Contents

1. Introduction **1**
1.1. Research Questions . . . 2
1.1.1. How Can Auto-Tuning Accelerate Radio Astronomy? . . . 2
1.1.2. RQ1: Can Many-Cores Be Used to Accelerate Radio Astronomy Algorithms? . . . 3
1.1.3. RQ2: What Is the Impact of Auto-Tuning on Radio Astronomy Algorithms? . . . 3
1.1.4. RQ3: Are Many-Core Accelerators and Auto-Tuning Useful for Complex Radio Astronomy Pipelines? . . . 4
1.1.5. RQ4: How Difficult Is Auto-Tuning Of Many-Core Accelerators? . . . 4
1.2. Thesis Outline . . . 4

2. Background **7**
2.1. Radio Astronomy . . . 8
2.2. Auto-Tuning . . . 10
2.3. Many-Core Accelerators . . . 12

3. Beam Forming **17**
3.1. Related Work . . . 20
3.2. Software Telescopes . . . 21
3.3. Beam Forming . . . 22
3.4. Application Analysis . . . 23
3.4.1. The Sequential Algorithm . . . 23
3.4.2. The IBM Blue Gene/P Production Version . . . 25
3.4.3. The Multi-core CPU Version . . . 26
3.4.4. The GPU Version . . . 26
3.4.5. The beams-block Optimization Strategy . . . 28
3.5. Auto-tuning the Beams-block . . . 29

3.5.1. IBM Blue Gene/P . . . 30
3.5.2. Intel Xeon E5620 . . . 31
3.5.3. AMD Opteron 6172 . . . 33
3.5.4. NVIDIA GTX580 . . . 34
3.5.5. AMD HD6970 . . . 37
3.6. Performance Analysis . . . 39
3.6.1. Performance comparison for a sky survey observation . . . 39
3.6.2. Power efficiency for a sky survey observation . . . 41
3.7. Conclusions . . . 42

4. Dedispersion **45**
4.1. Related Work . . . 47
4.2. Background . . . 48
4.3. Algorithm and Implementation . . . 50
4.3.1. Sequential Algorithm . . . 50
4.3.2. Parallelization . . . 52
4.4. Experimental Setup . . . 54
4.4.1. Auto-Tuning . . . 55
4.4.2. Impact of Auto-Tuning on Performance . . . 55
4.4.3. Data-reuse and Performance Limits . . . 56
4.5. Results and Discussion . . . 56
4.5.1. Auto-Tuning . . . 57
4.5.2. Impact of Auto-Tuning on Performance . . . 60
4.5.3. Data-reuse and Performance Limits . . . 64
4.5.4. Discussion . . . 66
4.6. Conclusions . . . 69

5. The ARTS Transients Pipeline **71**
5.1. The Radio Transient Pipeline . . . 72
5.2. Experimental Setup . . . 74
5.3. Performance Results . . . 77
5.4. Conclusions . . . 79

6. A Pulsar Searching Pipeline **81**
6.1. Related Work . . . 83
6.2. Pulsar Searching . . . 83
6.2.1. Folding . . . 85
6.2.2. SNR Computation . . . 86
6.2.3. Auto-Tuning . . . 86
6.3. Experimental Setup . . . 88
6.3.1. Pipeline Scalability . . . 89
6.3.2. Power Consumption . . . 90

6.4. Results . . . 90
6.4.1. Pipeline Scalability . . . 90
6.4.2. Power Consumption . . . 97
6.5. Discussion . . . 98
6.6. Conclusions . . . 101

7. Difficulty of Auto-Tuning 103
7.1. Related Work . . . 105
7.2. Difficulty of Auto-Tuning . . . 106
7.3. TuneBench: an Auto-Tuning Benchmark for Many-Core Accelerators 108
7.3.1. Triad . . . 108
7.3.2. Reduction . . . 109
7.3.3. Stencil . . . 109
7.3.4. MD . . . 110
7.3.5. Correlator . . . 110
7.4. Experimental Evaluation . . . 111
7.4.1. Tuning Difficulty . . . 111
7.4.2. Optimum Portability . . . 122
7.5. Conclusions . . . 129

8. Conclusions 131
8.1. RQ1: Can Many-Cores Be Used to Accelerate Radio Astronomy Algorithms? . . . 131
8.2. RQ2: What Is the Impact of Auto-Tuning on Radio Astronomy Algorithms? . . . 132
8.3. RQ3: Are Many-Core Accelerators and Auto-Tuning Useful for Complex Radio Astronomy Pipelines? . . . 133
8.4. RQ4: How Difficult Is Auto-Tuning Of Many-Core Accelerators? . 134
8.5. How Can Auto-Tuning Accelerate Radio Astronomy? . . . 135
8.6. Future Work . . . 136

References 139

Summary 145

Curriculum Vitae 147
8.7. Education . . . 147
8.8. Refereed Journals . . . 148
8.9. Refereed Conferences and Workshops . . . 148
8.10. Invited Talks . . . 149
8.11. Research Experience . . . 149

8.12. Teaching Experience 150
8.13. Awards and Honors 151