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The topics are fixed but the survey method is open. The topics represent the key elements of the process and outcome evaluation. By asking open-ended questions, respondents are provided space and time to share their perspectives and opinions. Specific attention should be paid to new topics that emerge during the interviews.

Topic list

Process topics

The respondents are asked to retrospectively reflect on the process of the conference:

1. Problem situation prior to the conference
2. The referral
3. The preparation
4. The conference
   - Exchanging information
   - Private family time
   - Agreeing on the plan
5. Implementation of the plan, and eventually changing the plan after the evaluation

Specific attention should be paid to the following questions: How did the participants experience the role of the FGC coordinator? And do they have suggestions for changing or improving the process of the specific conference they took part in?
Outcome topics

1. To what extent is the general goal achieved? (measure scale, score before and score after the conference)
   Reflection on the given scores:
   Higher score: what are its indicators?
   Lower score: what was its cause?
   Could the score have been better, if so, how?

2. To what extent did the self-reliance and resilience (empowerment) increase? (measure scale, score before and score after the conference)
   Reflection on the given scores:
   Higher score: what are its indicators?
   Lower score: what was its cause?
   Could the score have been better, if so, how?

3. Did the number of people from who support could be expected increase? (count the number of people prior and after the conference)
   To what extent did the quality of social support increase? (family, friends, and neighbours who became more concerned) (measure scale, score before and score after the conference)
   Reflection on the given scores:
   Higher score: what are its indicators?
   Lower score: what was its cause?
   Could the score have been better, if so, how?

4. Did the number of involved professionals decrease after the conference? (count the number of involved professionals prior and after the conference)
   To what extent did the caseload of professionals alleviate? (measure scale, score before and score after the conference)
   Reflection on the given scores:
   Higher score: what are its indicators?
   Lower score: what was its cause?
   Could the score have been better, if so, how?

5. Had this conference also different/unique effects?