Efficacy of Thioguanine Treatment in Inflammatory Bowel disease: a Systematic Review
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Abstract

**Aim** To critically assess the available literature regarding the efficacy of thioguanine treatment in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients, irrespective of the (hepato-) toxicity profile.

**Methods** A systematic literature search of the MEDLINE database using PubMed was performed using the keywords “thioguanine”, “6-TG”, “thioguanine”, “inflammatory bowel disease”, “IBD”, “Crohn’s disease”, “Ulcerative colitis” and “effectiveness” in order to identify relevant articles published in English starting from 2000. Reference lists of the included articles were crosschecked for missing articles. Reviewed manuscripts concerning the effectiveness of thioguanine treatment in IBD were reviewed by the authors and the data were extracted. Data were subsequently analyzed with descriptive statistics. Due to the lack of standardized outcomes, a formal meta-analysis was not performed.

**Results** A total of 11 applicable studies were found that involved the effectiveness of thioguanine therapy in IBD. Eight studies were conducted in a prospective manner, in the remaining three studies, data was collected retrospectively. In total, 353 IBD-patients (225 patients with Crohn’s disease, 119 with ulcerative colitis and nine with unclassified IBD) with prior azathioprine / mercaptopurine resistance and / or intolerance (n = 321) or de novo thioguanine administration (n = 32) were included for analysis, of which 228 (65%) had clinical improvement on thioguanine therapy, based on standard IBD questionnaires, biochemical parameters or global physician assessments. Short-term results were based on 268 treatment years (median follow-up 9 mo, range 3-22 mo) with a median daily dose of 20 mg (range 10 - 80 mg). Discontinuation, mostly due to adverse events, was reported in 72 patients (20%).

**Conclusion** The efficacy of thioguanine therapy in IBD patients intolerant to conventional thiopurine therapy is observed in 65%, with short term adverse events in 20% of patients.
Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) encompasses both Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) and forms a group of diseases characterized by idiopathic chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract. It has worldwide a rising incidence [1]. IBD is characterized by recurrent periods of remission and relapse of disease and treatment of IBD is mainly aimed at induction and maintenance of remission [2,3]. Based on current step-up treatment guidelines (systemic) corticosteroids are the therapy of choice for inducing remission [2,3]. Thiopurines, such as azathioprine (AZA) or mercaptopurine (MP) may be added to corticosteroid therapy for maintaining remission and medication may be initiated during induction phase [4-6]. However, the use of thiopurines is limited, largely due to an extensive spectrum of adverse events witnessed in up to almost half of patients, especially within the first twelve months of treatment. Toxicity includes myelotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, pancreatitis and gastrointestinal (GI-) complaints [7,8]. Thiopurines were first described in the 1950s by Gertrude Elion and George Hitchings and comprised three chemical structures: 6-thioguanine (6-TG), MP and AZA [9]. AZA and MP are frequently being used as treatment for IBD, while TG is currently only used as experimental or rescue therapy. Metabolism of conventional thiopurines is complicated, leading to formation of several, toxic and non-toxic metabolites, whereas the metabolism of TG is less complicated and more directly leading towards the intended pharmacologically active products (Fig. 1) [10-12]. Effects of thiopurines may be characterized by two groups of metabolites; methylated thiopurines [e.g., 6-methylmercaptopurine (6-MMP)] and 6-thioguanine nucleotides (6-TGN). At relatively low dosages, as has been advocated in treatment of IBD, the anti-inflammatory effect of thiopurines is mainly mediated via inhibition of the small GTPase Rac1, leading to apoptosis of activated T-lymphocytes, whereas high dosages, as usual in oncological treatment, are associated with inhibition of DNA synthesis [13,14]. Based on these findings, it has been hypothesized that prescribing TG therapy instead of AZA/MP reduces generation of potentially toxic metabolites, such as the methylated metabolites, whilst it is primarily converted into the therapeutically aimed metabolite 6-TGN by bypassing several rate-limiting
metabolic steps. The key reason for not introducing TG in the standard therapeutic armamentarium of IBD appears to be the reported hepatotoxicity [i.e., nodular regenerative hyperplasia (NRH) and sinusoidal obstruction syndrome] which has been described to be highly prevalent, especially with higher dosages of TG (median 40 mg/d) [15]. Interestingly, these findings were not corroborated in subsequent studies in which lower dosages of TG were used (20 mg/d), justifying additional research regarding relatively efficacy of low dose TG therapy in IBD patients [16-20]. These data are depicted in Table 1. Other adverse events probably associated with TG use, as described in previous literature, are summarized in Table 2. Two years ago, there were approximately 1,500 TG users in The Netherlands, with no serious toxicity being reported [21]. Since TG has recently been registered as certified treatment for IBD in The Netherlands, the question arises whether TG should be reconsidered as IBD treatment worldwide. The aim of this systematic review was to critically assess the available literature solely regarding the efficacy of TG treatment in IBD patients, irrespective of the alleged (hepato-)toxicity profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage of thioguanine</th>
<th>6-TGN level</th>
<th>Observed NRH</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>About 20 mg per day (18-24 mg)</td>
<td>278 (68-492)</td>
<td>0% (0/12)</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg per day</td>
<td>564 (±278)</td>
<td>0% (0/28)</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg per day</td>
<td>802 (106-1,092)</td>
<td>0% (0/13)</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About 21 mg per day (0.3 mg/kg)</td>
<td>464 (65-1,199)</td>
<td>6% (7/111)</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mg per day</td>
<td>807 (105-2,545)</td>
<td>0% (0/11)</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About 40 mg per day (estimated)</td>
<td>1,230 (530-2,310)</td>
<td>62% (16/26)</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-80 mg per day</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>36% (16/45)</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6-TGN concentrations were calculated using the method described by Lennard et al [27]. Modified from Seinen et al [50].

6-TGN: 6-thioguanine nucleotides concentration (as pmol/8 × 10^8 red blood cells), presented as medians with range or mean with standard deviation. NRH: Nodular regenerative hyperplasia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GI complaints</td>
<td>1%-17%</td>
<td>[16, 19, 20, 37, 38, 42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelosuppression¹</td>
<td>1%-15%</td>
<td>[20, 36, 38, 42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General malaise</td>
<td>4%-22%</td>
<td>[38, 42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic reaction</td>
<td>1%-6%</td>
<td>[33, 42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other AE (e.g. myalgia, alopecia)</td>
<td>1%-38%</td>
<td>[16, 19, 20, 33, 36-38, 42]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Variable definitions of myelosuppression were applied.
Methods
This study was executed using the PRISMA guidelines [22]. We conducted a systematic literature search in the MEDLINE database using PubMed. We applied the following search strategy: [“Thioguanine”(Mesh) OR 6-TG (tiab) OR thioguanine (tiab) OR tioguanine (tiab)] AND [“Inflammatory Bowel Diseases”(Mesh) OR IBD (tiab) OR Crohn (tiab) OR Colitis (tiab)] AND (efficacy OR effectivity OR effectiveness).

Figure 1  Simplified scheme of thiopurine metabolism. Azathioprine is non-enzymatically converted to 6-mercaptopurine by separating the imidazole-group. 6-Mercaptopurine is converted into 6-methylmercaptopurine (6-MMP) by thiopurine S-methyl transferase (TPMT) and into 6-thioguaninenucleotides (6-TGN) by an extensive enzymatic pathway using hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HGPRT), inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH) and guanosine monophosphate synthetase (GMPS). 6-Thioguanine is converted directly into 6-TGN using HGPRT without producing the potentially toxic metabolite 6-MMP. Squared abbreviations display enzymatic conversions [47].
Study selection

All studies were screened based on title and abstract. Full-text screening was performed in relevant studies by the same authors (BM and NdB). The following inclusion criteria were met: patients diagnosed with IBD, TG therapy, efficacy as outcome, studies available in full-text in English or Dutch. Exclusion criteria were: in vitro studies, efficacy not identified as outcome, patients receiving TG therapy for other reasons than IBD, article not available in English or Dutch. Furthermore, all references of the included original papers were cross-checked to complete the search. All studies published from 2000 till 2016 were included in the systematic review. All studies with original study populations were included for analysis. Finally, authors of the included manuscripts were contacted in case of missing or unclear data or to identify additional studies.

Data extraction

If articles were eligible, we collected the following data from the original papers: study design, number of patients, patient characteristics, disease characteristics [i.e., CD, UC or IBD unclassified (IBDu)], reason for initiation of TG, co-medication with corticosteroids, TG dose, duration of follow-up, efficacy of therapy, biochemical parameters [i.e., C-reactive protein (CRP) and/or fecal calprotectin] and thiopurine drug metabolites (6-TGN and/or 6-MMP) during AZA/MP and TG treatment. Effectiveness of therapy was determined using endoscopic/clinical scoring scales [i.e., Harvey-Bradshaw Index (HBI) [23], CD Activity Index (CDAI) [24], Colitis Activity Index (CAI) [25] or Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index (SCCAI) [26]], as used in the different articles. Concentrations of 6-TGN were described using the method of Lennard et al [27] When the initial measurement was performed using the method described by Dervieux et al [28], this value was transposed into a calculated “Lennard value” as described by Shipkova et al [29].
Results
The search strategy resulted in 98 papers. Most articles were excluded since these articles described measuring 6-TGN in patients treated with AZA or MP, instead of TG therapy. Thirteen were selected for full-text screening. One additional article was excluded because efficacy was not described. Finally, twelve relevant articles were included (Fig. 2). Of these twelve articles, eleven studies comprised different study populations. One of the included papers is the extended follow-up period of another included paper, and was therefore not visualized in our primary overview (Table 3).

In the first study regarding TG-use [30] ten CD patients with therapeutic failure (i.e., CDAI-scores above 150 and/or steroid-dependent disease) to AZA/MP therapy, combined with a preferential metabolite profile [defined as 6-TGN levels below 235 and 6-MMP levels above 6,000 pmol/8 x 10^8 red blood cells (RBC)] were included. Nine patients were adults in whom TG was initiated at a dose of 40 mg/d, the pediatric patient (9 years old) was started...
on 20 mg/d. After 16 wk follow-up, eight patients were still using TG, in whom seven patients had a good clinical response, defined as a reduction in CDAI of at least 70 points or steroid reduction of at least 50%. 6-TGN levels in these patients were median 1,548 pmol/8 x 10^8 RBC (range 603 - 2,073), with only one patient with a 6-TGN level below 1,350. 6-MMP metabolites were undetectable in all patients. Biochemical parameters were not extensively reported. The two patients who discontinued TG treatment before week sixteen were excluded due to protocol violation, but were not reported to develop adverse events or to have an increase in IBD activity.

The next study [31] included fifteen IBD patients (13 CD/ 1 UC/ 1 IBDu) with either intolerance (n = 12) or inefficacy (n = 1) on AZA/MP therapy, as well as two thiopurine-naïve patients in which TG was started to “induce a quick therapeutic response”. Fourteen patients were adults (range 23 - 65 years old) and one adolescent (17 years old). All patients were started on 40 mg TG. Based on global physician assessment (GPA), eleven patients (73%) had a good clinical response to TG therapy after a mean duration of only 3 wk. One additional patient had no decrease in CDAI but was able to successfully reduce prednisolone with > 50% and was classified as a partial response. There was a median follow-up of 16 wk (range 3 - 21 wk). Adverse events were described in four patients (27%) and were classified as mild. One patient had to discontinue TG treatment due to suspected pancreatitis (i.e., slowly rising lipase concentration) and in three patients dosage was successfully reduced as diarrhea (n = 2) or leukopenia (n = 1) developed.

In a German study from 2003 [32], 37 patients (22 with prior AZA intolerance, 15 thiopurine naive) with CD received 40 mg of TG daily. Dose was increased to 80 mg/d after 12 wk in non-responders and the effect was evaluated after a follow-up of 24 wk. Nine patients (24%) discontinued therapy before week 24 due to intolerance (n = 6), inefficacy (n = 2) or violation of protocol (n = 1). Of the remaining 28 patients, there were 21 patients (57%) with a clinical response, defined as a decrease in CDAI of > 70 points. Thirteen of these patients were in complete remission, of which twelve patients achieved this quiescent phase within four weeks of therapy.
Twenty out of 27 patients (74%) on corticosteroids at initiation of TG were able to decrease steroids dosage with a median of 67% of initial steroid dose. CRP concentration was measured at baseline and at last follow-up, but there was no difference between these time points. In a second follow-up paper, the effect of maintenance treatment (total follow-up of one year) was evaluated [33]. Sixteen patients with continued use after six months of therapy were evaluated of which twelve were in remission with TG (i.e., CDAI < 150) and four showed clinical response (defined as ΔCDAI > 70). Shortly after six months, two additional patients came into complete remission and patients in remission after six months maintained in remission after 12 mo of treatment. One patient with initial clinical response to TG relapsed and was switched to methotrexate therapy.

In another study from 2003 [34] 49 patients with CD either intolerant for or refractory to AZA/MP therapy were included. All patients were adults and were started on 20 mg TG daily. Five patients (10%) out of 39 patients with prior intolerance to AZA/MP had to discontinue TG treatment due to (mild) adverse events within three weeks of therapy: nausea (n = 1), increase of hepatic enzymes (n = 2), vertigo (n = 1) and paresthesia (n = 1). After a median period of seven months, complete remission (defined as HBI below 3 and cessation of corticosteroids or infliximab) was achieved in 21 patients (43%). It was described that six patients (12%) relapsed on TG therapy. The remaining seventeen patients were not more extensively described in this study.

In a second study by Dubinsky et al. [35], 21 patients were included with either CD or UC (14:7) who experienced a hypersensitivity reaction on conventional thiopurine therapy. All patients were adults. The dose of TG was not standardized and varied between 10 and 40 mg daily (median 20 mg/d). Four patients (19%) experienced a (mild) hypersensitivity reaction on TG (two patients with gastrointestinal symptoms and two patients with flu-like illness). Of the remaining seventeen patients, fourteen (67%) improved on TG therapy after a median period of 9 mo, based on GPA. Two patients remained in remission and one patient had worsening of disease.
6-TGN concentrations were obtained in 14 of 17 patients and were all above 1,100 pmol/8 × 10^8 RBC, irrespective of clinical response and not correlating with disease activity.

An Austrian research group [36] described fourteen UC patients and six IBDu patients with prior intolerance (n = 8) or inefficacy to previous AZA/MP treatment were reported. After a follow-up of 26 wk, eleven patients (55%) showed a therapeutic response (five with complete remission), defined as a CAI of 4 or lower. Three patients were classified as non-responders (15%), six patients discontinued treatment due to AE (n = 2) or non-compliance (n = 4, based on 6-TGN levels of below 250 pmol/8 × 10^8 RBC). Median 6-TGN level during therapy was 816 (range 279 - 2,300), not correlating with response to therapy. Concentrations of CRP at follow-up did not differ from baseline concentrations.

An Irish population was included in another study [37] of 40 patients (28 CD, 10 UC and 2 IBDu) with prior inefficacy on AZA/MP in 21 patients or intolerance in 8 patients while de novo TG therapy was given in eleven patients. All patients were adults and started on 40 mg daily. After six months of therapy, TG had to be discontinued in thirteen patients (32%) due to AE, of which eight patients had hepatotoxicity (including thrombocytopenia, liver test abnormalities and splenomegaly). Nineteen patients (48%) had clinical benefit (i.e., modified HBI or modified UC disease activity index below 4) of TG therapy and eight patients (20%) displayed no therapeutic response. Eleven patients were able to continue therapy over 1 year time period with therapeutic effect (complete remission in 10 patients). Furthermore, concentrations of CRP decreased during TG treatment when compared to baseline levels (P = 0.001).

Ansari et al. [16] studied 30 CD patients with a median age of 34 years (range 12-57) treated with a median dose of 40 mg daily (range 20 - 60). All patients were either nonresponsive (n = 16) or intolerant (n = 14) to prior AZA treatment. After 6 mo there was a clinical response (i.e., HBI < 5, in combination with successful withdrawal of steroids or infliximab) in
eighteen patients (60%) and seven patients (23%) withdrew TG treatment due to AE. After six months another six patients developed AE leading to withdrawal of therapy. Eleven patients (37%) were able to continue therapy for a median period of 44 mo, leading to long-lasting remission. Five patients (17%) had no benefit from TG therapy. Median 6-TGN level was 807 pmol/8 × 10^8 RBC, there was no correlation between 6-TGN concentrations and clinical response.

In a Swedish study from 2009 [38] 23 adult CD patients with prior thiopurine intolerance (n = 18) or resistance (n = 5) were treated with 40 mg (range 20-60 mg) TG once daily. After a median follow-up of 8 mo, thirteen patients (56%) had to discontinue treatment due to AE (n = 10) or unspecified safety concerns. Five patients (22%) had clinical response (defined as HBI < 5) on TG therapy, whilst five patients were non-responders. Median 6-TGN level in responding patients was 1,155 (range 466 - 2,488) pmol/8 × 10^8 RBC, however this result was not statistically different from non-responders [median 645 (range 551 - 1,852), P = 0.73].

In a Dutch population [20] the sole focus was on UC patients and TG was introduced in a dose of approximately 0.3 mg/kg (median 20 mg/d, range 18 - 24) in 46 adult patients with either intolerance (n = 42) or refractoriness to AZA/MP. Within 6 mo, five patients had to discontinue treatment due to AE (n = 3) or were lost to follow-up. During follow-up, another three patients developed intolerance adding up to six patients (13%). Three patients experienced non-effectiveness on TG therapy and underwent colectomy. In the remaining 37 patients (80%), there was ongoing benefit and TG therapy was continued.

Finally, in a study of Pavlidis et al. [19] performed in Australia and the United Kingdom, 62 adult patients (21 CD/41 UC) started on split-dose TG therapy of 20 mg once, twice or thrice daily after intolerance to conventional thiopurine therapy. After six months, 46 patients (78%) had a clinical response to TG therapy, defined as decrease in clinical activity scores (HBI ≤ 3 or SCCAI ≤ 2) and/or steroid use. Eleven patients (14%) did not benefit
from treatment and had to undergo surgery. The remaining five patients discontinued treatment due to AE (n = 2) or were lost to follow-up. The median 6-TGN level was 811 pmol/8 × 10⁸ RBC (range 340-2,678) which did not correlate with disease activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>IBD type (CD/UC/IBDu)</th>
<th>Dose (med)</th>
<th>FU (M)</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Non-effective</th>
<th>Discontinuation</th>
<th>6-TGN (med)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dubinsky</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10/0/0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7 (70%)</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
<td>1548²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheung</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13/1/1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 (79%)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrlinger</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37/0/0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21 (57%)</td>
<td>7 (19%)</td>
<td>9 (24%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonaz</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49/0/0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38 (78%)³</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td>648⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubinsky</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14/7/0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14 (67%)</td>
<td>3 (14%)</td>
<td>4 (19%)</td>
<td>1365²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teml</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0/14/6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11 (55%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>816⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qasim</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28/10/2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19 (48%)</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
<td>13 (32%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ansari</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30/0/0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
<td>7 (23%)</td>
<td>807⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almer</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23/0/0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5 (22%)</td>
<td>5 (22%)</td>
<td>13 (56%)</td>
<td>1155²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asseldonk</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0/46/0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37 (80%)³</td>
<td>3 (7%)</td>
<td>6 (13%)</td>
<td>278⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlidis</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>⬤⬤⬤</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>21/41/0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46 (78%)</td>
<td>11 (14%)</td>
<td>5 (8%)</td>
<td>811⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Grading based on GRADE guidelines [51,52]. ² Median value in subgroup with clinical response to 6-thioguanine treatment; ³ Expected value; ⁴ Median value in total group, regardless of clinical response to treatment.

IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease; CD: Crohn’s disease; UC: Ulcerative colitis; IBDu: IBD unclassified; FU: follow-up; 6-TGN: 6-thioguanine nucleotides; N/A: Not applicable; Ref: Number in reference list; Dose: Median dosage of thioguanine therapy at initiation.
In summary, a total number of 353 (CD: 225/ UC: 119/ IBDu: 9) patients were treated with TG with a starting dose of 20 to 40 mg daily. The dosing was per individual adjusted to 10 - 80 mg/d, based on the development of adverse events or efficacy. Based on the median follow-up in the different studies, TG was administered for an estimated 268 treatment years. In 228 patients (65%), there was a benefit of TG therapy, defined as decrease in clinical disease symptom scales or the opportunity to cease or clinically significantly decrease corticosteroids without relapse of disease. No benefit of therapy was reported in 15% of patients, whereas 20% of the patients had to discontinue TG, mostly due to AE, comprising mainly gastrointestinal complaints, hypersensitivity reactions and elevated liver enzymes. In a subgroup analysis, 52% of CD patients and 62% of UC patients benefitted from TG therapy, whereas 11% of CD patients and 13% of UC patients had no benefit of therapy (Table 4).

**Summary of treated patients**

In summary, a total number of 353 (CD: 225/ UC: 119/ IBDu: 9) patients were treated with TG with a starting dose of 20 to 40 mg daily. The dosing was per individual adjusted to 10 - 80 mg/d, based on the development of adverse events or efficacy. Based on the median follow-up in the different studies, TG was administered for an estimated 268 treatment years. In 228 patients (65%), there was a benefit of TG therapy, defined as decrease in clinical disease symptom scales or the opportunity to cease or clinically significantly decrease corticosteroids without relapse of disease. No benefit of therapy was reported in 15% of patients, whereas 20% of the patients had to discontinue TG, mostly due to AE, comprising mainly gastrointestinal complaints, hypersensitivity reactions and elevated liver enzymes. In a subgroup analysis, 52% of CD patients and 62% of UC patients benefitted from TG therapy, whereas 11% of CD patients and 13% of UC patients had no benefit of therapy (Table 4).
Discussion

In this study we systematically reviewed literature regarding the efficacy of TG treatment in IBD patients. In 65% (range 22% - 80%) of patients with active IBD treated with TG, mainly in patients failing prior conventional thiopurine therapy, clinical improvement was achieved. This was in line with recent reviews regarding efficacy of AZA or MP treatment in IBD: for maintenance therapy, efficacy of conventional thiopurine therapy was 73% and 50%, respectively. Induction therapy was effective in 30% and 51%, respectively [4,6,39,40].

Interestingly, most of the included patients experienced intolerance or inadequate response to previous conventional thiopurine therapy (i.e., AZA/MP). Therefore the result of 65% is primarily based on patients with prior thiopurine exposure.

Eight studies (73%) were conducted in a prospective way, however no randomized trials have been performed to date. The study of Almer et al [38] is a relative negative outlier with only 22% response rate. This might be due to a small sample size (n = 23) in combination with a high number of discontinuation (n = 13). Three patients had to discontinue due to unspecified “safety reasons” and ten patients had adverse events leading to discontinuation. Five of them discontinued due to pain or gastrointestinal intolerance and two had mild hepatotoxicity with increasing bilirubin concentration or aminotransferase activity. On the contrary, two positive outliers were the studies of Bonaz et al [34] and van Asseldonk et al [20] with response rates of 78% and 80%, respectively. Interestingly, in these studies patients were started on 20 mg/d instead of 40 mg/d. This lower dosage might be the reason for better tolerability and could contribute to longer usage and subsequent higher efficacy.

The aim of this paper was to assess the effectiveness of TG treatment by a systematic review of available literature. Safety issues have extensively been reviewed (and nuanced) elsewhere (Table 1) [18,41-46]. However, since the majority of the included patients experienced adverse events on more
conventional thiopurine derivatives, we compared the number of patients discontinuing treatment due to adverse events. Overall, 72 of 353 patients (20%) had to discontinue TG treatment, mainly due to adverse events. Interestingly, there seemed to be no increased risk of developing clinically overt non-cirrhotic portal hypertension due to NRH as compared to the study by Dubinsky et al. [15] Other reasons for discontinuation were (unspecified) “safety reasons” or violation of applicable study protocol.

Concentrations of 6-TGN during TG treatment in none of the included studies (if available) showed a correlation with efficacy; its value in the management of TG therapy (therapeutic drug monitoring) can therefore not be extracted from the current series and, thus, warrant further analysis and study. However, patients with benefit of TG therapy showed median 6-TGN levels 1,155, 1,365 and 1,548 pmol/8 × 10^8 RBC, respectively, in those studies in which this benefitting subgroup specifically was analyzed [15,35,38]. Based on these results, one may hypothesize that the therapeutic range of 6-TGN levels as proposed for conventional AZA/MP treatment (i.e., > 230 pmol/8 × 10^8 RBC) is not applicable in patients treated with TG [47].

Several remarks have to be made about study design and patient population of the various included studies. All included studies are observational, open-label studies without control groups. A major part of discussion is the risk of bias in these kind of studies, especially publication bias. This type of bias is unavoidable in studies which are not previously registered in a trial registry, so the results in this review have to be interpret with this possible risk of bias taken into account. Furthermore, even though a larger part of the studies had a prospective design, no randomized trials are performed, yet, probably leading to confounding bias. Additionally, analyses in this paper were based on small patient groups (range 10 - 62) and effectiveness endpoints differed between the included studies, thwarting comparisons and robust conclusions.
Conclusion

Taken together, we critically reviewed the literature regarding effectiveness of TG treatment in IBD patients. Several small prospective trials showed encouraging results regarding therapeutic effect and tolerability of TG in a population of IBD patients with reported intolerance or refractoriness to conventional thiopurine therapy with AZA or MP. These findings warrant randomized trials in IBD patients.
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