in the EPP process establish both sides of the alliance guideposts to regularly evaluate the alignment of the partnership. Therefore, an EPP may provide an opportunity for one partner to internalise the skills of the other and thus improve its position both within and without the alliance. The joint process of developing a shared mind-set and communicate about it is therefore essential (Van Riel, 2012). Effective internal employee communications, which convey a clear description of how to reach strategic alignment, ensures the employee effort is focused in the right direction and can create an adaptability culture that emphasises responsiveness and flexibility. Communicating clearly, asking the right questions, and engaging in continuous learning might be the driver behind generating high employee engagement, which can therefore be expected to outperform.

Alignment of entrepreneurial philanthropy goals, values, and commitment provides evidence that each of the partners must invest time and resources to build the EPP in a way that reflects the needs, capacities, and resources of the other. An effective partnership culture is crucial for building trust, finding common ground, and creating multiple solutions that allow the most appropriate ideas to formulate (Asif & Palus, 2014). In an EPP dialogue, both partners may learn to ask more and better questions, pay more careful attention (also to the general public), and explore respective perspectives. Ultimately, success is governed by the ability to develop new knowledge assets that may create core partnership competences.
6 Theoretical entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment model

There are recognized benefits and advantages to develop EPP in which both partners have common ground and a purpose of interest to all parties. However, establishing effective partnerships takes time, and it is expected to be important to create the right framework from the beginning and review the process of alignment on an ongoing basis to measure its success or failure (Beer, 2009). Partnerships may imply a shared leadership among respected individuals who are recognized and empowered by their own organisations and trusted by partners to build consensus and resolve conflicts (Cobb et al., 1998). In addition a common understanding of the strategy and culture of partner organisations must exist, including a clear understanding of individual members’ roles and responsibilities regarding the division of labour.

Management practices and resources are also expected to achieve partnership goals and complement the intended purpose of the partnership (McNeil, 1995). Specifically, the partners must demonstrate accountability for the output or outcome and take ownership for the delivery of the objectives and targets for which they are responsible, and share these with internal and external stakeholders. Fundamentally, in the partnership process, alignment involves the optimal matching of decision problem characteristics with a known approach strategy.

Based on the theoretical exploration of alignment in partnerships, a theoretical entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment model (Figure 5.2) has been developed, which examines the experiences of creating a strategic fit to develop collaboration over time. The model is built upon the assumption that an EPPs’ ability to achieve its goals is a function of the degree of congruence between the three domains discussed previously in this paper. The model expresses the extent to which a PH matches its resources and capabilities with the opportunities in the non-profit organisation. Therefore building theories of alignment is demanding to any research effort related to entrepreneurial philanthropy. Critical in any research process is developing and testing the right questions to determine whether the selected indicators are achieved. Therefore, the choice for alignment determines the analytical methods that can be used. The methodology used in this paper provides a means of framing the decision problem so the roadmap of alignment, or their lack of, can be defined. Maturity and the ability to manage the development, implementation and maintenance of EPPs on various levels, depends on how the various indicators within the domain have been identified as important to the EPP, and affects the performance of the activities in civil society.

Intended partners using only a few indicators can have a low alignment but may find ways to adjust their EPP. Those using no aspects at all should stop their intention to create an EPP. EPPs that adopt many indicators are likely to achieve alignment and have the potential to be successful. The model will be quantitatively tested in the Netherlands among PHs, and the SEs of non-profit organisations, who have experience in creating a partnership.
7 Research questions and hypothesis

The primary research question addresses in this research is:

*Can the EPAM (Figure 5.2) determine whether or not an EPP between a philanthropreneur (and his/her company) and the senior executive of a non-profit will be established?*

The secondary research questions are:

i. To what extent does an EPP between the PH and the SE of a non-profit organisation develops through leadership?

ii. To what extent is strategy a guiding principle for an EPP between the PH and the SE of a non-profit, and is culture its supercharger?

iii. To what extent does the importance of alignment determine the creation of an EPP between the PH and the SE of a non-profit?

A number of research hypotheses are derived that guide the subsequent analyses. These are an extension of the studies performed by Rath and Schuyt (2014, 2015, 2017), all of whom have researched the extent to which companies are engaged in entrepreneurial philanthropy partnerships.

1. There is a positive correlation between the indicators of leadership at both partners;
2. There is a positive correlation between the indicators of strategy at both partners;
3. There is a positive correlation between the indicators of culture at both partners;
4. There is a positive correlation between the importance of alignment and leadership in an EPP;
5. Alignment in entrepreneurial philanthropy varies according to what extent the strategy is guiding the partnership;
6. Alignment in entrepreneurial philanthropy varies according to what extent the culture is supercharging the partnership;
7. The importance of the intermediating variable alignment explains the establishment of an EPP.
8 Approach

Previous chapters have presented a gap in the entrepreneurial philanthropy knowledge base, identified the absence of a means to identify and measure the factors that cause alignment in partnerships, and proposed a theoretical entrepreneurial philanthropy alignment model, to address this gap. Unlike quantitative research, qualitative research places greater emphasis on the context to understand the nature of the phenomenon being investigated as it is an appropriate strategy for gaining insight into situations for which further explanation is needed. This is essential to the descriptive stage of theory building through observation and classification, therefore further research with in-depth interviews was the chosen method because this approach provides a rich source of information and can generate adequate data from a small group to determine the motivation for the partnership decisions made. As Bell (2010) demonstrated, qualitative research is distinguished as a contextual approach in which data are gathered in natural real-life settings from a subjective perspective to explore unusual, and as yet unseen, depths within phenomena of interest. The purpose of the interviews is to provide the ability to investigate different viewpoints of the targeted philanthropic partners and gain an insight into the workings of the areas of leadership, strategy, and culture for the alignment process, which can ultimately contribute to knowledge about the creation of a partnership. This qualitative research is fundamentally interpretive and emphasises context to understand the nature of the phenomenon. By using a semi-structured interview protocol, combined with more exploratory in-depth questions, a dialogue with the interviewees can be created (Legard, Keegan & Ward, 2003). The groundwork for these questions are based on defined indicators and can be expanded to include others when the interview gives reason to do so. The results of this study aim to be valued in a theoretical type of generalisation because the results should be applicable to a larger group of interested entrepreneurs and non-profit organisations.

Finally, through purposive sampling based on the selection of information-rich cases through maximum-variation sampling, a dozen SEs will be selected and interviewed. After the questioning, they will be asked to supply (via chain referral sampling) the name of the entrepreneur they actually have a partnership with. The ultimate goal of this study is to conduct 2 x 10 interviews. For each target group a questionnaire has been developed. The interview process is, to some extent, flexible, while ensuring that all questions about the indicators are discussed. These interviews will be recorded and analysed in subsequent work, so the interview data can relate effectively to the EPAM.
9 Conclusion and discussion

This study has indicated leadership, strategy, and culture are connected to accomplish alignment in the EPP between a PH and an SE, which may create a road map how to achieve the desired societal change by maximising alignment enablers and minimising inhibitors. In combination with previous findings it is expected that alignment may be beneficial for an effective partnership because it has been established that weak alignment can cause confusion or conflict. The presented alignment gap in the development of philanthropy partnerships may also be addressed by the proposed theoretical EPAM.

Additionally, the existence of vibrant EPPs is increasingly being viewed not as a luxury, but as a necessity in many places across the globe. The intent is to discover the drivers of alignment in partnerships and provide a method to assist entrepreneurs and non-profit organisations in this dynamic environment to create a greater impact of philanthropy. This journey will continue with both a quantitative and a qualitative research study of the EPAM conducted in The Netherlands.