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Gray matter correlates of cognitive performance differ between relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis
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Abstract

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory/demyelinating and neurodegenerative disease of the central nervous system (CNS). Most patients experience a relapsing-remitting (RR) course, while about 15-20% of patients experience a primary progressive (PP) course. Cognitive impairment affects approximately 40-70% of all MS patients and differences in cognitive impairment between RR-MS and PP-MS have been found. We aimed to compare RR-MS and PP-MS patients in terms of cognitive performance, and to investigate the MRI correlates of cognitive impairment in the two groups using measures of brain volumes and cortical thickness. Fifty-seven patients (42 RR-MS, 15 PP-MS) and thirty-eight matched controls underwent neuropsychological (NP) testing and MRI. PP-MS patients scored lower than RR-MS patients on most of the NP tests in absence of any specific pattern. PP-MS patients showed significantly lower caudate volume. There was no significant difference in MRI correlates of cognitive impairment between the two groups except for a prevalent association with MRI measures of cortical GM injury in RR-MS patients and with MRI measures of subcortical GM injury in PP-MS patients. This suggests that although cognitive impairment results from several factors, cortical and subcortical GM injury may play a different role depending on the disease course.
INTRODUCTION

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory/demyelinating and neurodegenerative disease of the central nervous system (CNS). Most patients (80-85%) experience a relapsing-remitting (RR) course with episodes of neurological dysfunction followed by complete or partial remission. About 15% to 20% of patients experience a primary progressive (PP) course characterized by a gradual worsening of neurological functions from disease onset. Cognitive impairment affects approximately 40-70% of all MS patients. While patients with RR-MS are most commonly affected by deficits in information processing speed and working memory, patients with PP-MS show not only more extensive impairment in these domains, but also in other domains such as executive functioning and verbal episodic memory, indicating a wider range of cognitive deficits. Only a few studies have investigated the MRI characteristics of PP-MS patients in comparison to RR-MS patients. A recent MRI study performed at 7 Tesla reported no differences in lesion morphological features (count, preferred location, type, hypointense rim) between RR-MS and PP-MS. However, both pathological and MRI studies have shown that cortical demyelination and gray matter atrophy are more prominent in PP-MS than in RR-MS. In addition, MRI measures of cortical lesions count, cortical thinning and gray matter atrophy are found to be associated with cognitive impairment in MS. However, most of these studies are directed to the investigation of MRI correlates of cognitive impairment in RR-MS patients only. Unlike RR-MS patients whose brain MRI is characterized by the predominant accrual of inflammatory/demyelinating white matter lesions, PP-MS are generally characterized by fewer white matter lesions, lower accrual rate of lesion and more extensive pathological involvement of gray matter and normal-appearing white matter. Therefore, we hypothesize that cognitive impairment would have different MRI correlates in RR-MS and PP-MS patients.

The aims of our study were: i) to compare RR-MS and PP-MS patients in terms of cognitive performance; ii) to investigate the MRI correlates of cognitive impairment in the two groups using measures of lesion and brain volume, and measures of cortical thickness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Fifty-seven patients (38 women) who met the revised International Panel Criteria were prospectively enrolled in the study. Forty-two patients had relapsing-remitting (RR) and fifteen had primary-progressive (PP) MS. The exclusion criteria were: i) a current or past medical or psychiatric disorder other than MS; ii) current or past substance abuse, and/or iii) MS relapse or corticosteroid use in the previous 6 weeks. An experienced neurologist who was blinded to the MRI findings assessed disability using the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) within 1 week of MRI. A clinical neuropsychologist, who was also blinded to the MRI findings, administered the neuropsychological test battery detailed in the paragraph below. Thirty-three RR-MS patients and twelve PP-MS patients were under treatment with immunomodulatory agents. Of the thirty-three RR-MS patients, fourteen patients were on interferon-β1a (Avonex,
Biogen Idec, Cambridge, MA USA), ten patients were on interferon-β1a (Rebif, Merck Serono, Rockland, MA, USA), seven patients were on interferon-β1a (Betaseron, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Montville, NJ, USA), ten patients were on glatiramer acetate (Copaxone, Teva pharmaceuticals, North Wales, PA, USA) and one patient was on natalizumab (Tysabri, Biogen Idec, Cambridge, MA USA). Of the twelve PP-MS patients, four were on interferon-β1a (Avonex, Biogen Idec, Cambridge, MA USA), two patients were on interferon-β1a (Rebif, Merck Serono, Rockland, MA, USA), four patients were on interferon-β1a (Betaseron, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Montville, NJ, USA) and two patients were on glatiramer acetate (Copaxone, Teva pharmaceuticals, North Wales, PA, USA). Thirty-eight frequency-matched healthy volunteers (21 women) with a similar age range and percentage of females as MS patients, were included as a control group for the MRI evaluation. Approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the New York University Langone Medical Center, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before study initiation.

Neuropsychological Testing

Cognitive functioning was assessed using a battery of neuropsychological (NP) tests, administered to all MS patients within 24 hours of MRI, examining the following cognitive domains: (I) verbal fluency, assessed with the F-A-S (FAS) test \(^\text{21}\); orally produce as many words as possible that begin with the letters F, A, and S in a 60 seconds timeframe (II) verbal learning and memory, assessed with the California Verbal Learning Test-II (CVLT-II) \(^\text{22}\) which consisted of a composite score of three sub-scores: verbal learning, immediate recall and delayed recall. (III) processing speed and working memory, assessed with the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT) \(^\text{23}\) and 3-seconds trial of the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT-3 secs) \(^\text{24}\) (IV) executive functioning, assessed with the Delis–Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS) Inhibition (D-KEFSI) and Inhibition Switching (D-KEFSIS) \(^\text{25}\).

Raw NP scores were normalized using published norms \(^\text{21–25}\) and then converted to Z-scores based on the normal distribution. For the PASAT-3 secs there were no published norms available, therefore test scores were normalized against the mean and standard deviation of 38 healthy controls, comparable in relation to age and years of schooling. Patients were defined as cognitively impaired (CI) when their Z-score on neuropsychological tests was at least 1.65 standard deviations (SD) below the norm (corresponding to the 5\(^{\text{th}}\) percentile) on two or more NP tests \(^\text{26}\). Otherwise, patients were categorized as cognitively preserved (CP). In addition, a composite cognitive performance score was calculated by adding up the Z-scores of all six NP tests described above to give an overall indication of cognitive performance.

Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Depression subscale of the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI-D) \(^\text{27}\). The Depression subscale of the BSI assesses clinical indications of depression such as dysphoric mood and affect as well as reduced motivation and a loss of interest. T-scores of 63 or greater indicate a clinically significant level of depression that is equal to or above the 91\(^{\text{st}}\) percentile. Fatigue was assessed with the multidimensional fatigue inventory (MFI) \(^\text{28}\), covering five dimensions of fatigue: General Fatigue, Physical Fatigue, Reduced Activity, Reduced Motivation, and Mental Fatigue. A composite score from these subscales was generated. Lower scores indicated a higher level of fatigue.
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MRI Acquisition

MRI was performed using a 3T system (MAGNETOM Trio, A Tim System, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with an eight-channel phased-array head coil. The following sequences were collected in all subjects during a single MR session: (i) T2 dual-echo turbo spin-echo; (repetition time (TR) = 5,500 ms, echo time (TE) = 12/99 ms, 48 contiguous, 3-mm-thick axial slices with a 256 × 205 matrix, and a 220 mm × 190 mm field of view (FOV); parallel imaging acceleration factor of 2 (ii) 3D T1-weighted turbo-flash magnetization-prepared rapid-acquisition gradient-echo (MPRAGE) (TR: 2400 ms, TE: 2.71 ms, TI: 900 ms; flip angle: 12°). All slice-encoding locations were acquired in a single slab, covering the whole brain, with an effective thickness of 1 mm; (iii) Post-Gd T1-weighted spin-echo (TR = 471 ms, TE = 12 ms, 50 contiguous, 3-mm-thick, axial slices with a 256 × 205 matrix, and a 220 × 220 mm² FOV).

Image Processing and Evaluation

Lesion Volume Assessment

All data processing was performed off-line on a PC workstation. For all patients, T2-hyperintense and T1-hypointense lesion volume (T2-W and T1-W LV) measurements were obtained using a semi-automated segmentation technique based on user-supervised local thresholding (Jim version 3; Xinapse Systems, Northants, England, (http://www.xinapse.com)). For the T2-W LV classification, lesion borders were determined on PD-weighted images using the T2-W images as reference. T1-hypointense lesions were defined as those lesions with signal intensity between that of the GM and the CSF on T1-W scans 29. In both T2-W and T1-W images, the value of total brain LV was calculated by multiplying lesion area by slice thickness.

Brain Volume Assessment

For all subjects, normalized brain volume (NBV), normalized gray, and white matter volumes (NGMV and NWMV) were measured from the MPRAGE images using Structural Image Evaluation, using Normalisation, of Atrophy (SIENAX) as described previously 30. SIENAX automatically segments brain from non-brain matter, calculates the brain volume, and applies a normalization factor to correct for skull size 31. To correct for misclassification of T1-W GM volume in presence of high T1-W hypointense LV 32, each T1-W hypointense lesion of each subject was filled with the mean intensity value of the normal appearing white matter (NAWM) present in the same slice of the lesion.

Cortical Thickness Assessment

All data processing was performed off-line on a Mac workstation. For all subjects, brain cortical thickness (CTh) and deep gray nuclei volume was measured from the MPRAGE images using Freesurfer software, version 3.0.5 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu). This process consists of several stages, detailed elsewhere 33. Briefly, the procedure included removal of non-brain tissue, Talairach segmentation of white matter, deep gray matter structures and cerebellar structures, automatic correction of topological defects and registration into an average spherical surface...
template. All cortical segmentations were manually checked and re-run after manual editing if errors had occurred. Thickness measurements (in mm) were obtained by calculating the closest distance between the cortical surface and the gray/white matter border. Parcellation of the brain was done using the Desikan–Killiany atlas which consists of thirty-four cortical structures per hemisphere. For further analysis, we combined each area of the two hemispheres (correlation $p < 0.01$) and composed seven cortical areas based on a division described in Desikan et al. The composed areas for analysis were the temporal lobe - medial aspect, temporal lobe - lateral aspect, frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe, cingulate cortex and insula. Furthermore, we obtained volumetric measures (in mm$^3$) from the cerebellum, thalamus, caudate, putamen, pallidum, hippocampus and amygdala.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS 20.0 (Chicago, IL). Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and visual inspection of the histograms was used to assess normality of the variables. When the variables were normally distributed, a multivariate general linear model (GLM) was used to assess group differences. When variables were not normally distributed, the Mann-Whitney U or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Correlations were assessed by partial correlations controlling for age, gender and disease duration. Results were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.

Results
Subject
Fifty-seven patients (38 females) with a mean age of 46.7 years ($\pm 11.0$) participated in the study. Forty-two patients had a relapsing remitting (RR) disease type with mean disease duration of 5.8 years ($\pm 5.2$). Fifteen patients had a primary progressive (PP) disease type with mean disease duration of 9.5 years ($\pm 6.2$). Thirty-seven age- and sex-matched healthy controls (mean age 49.2 $\pm 13.1$; 21 females) were included in the study. Subjects’ demographics, clinical and radiological data are summarized in Table 1. MS patients and controls did not differ with regard to age and gender, but MS patients were significantly more impaired on the MFI ($p < 0.001$) and BSI ($p < 0.001$) scores than healthy controls. In regards to patient disease types, PP-MS patients were significantly older ($p = 0.01$), had longer disease duration ($p = 0.023$) and were more clinically disabled ($p < 0.001$) than RR-MS patients. RR-MS and PP-MS patients did not differ from each other on years of education, MFI (each of the five dimensions) or BSI. Fourteen MS patients (25%) were defined as CI (Z-score of -1.65 on at least 2 out of 6 tests); 9 RR-MS patients (21%) and 5 PP-MS patients (33%). Only on “verbal learning & memory” (CLVT), PP-MS patients scored significantly lower than RR-MS patients ($p = 0.028$), see Table 2 for a summary of scores on neuropsychological tests.
Table 1 | Demographic and clinical characteristics of all subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=37)</th>
<th>All patients (n=57)</th>
<th>RR-MS (n=42)</th>
<th>PP-MS (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>16 / 21</td>
<td>19/38</td>
<td>11 / 31</td>
<td>8 / 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, yrs</td>
<td>49.2±13.2</td>
<td>46.7±11.0</td>
<td>43.7±9.6</td>
<td>54.9±10.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, yrs</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>6.8±5.6</td>
<td>5.8±5.2</td>
<td>9.5±6.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS*</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2.5 (0.0-7.5)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.0-6.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.0-7.5)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impaired</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated/untreated</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>45/12</td>
<td>33/9</td>
<td>12/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSI</td>
<td>15.4±4.0</td>
<td>55.9±11.3*</td>
<td>55.5±12.1</td>
<td>57.1±9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFI</td>
<td>81.5±15.6</td>
<td>68.8±15.4*</td>
<td>69.9±16.3</td>
<td>65.6±12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD, unless otherwise indicated. Abbreviations: RR-MS= relapsing-remitting MS; PP-MS = primary-progressive MS; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; BSI = Brief Symptom Inventory; MFI = multidimensional fatigue inventory.

* p < 0.05 when compared to RR-MS group

** p < 0.001 when compared to RR-MS group

*** p < 0.001 when compared to RR-MS group

¥ p < 0.001 when compared to healthy controls

Table 2 | Mean and standard deviation of Z-scores on neuropsychological tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RR-MS</th>
<th>PP-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>-1.98 ± 4.98</td>
<td>-3.95 ± 5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>0.32 ± 1.17</td>
<td>0.27 ± 1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLVT*</td>
<td>-0.95 ± 1.15</td>
<td>-0.84 ± 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDMT</td>
<td>-0.81 ± 1.18</td>
<td>-1.44 ± 1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKEFS-I</td>
<td>-0.15 ± 0.99</td>
<td>-0.10 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKEFS-IS</td>
<td>-0.07 ± 0.97</td>
<td>-0.38 ± 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASAT</td>
<td>-1.17 ± 1.98</td>
<td>-1.47 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RR-MS= relapsing-remitting MS; PP-MS = primary-progressive MS; CLVT = California Verbal Learning Test; SDMT = Symbol Digit Modalities Test; DKEFS-I = Delis–Kaplan Executive Function System Inhibition; DKEFS-IS = Delis–Kaplan Executive Function System Inhibition Switching; PASAT = Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test.

* p < 0.05

Structural MRI Analysis

None of the controls showed abnormalities on conventional MRI scans. Comparisons between controls and patients in terms of mean normalized brain volume (NBV), normalized white matter volume (NWMV), normalized gray matter volume (NGMV), T1- and T2-Wlesion volumes, mean cortical thickness (CTh) of the 7 cortical areas (temporal lobe - medial aspect, temporal lobe - lateral aspect, frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe, cingulate cortex and insula) and deep
grey nuclei (thalamus, caudate, putamen, pallidum), hippocampus and cerebellar volumes, are reported in Table 3. MS patients had significantly lower NBV (p = 0.01) and NGMV (p<0.001) but not NWMV. In regards to cortical thickness, MS patients had significantly lower thickness than controls in the temporal lobe – lateral aspect (p = 0.049), frontal lobe (p = 0.006), parietal lobe (p = 0.020), occipital lobe (p = 0.038), cingulate cortex (p = 0.006) and insula (p = 0.01). In terms of deep grey nuclei volume, MS patients had a significantly volume decrease in the thalamus (p = 0.005), caudate (p = 0.037), putamen (p = 0.021) and pallidum (p = 0.007).

Between patient disease types (RR-MS vs PP-MS), when correcting for age, there were no significant differences between PP-MS patients and RR-MS patients with regard to NBV, NGMV, NWMV, T1- and T2-W lesion volumes. Although PP-MS patients had less cortical thickness than RR-MS patients in all seven regions, none of these reached the required level of significance. In regards to deep GM volumes, PP-MS patients had greater volume reductions in nearly all areas (cerebellum, thalamus, caudate, putamen, hippocampus and amygdala) compared to RR-MS patients, only the difference in caudate volume reached a level of significance (p = 0.041).

**Correlations of MRI Measurements and Neuropsychological Tests**

After correcting for age, gender and disease duration, the RR-MS patient group showed significant correlations between overall cognitive performance and NBV (r = 0.37, p = 0.022), NGMV (r = 0.38, p = 0.017) and temporal lobe – lateral aspect (r = 0.33, p = 0.040). The SDMT correlated significantly with NBV (r = 0.34, p = 0.033), NGMV (r = 0.38, p = 0.016), temporal lobe – medial aspect (r = 0.34, p = 0.035), temporal lobe – lateral aspect (r = 0.38, p = 0.017) and parietal lobe (r = 0.47, p = 0.002). D-KEFS Inhibition correlated significantly with T1LV (r = -0.41, p = 0.010), T2LV (r = -0.37, p = 0.020), temporal lobe – lateral aspect (r = 0.34, p = 0.034) and frontal lobe (r = 0.44, p = 0.005). D-KEFS Inhibition Switching correlated significantly with NBV (r = 0.36, p = 0.023) and NWMV (r = 0.32, p = 0.044).

The PP-MS patient group showed significant correlations between overall cognitive performance and NBV (r = 0.60, p = 0.040), NWMV (r = 0.73, p = 0.008), thalamus (r = 0.72, p = 0.012) and putamen (r = 0.66, p = 0.027). The SDMT correlated significantly with NBV (r = 0.70, p = 0.011), NWMV (r = 0.75, p = 0.005), T1LV (r = -0.58, p = 0.048), T2LV (r = -0.67, p = 0.017), thalamus (r = 0.74, p = 0.009) and putamen (r = 0.83, p = 0.001). D-KEFS Inhibition correlated significantly with thalamus (r = 0.70, p = 0.017) and hippocampus (r = 0.82, p = 0.002). D-KEFS Inhibition Switching correlated significantly with thalamus (r = 0.65, p = 0.029). The PASAT correlated significantly with volume of the putamen (r = 0.64, p = 0.33).
Table 3 | Mean and standard deviation of MRI measures for each subject group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=37)</th>
<th>All patients (n=57)</th>
<th>RR-MS (n=42)</th>
<th>PP-MS (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2LV</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>5.9 ± 8.7</td>
<td>4.5 ± 6.0</td>
<td>9.6 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1LV</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.1 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBV</td>
<td>1558.5 ± 148.8</td>
<td>1468.5 ± 137.6**</td>
<td>1489.6 ± 131.4</td>
<td>1406.6 ± 135.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGMV</td>
<td>843.2 ± 98.7</td>
<td>775.4 ± 73.8***</td>
<td>791.4 ± 68.2</td>
<td>729.2 ± 69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWMV</td>
<td>715.3 ± 71.9</td>
<td>693.0 ± 95.9</td>
<td>698.3 ± 100.2</td>
<td>677.5 ± 79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal-MA</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal-LA</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1**</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital lobe</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cingulate</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1**</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1**</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insula</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.1**</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>51.7 ± 5.8</td>
<td>50.0 ± 7.3</td>
<td>50.7 ± 7.4</td>
<td>47.8 ± 6.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.0**</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.8**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4** #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallidum</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RR-MS = relapsing-remitting MS; PP-MS = primary-progressive MS; All patients = both patients groups together (RR-MS & PP-MS); T2LV = T2-weighted lesion volume; T1LV = T1-weighted lesion volume; NBV = normalized brain volume; NGMV = normalized gray matter volume; NWMV = normalized white matter volume; Temporal MA = temporal lobe - medial aspect; Temporal LA = Temporal lobe - lateral aspect. Normalized volumes are in mL, cortical thickness is in mm, subcortical volume is in mm³.

* p < 0.05 when compared to control group
** p < 0.01 when compared to control group
*** p < 0.001 when compared to control group
# p < 0.05 when compared to RR-MS group

Discussion

Our study aimed to explore potential differences in cognitive performance and MRI correlates of cognitive deficits in patients with RR-MS and PP-MS, who are characterized by predominant features of inflammation and neurodegeneration, respectively. Clinically, our PP-MS patients were older, had longer disease duration and were more physically disabled than RR-MS patients. With regard to cognitive performance, PP-MS patients showed worse scores than RR-MS patients on five out of six NP tests, although a significant difference was only found for verbal learning and memory. These findings are in agreement with those reported by other studies.
comparing NP performance between RR-MS and PP-MS, with PP-MS patients experiencing more severe and more widespread impairment than RR-MS patients. Furthermore, in line with our results, a previous study by Gaudino et al. found that PP-MS patients had more difficulty with verbal learning than RR-MS patients.

With regard to MRI metrics, the MS patient group as a whole differed from the control group in terms of decreased NBV, NGMV and NWMV, although only the first two reached a level of significance in line with findings of previous studies. Likewise, MS patients showed a significantly decreased volume of subcortical GM nuclei with a preferential pattern of atrophy in the thalamus, caudate, putamen and pallidum compared to healthy controls. These findings are in line with those of previous studies and support the relevance of cortical and subcortical gray matter atrophy in MS. In line with previous studies, the extent of GM involvement in MS patients was further supported by the presence of significant cortical thinning in almost all the examined cortical areas compared to controls. Although some studies found a more preferential pattern for the frontal and temporal lobes cortical thinning, other studies found a frontotemporal preferential pattern in cognitively normal MS patients, but a more widespread pattern in mild (and severe) cognitively impaired patients, which seems to better reflect our MS patient population.

When the two groups of patients were compared to each other, PP-MS patients showed higher T1- and T2-LV, which could be explained by the fact that the RR-MS patients enrolled in our study were in the early stage of the disease. Furthermore, PP-MS patients showed lower brain volume (NBV, NGMV, NWMV and deep GM structures) and cortical thickness compared to RR-MS patients, supporting the knowledge that cortical demyelination is predominant in the progressive stages of MS with longer disease duration. However, only the difference in caudate volume reached statistical significance between the two groups. Previous studies have found caudate atrophy in MS compared to healthy controls and one study did find caudate volume reduction in SPMS and PP-MS, but not in RR-MS patients. The presence of significant atrophy of the deep gray matter nuclei in patients with progressive MS agrees with pathologic and MR imaging results, showing neuronal loss of up to 30 – 35% in these structures.

The most interesting question addressed by our study is, perhaps, the possible differences in MRI correlates of cognitive impairment in patients with predominant inflammation and patients with predominant neurodegeneration. We found that cognitive performance in RR-MS was associated with NBV, NGMV, NWMV, lesion volume and cortical thickness of the temporal lobe, parietal lobe and frontal lobe while cognitive performance in PP-MS was associated with NBV, NWMV, lesion volume and volumes of the thalamus, hippocampus and putamen. This suggests that, at least in our cohort, the presence of WM lesions as well as GM injury contributed to the cognitive status with MRI measures of cortical GM damage being the dominant correlate in RR-MS and MRI measures of subcortical GM injury in PP-MS. Studies investigating the relationship between cortical thickness and subcortical volumes with cognitive deficits are still sparse. A study with RR-MS patients found correlations between left hemispheric superior temporal gyrus thickness and general cognitive status, motor skills, attention, and information processing speed. Another study with RR-MS patients found correlations between cognitive status (both mild
and severe) and thinning of almost all cortical areas analyzed and more specific correlations between NP tests and the frontal and temporal lobe. However, these studies did not include subcortical areas or PP-MS patients for comparison. Nevertheless, correlations between cognitive tests and subcortical GM volumes have been found in previous studies including both RR-MS and SP-MS patients. Cognitive impairment in MS is complex and results from several overlapping factors such as premorbid cognitive function, WM lesion volumes and worsening of tissue damage within lesions, lesional and non-lesional cortical and subcortical gray matter damage, and adaptive or maladaptive functional reorganization. These factors are likely to play a different role depending on the stage of the disease and on the individual genetic background with WM lesion volume, location, and WM microscopic injury being predominant in the early relapsing stage of the disease while cortical and subcortical gray matter volume loss and gray matter lesions becoming predominant as the disease progresses toward secondary degeneration. The association between NP scores and thalamic volume decrease in our PP-MS group in absence of significant association with MRI measures of cortical degeneration is somehow unexpected. Since both cortical and deep GM degeneration are relevant in PP-MS, the fact that we found only a trend towards a significant correlation between measures of cognitive performance (DKEFS-IS) and measures of cortical frontal lobe thickness may be due to the small sample size.

Our study has a few limitations. Since the number of PP-MS patients was relatively small, our findings need to be interpreted with caution until studies with larger sample sizes become available. The number of CI patients seems rather meager (25%), however, this may be due to the relative short disease duration of our patient group (5.8 years for RR-MS patients and 6.8 years for the whole MS patient group). A previous study found a relationship between cognitive impairment and disease duration; after five years of disease duration 20.9% of patients scored 1SD below cut-off for impairment, after 10 years this increased to 29.3%. Our study also used a more stringent cut-off at 1.65 SD. Furthermore, due to the retrospective nature of our study, we were unable to include NP tests covering visuospatial memory, which is often impaired in MS patients. Nevertheless, we feel the current NP test battery reflects patients’ cognitive performance adequately. At the time of our patients’ enrollment, MRI sequences for GM lesion detection were not available on our scanner and, therefore, we could not assess the impact of cortical lesions on cognitive deficits. Previous studies have shown that cortical lesions represent a relevant correlate of both physical and cognitive disability. However, WM lesions also play a relevant role in the development of cognitive deficits, possibly more relevant than previously assumed. Furthermore, the inclusion of MRI measures of microstructural tissue damage such as diffusion tensor imaging could provide a better interpretation of selective cognitive deficits, as suggested in patients with different clinical phenotypes. Future research should involve larger cohorts of patients with progressive MS, and investigate in a longitudinal fashion whether the development of cognitive impairment in different groups of patients is associated with specific patterns of MRI metrics. This would help identify patients at higher risk of cognitive decline and allow early and tailored intervention with both pharmacological and rehabilitative treatments.
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