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Magnifizenz, Mijnheer de rector, dames en heren,

Colleagues, Students, distinguished Guests!

Before I start my lectures, please allow me to thank all the speakers, participants and organizers of the international conference on “Just Peace”, being held at this University during the past few days (see Appendix). It provides me with a privileged context to present my inaugural lecture. We have shared meaningful insights and rich experiences of building peace with justice in many ways, from Asia, Africa, Latin-America, North-America and Europe, and from different disciplines. I strongly believe that this ongoing intercultural and interdisciplinary conversation is an appropriate way to develop and promote [Peace-] Theology and Ethics in general.

The coincidence that we are able to mark the 275th anniversary of the *Doopsgezind Seminarium* (Mennonite Seminary) in Amsterdam with this conference and inauguration–now integrated in the Faculty of Theology of the Vrije Universiteit–reminds us that we are becoming part of the rich history of this significant institution, in order to continue what others have begun. And I am deeply grateful for this opportunity.
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Introduction: “Glory to God and Peace on Earth!”

“Glory to God – and Peace on Earth”! (Lk 2:14) This has been the biblical motto of the recent International Ecumenical Peace Convocation (IEPC) of the World Council of Churches (WCC). It has proven to be most appropriate to start any theological and ethical reflection on peace and justice (“Peace on Earth”) by the doxology “Glory to God!”

1000 Participants from more than 100 nations gathered at the campus of the University of the West Indies (Mona) in Kingston, Jamaica, last month (17 – 25 May 2011). As a truly ecumenical gathering, representing churches from all traditions, including the roman-catholic church and some Pentecostal communities, we confessed our common understanding: “We understand peace and peacemaking as an indispensable part of our common faith. Peace is inextricably related to the love, justice and freedom that God has granted to all human beings through Christ and the work of the Holy Spirit as a gift and vocation. It constitutes a pattern of life that reflects human participation in God’s love for the world.”¹ To accept the gift (or grace) of peace as a common vocation of the church worldwide and as a central expression of our common faith in the triune God is a milestone in a long ecumenical journey.²

This global Peace Convocation marked the culmination of the ecumenical “Decade to Overcome Violence: Churches Seeking Reconciliation and Peace. 2001-2010”, which was decided upon by the VIII Assembly of the WCC in Harare/Zimbabwe in 1998. One of the
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goals of that decade was to “move peace-building from the periphery to the centre of the life and witness of the church”. For the past ten years, churches throughout the world—often with partners from other religions and from the secular realm—have become engaged with determination in investigating and exploring possibilities for violence prevention, non-violent methods of conflict-resolution, civil forms of conflict management, training of civilian peacemakers and active work for reconciliation after recourse to violence. Universities and Seminaries have contributed their insights from research and think-tanks. All these activities have often been summed up as ‘developing cultures of peace’.

And yet: we are not satisfied. How could we be? There is such an extensive field of injustices as ongoing obstacles for peace. The peace convocation tried to identify the wide range of direct/personal violence, indirect/structural violence as well as cultural forms of violence.

a. Peace in the Community

Violence in our communities has many ugly faces. We have listened to the voices of struggle within communities and neighborhoods in one of the most violent capitals of the world—Kingston. Young people are killed on the streets every single night. We have met courageous persons, inventing new steps towards community-building by creating safe spaces, for example by initiating theatre plays with those kids, allowing them to express their sorrows and joys. Together, churches are seeing more clearly now that “peace education must move to the centre of every curriculum in schools, seminaries and universities.” This education is “a profoundly spiritual formation of character that involves family, church, and society... Peace education promotes active nonviolence as an

---

unequalled power for change that is practiced and valued in different traditions and cultures.”

b. Peace in Economic Relations

We have listened again to the witnesses of dehumanizing poverty—in Zimbabwe for example. The churches’ message from Kingston is clear: “The global economy ... provides many examples of structural violence that victimizes not through the direct use of weapons or physical force but by passive acceptance of widespread poverty, trade disparities and inequality among classes and nations.” The Bible—in contrast—signals a vision of life with “abundance for all” and therefore the churches are starting to advocate for alternative “economies of life”, that are inclusive, respecting everyone’s dignity and allowing fair participation for all. As Jürgen Moltmann has pointed out so clearly during our conference here in Amsterdam: “The alternative to poverty is not property; the alternative to poverty and property is community, and the spirit of community is solidarity and mutual help.”

c. Peace with the Environment

We have been informed by the representatives from the Pacific region, how seriously climate change is calling their very existence into question: some are starting to leave their homes, because their islands are flooded. We have listened to the voices from Fukushima, Japan, who described their anger and fear. They reminded us of the atomic hell of Hiroshima. – “To care for God’s precious gift of creation and to strive for ecological justice are key principles of just peace”, the Convocation affirmed (cf. Genesis 2:4b-9). We noticed, that “the environmental crisis is profoundly an ethical and spiritual crisis of

---

7 An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 28.
10 Ibid.
12 “Peace with the Earth”, cf. An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 33-35.
13 “The nuclear catastrophe of Fukushima has proved once again that we must no longer rely on nuclear power as a source of energy.” The Message of the International Ecumenical Peace Convocation.
14 Cf. An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 35.
humanity... Natural resources and common goods such as water must be shared in a just and sustainable manner.”

**d. Peace among Peoples**

“We witness with concern and compassion the struggle for freedom, justice and human rights of the people in many Arab countries... Our love for the peoples of Israel and Palestine convinces us that the continued occupation damages both peoples”. With a common voice the churches acknowledge: “History, especially in the witness of the historic peace churches, reminds us of the fact that violence is contrary to the will of God and can never resolve conflicts. It is for this reason that we are moving beyond the doctrine of just war towards a commitment to Just Peace.” – But we are just beginning to see that this requires moving from exclusive concepts of national security to an understanding of safety for all. All of us continue to struggle with how innocent people can be protected from injustice, war and violence, being convinced of our “responsibility to protect” them.

“The Way of Just Peace is fundamentally different from the concept of “just war” ... it embraces social justice, the rule of law, respect for human rights and shared human security.” – A new concept of “Just Policing” might find an ecumenical consensus in near future.

Reading “the signs of the times” in a global ecumenical gathering like the one in Kingston, Jamaica, illustrates most clearly that we are just beginning to understand and develop a

---

16 An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 39-41.
18 Ibid. In the ecumenical Call it is stated: “...we feel obliged as Christians to go further—to challenge any theological or other justifications of the use of military power and to consider reliance on the concept of a “just war” and its customary use to be obsolete.” An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 23.
20 An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 10.
holistic and coherent concept of “just peace”. In order to demonstrate the interdependence of the individual challenges while respecting the genuine social concerns and needs given in every particular context, an interdisciplinary approach will be essential. – In that regard this international conference on “Just Peace” at the Vrije Universiteit in Amsterdam is a first step towards the needed interdisciplinary approach to just peace.22

What can be expected from the field of theology? We shall explore this question more deeply in what follows. The short description of some of our deliberations as well as the important Bible studies in Kingston teach us at least two things: 1. The Hebrew Bible as well as the New Testament unmask the truth of evil and provide us with a very realistic Anthropology. We are confronted with the *simul iustus et peccator* of human nature. 2. There is no escape from acknowledging the ethical dilemmas when we deal with the complex challenges of injustice within a theological framework of the `fallen creation’. And yet we shall no longer allow this interpretation of the reality we live in to justify or legitimize the use of violence.

Today we know: the past “Decade to Overcome Violence” was just a start for the global ecumenical family into a much more profound exploration of the *gift* and the *vocation* to just peace.

---

22 The General Secretary of the WCC, Rev. Dr. Olav Fykse Tveit, as well as former General Secretary Prof. Dr. Konrad Raiser have sent their appreciation for that.
I. The Interdependence and Inseparability of Peace and Justice: An Eschatological Approach


In the preparatory Call to the Churches, the Central Committee of the WCC admits: “Too often, we pursue justice at the expense of peace, and peace at the expense of justice. To conceive peace apart from justice is to compromise the hope that ‘justice and peace shall embrace’ (Psalm 85:10).”\(^{23}\) The German Luther-translation (of 1984) reads more accurate: justice and peace shall kiss each other (Hebr. *nashak* = to kiss each other, “... dass Güte und True einander begegnen, Gerechtigkeit und Frieden sich küssem…”). This biblical metaphor informs us about the wisdom, that any subordination of peace to justice or justice to peace will fail. “The Bible makes justice the inseparable companion of peace (Isaiah 32:17; James 3:18). Both point to right and sustainable relationships in human society... the ‘wellbeing’ and integrity of creation.”\(^{24}\)

Exploring anew the common roots of justice and peace with our Jewish brothers and sisters, the churches of the ecumenical community have learned not to reduce peace to the absence of war, a too narrow “negative concept of peace.”\(^{25}\) Rather, *shalom* in the Old Testament means “‘completeness, soundness, welfare, peace.’ Shalom is a broad concept, embracing justice (*mishpat*), mercy, rightness (*tsedeq*) or righteousness (*tsedeqah*), compassion

\(^{23}\) *An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace*, 1.

\(^{24}\) Ibid., 3.

\(^{25}\) Such a “negative concept of peace”- research has as its major concern international relations and nation states and international alliances as its subject matter. Concentration on the origins, development or prevention of military conflicts ignores the fact that in times without war the situation is by no means peaceful. Cf. Zentrum für Konfliktforschung, Philipps-Universität Marburg; Friedens- und Konfliktforschung – Über die Schwierigkeiten, ein Fach zu beschreiben; http://www.uni-marburg.de/konfliktforschung/studium/fachbeschreibung [14.06.2011].

Defining of the research area needs to be further developed, for alongside “military violence there also exist other various forms of violence that from another perspective at least demands the same attention, e.g. torture or enforced relocation. With the introduction of the concept of violence, discussion was widened, and that discussion continues to this day and is particularly controversial. As well as direct forms of violence, social conditions come into view characterized by many sorts of oppression or exploitation, in which direct physical violence is not used (structural violence).” Ibid.
Integrity and Wholeness are possible when there is liberation from oppression, and justice for victims of injustice, the poor and the foreigner. In short, *shalom* means full life for all, by means of life-enhancing relationships, such as between God and humans, between each other, and within creation. *Shalom* is God’s promised just peace.

From this vision of *shalom* emerges the knowledge of the need for redemption as well as the confidence for it. Thus, the fragmentary and provisional nature of the “kingdom of God” (*basileia tou theou*) does not lead to our coming to terms with violent and unjust relationships, but on the contrary it encourages us to not accept the apparently insuperable circumstances as the last word. We do have a sense of what is unjust. We do know of a world according to God’s purposes—and we do know that we already have a share in it. We take seriously Paul’s request: “Do not be overcome by evil, but overcome evil with good” (Romans 12:21). To face evil in all its horror and ugliness while not allowing ourselves to be possessed by it. To see how limited its evil power is in the end, because another reality—God’s just peace—has become a permanent presence in the world, through the *Christus praesens*, the Holy Spirit.

b. Just Peace – the Biblical Vision of Transformative Justice

Violence does not give birth to justice. Peace only becomes possible when justice is fully experienced by everyone. Without justice, peace will remain an illusion. Therefore it is also essential to explore our understanding of justice more deeply since we know, that justice is not a neutral term at all.

Since its very beginnings the WCC has contributed to the development of international law. The rule of law promises to reduce and deter violence. Today we witness international tribunals as well as an International Criminal Court, judging war crimes, ethnic cleansing

---


and crimes against humanity.\textsuperscript{28} Perpetrators are held accountable by the international community, at least some of them. The at least symbolic function of this far-reaching achievement shall not be underestimated. Victims are not left alone with their desire for revenge, which could perpetuate the circle of violence anew.\textsuperscript{29} Yet, we realize that these judicial matters are a far cry from real compensation or doing justice. Common juridical means of the western tradition and culture still follow the logic of punishment and atonement.\textsuperscript{30} In order to really pave the way for the healing of broken relationships as well as of broken ‘souls’, a restorative approach to justice is required; as it is put into practice—to a certain extent—by some “truth and reconciliation commissions” (cf. South Africa, Sierra Leone, Guatemala, et.al.)\textsuperscript{31} or “victim-offender-reconciliation” programs.\textsuperscript{32} That is a start.

In this regard, especially Mennonite legal practitioners and theologians from North-
America and Canada have advanced studies and developed and promoted practices over the past 30 years.\textsuperscript{33}

From the perspective of Jewish-Christian faith, justice is not primarily a virtue (as it was for Aristotle)\textsuperscript{34} or a general principal for equal treatment, but first and foremost justice is something that God offers to humankind. The biblical notion of God’s justice always contains the dimension of mercy. Therefore God’s justice is called a „saving justice”, which does not punish according to wrong doings or reward according to good works, but which makes whole–motivated by sheer love–and thereby creates a new relation between God and humankind.\textsuperscript{35} God justifies the sinner, unconditional, \textit{sola gratia}. – This is the ultimate reality of God’s justification that needs to re-orient the churches’ understanding of human justice: a renewing “transformative justice”, which frees victims \textit{and} offenders from their captivity. It is this creative and transformative justice\textsuperscript{36} that holds the promise to break the vicious cycles of violence.

c. An Eschatological Approach to Theology as Primary Orientation for Ethics

In light of these reflections, we will need to revisit our theological concept of God’s Last Judgment, within an eschatological approach to just peace.\textsuperscript{37} First and foremost, God’s judgment contains the promise of a necessary revelation of all brokenness, all damage, harm and trauma. To reveal the final truth is in fact to judge the sins of violence and to acknowledge injustice. We shall see fully! But this is not the end. Truth is rather the


indispensable step towards ultimate forgiveness, restoration and compensation, healing and reconciliation: God’s creative and saving justice, which cannot be earned but only be received, shall be experienced in this truth. Hate, retribution, punishment, anger, sorrow are not eternal, but God’s enduring love is! – Anticipation of this transformation must re-shape our human efforts of reconciliation in the present.

It becomes obvious that only an eschatological approach to all theology provides coherence to these ethical reflections. The promise of the fulfillment of God’s kingdom is the identity building and orienting force. If Christians give witness to their faith by anticipating the counter-reality of God’s shalom in the midst of this imperfect world, a corresponding “messianic ethic” becomes possible, an ethic of compassion, empathy and advocacy towards every fellow human being as well as towards nature.

Accordingly a preliminary definition of just peace is proposed by the Ecumenical Call:

“Within the limitations of tongue and intellect, we propose that Just Peace may be comprehended as a collective and dynamic yet grounded process of freeing human beings from fear and want, of overcoming enmity, discrimination and oppression, and of establishing conditions for just relationships that privilege the experience of the most vulnerable and respect the integrity of creation”. Since we know of just peace as the promised redemptive grace and consummation, it becomes the orienting energy in our “journey into God’s purpose for humanity and all creation, trusting that God will ‘guide our feet into the way of peace’ (Luke 1:79)”.

---

38 This term was used frequently by John Howard Yoder. Cf. in addition Stanley Hauerwas, The Peaceable Kingdom, A primer in Christian Ethics, Notre Dame/IN: University of Notre Dame 1986.
40 An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace, 11.
41 Ibid., 12.
II. Towards a Theology of Just Peace

Dietrich Bonhoeffer, in 1932 at the International Youth Peace Conference (at Ciernohorske / Czechoslovakia), issued a call to develop a theological foundation for the World Alliance for Promoting International Friendship through the Churches (one of the forerunners of the WCC). He made a plea that was both simple and convincing: “As often as the church of Christ has reached a new understanding of its nature it has produced a new theology, appropriate to this self-understanding. A change in a church’s understanding of itself is proved genuine by the production of theology. For theology is the church’s self-understanding of its own nature on the basis of its understanding of the revelation of God in Christ...”.

Following Bonhoeffer’s argument, we acknowledge: If the churches are actually beginning to develop a new self-understanding as churches, for whom just peace has in fact become as central as they have proclaimed at the global Peace Convocation 2011 – this must and will find expression in a new theology. “If it does not succeed in this”, Bonhoeffer went on to say provocatively, ”that will be evidence that it is nothing but a new and up to date improvement in church organization. No one requires a theology of such an organization, but simply definite action in a concrete task.” – The kairos today is similar to that in 1932 Europe.

The development of a corresponding and coherent theology will be the litmus test for this new self-understanding of the churches’ acceptance of that gift and call of reconciliation. It is

---

44 Ibid., 158.
a great invitation to revisit some fundamental theological concepts together! – Here I can only outline some possible general directions.

a. Theology – “God of Peace” and the Powers

Our image of God shapes and orients our ethics. How is the image of God in the Judaeo-Christian tradition contributing to build right relations? Surely it is far too simple to assume, that monotheism in general is more likely to promote violence over against polytheistic ideas, as Jan Assmann tried to illustrate. It is much more complex. There is no doubt that in the history of thought (Wirkungsgeschichte) of our faith tradition, elements of the image of God have lead to legitimize violence. But at the same time we observe, that it is precisely the God-image of this specific tradition that has set free impulses to overcome violence and struggle for right relations time and again. The ambivalence of this history proves, how important it is to revisit self-critically our own tradition from the perspective of the grace of just peace.

One of the most obvious challenges seems to be the notion of God’s omnipotence. It has mislead believers to instrumentalize religion for the sake of one’s own power. Gott mit uns! – “Gott with us” – has always been a dangerous attempt to follow one’s own goals, to enforce one’s own power by trying to instrumentalize God’s omnipotence. – How then should we speak of God’s almightiness?

In this regard, the most impressive story in the New Testament is found in Lk 4 (“The Temptation of Christ”). The devil offers to Jesus all power over the earth, if he only worships him. The true omnipotence of God is revealed in Jesus’ renunciation of power. The primary message here is precisely the relativizing function of God’s almighty power over against any other power. Following this line of thought, believers experience a

---

freedom to not simply conform with the seemingly ‘given realities’ of politics, coercion or even their own rationality. – The omnipotent is the “God of peace” (Phil 4:9).

b. Christology – Reconciliation and New Creation

In Christian faith, the essential movement of God with creation is expressed through incarnation, in Christ. God does not remain distant and abstract. God gives himself into our violent world in order to save, and to reconcile. This performative movement of self-giving could become a strong impulse for the re-formation of the church’s self-understanding.

Today the ecumenical family confirms: “Jesus[’]… peace is expressed by the spirit of the Beatitudes (Matthew 5:3-11). Despite persecution, he remains steadfast in his active non-violence, even to death. His life of commitment to justice ends on a cross, an instrument of torture and execution. With the resurrection of Jesus, God confirms that such steadfast love, such obedience, such trust, leads to life. This is true also for us.”

The Church believes and confesses that God in Christ has renewed and made right the relationship between Godself and creation, once and for all, indestructibly. We are justified and hence freed for a life in just relationships. Human beings [who perform violent acts] can no longer be reduced to their actions, but remain justified in God’s eyes (coram Deo) – even though their acts of violence are to be condemned as sin.

The gift of reconciliation must not be separated from the call to become “ambassadors of reconciliation” (2 Cor5). In a common contribution to the Decade to Overcome Violence (DOV), two churches as diverse as the Mennonites and the Roman-Catholic Church cite Pope John Paul II as follows: “It is by uniting his own sufferings for the sake of truth and freedom to the sufferings of Christ on the Cross that man is able to accomplish the miracle of peace and is in a position to discern the often narrow path between the cowardice which gives in to evil and the violence which, under the illusion of fighting evil, only makes it worse.”

– Because we believe in that final possibility of overcoming violence, it is both unrealistic and purposeless to resign to the presence of violence. All creativity is necessary

48 An Ecumenical to Just Peace, 4.
49 A Mennonite and Catholic Contribution.
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in order to follow Jesus on that “narrow path” of nonviolence. But Christians know: In Christ we are already part of that new creation (Rom 6).

A theology of just peace must find ways of interpreting this great movement of God in Christ towards reconciliation in such a way that it communicates to the realities of the present world. What are the ethical implications of praising the ‘salvation through the cross’, or the ‘saving power of the Blood of Christ’? Mennonite theologians have begun to interpret the cross as a “nonviolent atonement” (J. Denny Weaver)51, as God’s ultimate act of non-violence (John Howard Yoder),52 challenging some traditional interpretations of that central doctrine.

c. Pneumatology – Consummation and Spaces for Life

Justified life is still experienced in “broken” forms. Nevertheless Christians trust that God’s Spirit will consummate all of creation. Jürgen Moltmann has pointed out the completing „formative metaphors“ of energy, space, and form, necessary in order to circumscribe the experiences of the Holy Spirit. “Der göttliche Geist wird erfahren als der Herr, der befreit, und als der freie Raum, in dem keine Bedrängnis mehr ist... und der betroffene Mensch erfährt sich selbst geborgen und freigesetzt in dem weiten Raum des Geistes, in dem er aufatmen und sich entfalten kann“53. The simultaneous reality of being safe and experiencing an open space for self-development within a community are the preconditions for building cultures of peace. Theologically speaking, this is the gift of the Holy Spirit, since the Holy Spirit creates that community (koinonia): an open ecumenical space for people from different cultures and traditions that offers the freedom to participate in it. It is not a space of total arbitrariness. As an ecumenical space, it provides safety, since it is experienced in the form of a reconciled community—a space for life. The Christian’s unconditional commitment to peace and justice is not based on some humanistic notion of individual freedom, but rather rests on faith convictions that we


share in the community of that ecumenical fellowship, called to live in accordance with this understanding of holiness (1 Pet 1:15-16). – It seems appropriate to describe the process-character of performing a life of just peace in regard to the work of the Holy Spirit.

d. God in Relation – a Trinitarian Theological Framework

A Trinitarian framework (“Rahmentheorie”)
not to be reduced to a sophisticated philosophical speculation on God’s nature – helps us to explore consistently those interpretations of creation by God, reconciliation (or redemption) by Christ and consummation by the Holy Spirit in a coherent and inclusive way. It is especially the orthodox tradition that has moved ecumenical theology to re-visit Trinitarian doctrine. A trinitarian framework helps us to recognize that the God of Abraham and Sarah and the God who frees Israel from slavery is the same who has become incarnate in Jesus Christ and thus indwells (shekinah) this violent world with divine life-giving Spirit. Christian belief does not have a static but a dynamic image of that relating God. This image is characterized by the great bond of love within the fellowship of God’s own relational self. From there flows the decisive belief that we participate in and through Christ–in the (economic) fellowship of Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. (We can only speak of the immanent trinity in terms of the economic). Believers are drawn into the building of shalom, and it is only now that they can act liberated from violence. That implies, inasmuch as it is legitimate, that the Church does not idly long for the promised just peace of God, but commits itself to make this reality of participating in the Divine a part of human experience.

From these theological insights we then go on to seek expression of that self-understanding of the una sancta, the Church ecumenical.

---

e. Ecclesiology: Koinonia and Witness

If we explore the Church as the “People of God”, the “Body of Christ”, and the “Temple of the Holy Spirit”, this will be of relevance for this self-understandings as well as for this formation of the church.\(^58\) If there is no coherence between the *proclamation* of just peace and the *formation* of the church, how shall the church become a credible witness to that gift of relational Peace? The ecumenical community does not only proclaim an eschatological hope, but it is itself a community of hope. Church does not only have a distinct social ethics, Church *is* in itself a distinct social ethics,\(^59\) as that reconciled *una sancta*. The church that lives the peace it proclaims is what Jesus called “a city set on a hill for all to see” (Matthew 5:14).

I want to propose that an ecumenical just-peace-Ecclesiology must then include the following aspects: the anticipation and celebration of the peace of God (in *leiturgia*), the witness for peace (in *martyria*), and service for justice (in *diakonia*). Worship, witness and service for just peace are essentially social activities and reflect that given community by the Holy Spirit (*koinonia*). Therefore the ecumenical community could be described as a community of ”alternative quality” within a globalized world of communities. In her existence in time and space (”irdisch-geschichtliche Existenzform”\(^60\)) theology must serve as that constant self-critical investigation (*ecclesia semper reformanda*) in order to understand where and how churches are inflicted in violent structures and where and how they legitimize injustices—in liturgies, in confessions of faith, in its lives and teachings—in order to confess God’s gift of peace (*ecclesia semper reformanda*).\(^61\) The church of just peace is—of necessity—a confessing church!

---

\(^{58}\) This has been developed in more detail in: Enns, The Peace Church and the Ecumenical Community.


\(^{60}\) Cf. Karl Barth, Kirchliche Dogmatik, Zürich: EVZ 1932-1967, Vol. IV/1, 718; IV/2, 695; IV/3, 780.

III. The Ecumenical Context

a. Interdenominational

From the previous considerations it has become most obvious: developing such a Theology of just peace has to be carried out as an ecumenical task.\(^{62}\) The grace of just peace and the call to reconciliation is given to the church ecumenical—in order to be shared beyond church boundaries, for the church ecumenical is not an end in itself.

At the beginning of the modern ecumenical movement, there was the deep insight that the divided state of the churches was an obstacle to their witness and mission. That, it was said, must not continue. Unity must be sought amid all the differences of approach between the churches (in the Commission on Faith and Order, in the Life and Work movement, the International Missionary Council, or the World Alliance for Promoting International Friendship through the Churches). In each case it came down ultimately to the credibility of the Christian faith and thus to the self-understanding of the churches in fellowship with other churches. The vision of unity is contemporaneous with the peace witness of the Church of Christ. The transmission of the gift of reconciliation in Christ is the deepest and ultimate motive for our striving for reconciliation between Christians, “...despite differences in ethnic and national identity, and even in doctrine and church order.”\(^{63}\) For being a Christian and thus belonging to the \textit{sanctorum communio} transcends any other given or chosen identity.\(^{64}\) The awareness that Christians are in fact reconciled in Christ creates the desired visibility of unity.

It is precisely the interdenominational environment of this very Faculty of Theology at the \textit{Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam}—with its vivid international and intercultural relationships—that


\(^{63}\) \textit{An Ecumenical Call to Just Peace}, 16.

holds great potential to contribute to such an ecumenical Theology of just peace in the future. I look forward to participate in common deliberations with colleagues and students from different traditions and cultural backgrounds to take up that challenge in order to broaden our theological horizons together—while always aware of the realities that lie beyond these privileged academic walls.

b. From a Particular Perspective

Such an understanding of the ecumenical community of churches—in reconciled diversity—presupposes strong and self-confident identities within that communion, for true community is only possible in diversity, if it shall not resume to uniformity. No tradition—shaped by time and culture—holds all truth there is.

Historic Peace Churches, like the Mennonites, are that part of the global ecumenical community, for whom non-violence has been an essential part of their Christian faith and identity—at least in most parts. Faced with the disasters of 20th Century, the ecumenical family has invited these traditions explicitly to share their Peace Theology and Ethics of non-violence. It is the distinct and direct link of Theology and Ethics, always reflecting on the ethical implications of any theological sentence, which Mennonites bring to the ecumenical table: less concerned about doctrinal orthodoxy and more focused on orthopraxis, it might be our specific “undogmatic” way of doing theology, very conscious of the contextuality of any theological reflection. This approach includes a welcome of any proposed corrections by the other traditions. It is a humble approach—and at the same time quite ambitious, since it requests to hold each other accountable within the ecumenical family.

---

The Doopsgezind (Mennonite) Seminarium in Amsterdam has a long and strong history of precisely this notion. Founded as early as 1735, it has served to strengthen Mennonite self-understanding and identity-building, first more liberal (the “Lamist”-party), later also pietistic (the “Zonist”-party) in character. Doopsgezinde in the Netherlands realized earlier than any other Mennonite church, that theological reflection and education is a necessary task in order to constantly clarify the church’s self-understanding as well as its ability to dialogue with other denominations, with new trends in sciences, and with society at large. Samuel Muller (1785-1875) from Krefeld (Germany), who became one of the leading theologians of the Algemene Doopsgezinde Sociëteit and seminary professor in the 19th Century, taught his students that “theological development outside their own denomination could benefit Mennonites as well, and he made sure that new English and German ideas in the fields of theology and philosophy were incorporated into seminary lessons.” Under the strong influence of “Modern Theology”, Mennonites “felt at home within the main-stream of the so-called vrijzinnen-protestantisme..., characterized by tolerance towards other religious currents, an emphasis on individual spirituality and human autonomy, a longing for moral and religious renewal and emancipation from ecclesial patronage”. This kind of liberalism emphasized the responsibility of each believer within church and society to express Christian faith first of all by living an example.

It has to be recognized that during that very period, in the Netherlands this denomination lost the notion of non-violence as a central and essential expression of their faith—against what the Dutch Reformer Menno Simons (1496-1561) had originally taught. Longing for societal emancipation, the “peace tradition among Dutch Mennonites became virtually extinct, at least among the dominant liberalist party”. Only at the beginning of the 20th century it was revived. Mennonites like Cor Inja, who accepted prison as a conscientious objector or Frits Kuiper, who became a most influential non-militarist, who “rejected any totalitarian regime and stood up in defense of the Jews” started to witness again to that non-violent stance. –An intentional move from mere passive non-resistance in the 16th C, to an active societal involvement towards tolerance during the 19th C, on to strong efforts for active non-violent conflict-resolution today can be observed in the history of Mennonites in the Netherlands.

68 Testing Faith and Tradition. 64. In his inaugural address in Zuthpen, Muller spoke on Titus 2:7: “Show yourself in all respects a model of good works, and in your teaching show integrity, gravity, and sound speech that cannot be censured” (ibid, 63).
69 Ibid., 65. “Muller and others were proud of the non-dogmatic Doopsgezinde approach to Bible, the traditional rejection of dogmatism and the independent individualist approach to religion” (ibid, 64). Still, “Muller was a community builder...”, ibid. 67.
70 Ibid., 70.
71 Ibid., 81.
I am convinced that the Doopgezind Seminarium, and certainly its envisioned Amsterdam Study Center of Religion, Peace and Justice Studies, within the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam is one of the most appropriate places to carry out the necessary theological reflection of the coming period. – And I feel honored to have been invited to play an active role in this promising research, teaching, and debate.

c. In Interreligious Dialogue

During the Peace Convocation in Jamaica, where representatives from other faiths were constantly involved in our deliberations, we recognized again that “... the promise of peace extends to all people regardless of their traditions and commitments.” There will be no peace on earth, if we cannot find peace among the religions, as Hans Küng has pronounced so strongly in his World-Ethos Project. Just peace cannot be established or experienced apart from people of other faiths. Today, all theological reflection and concrete action takes place in the context of plural societies and increasing globalization of all areas of life.

In interreligious dialogue we find that great opportunity to develop true respect and “costly tolerance” towards the Other—who will remain the Other—as well as to find common ethical ground with our “sister-religions” (Jayasiri T. Peiris). If we only take our own faith and the faith of the Other seriously. We will have to listen to their faith confessions as they will have to listen to ours’—since it is only in the realm of those faith-systems that we will start to understand the Other’s struggle for peace with justice. If such witnessing to each other is not possible, the relations will remain superficial; they will not prove to be sustainable in times of crisis (“cheap tolerance”).

The University is only one, but very important place for that interreligious exchange. Within its shared scientific standards a true knowledge about the Other becomes possible, especially in a welcoming and pluralist environment like the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. It is

---

72 The Message of the International Ecumenical Peace Convocation.
a privilege to become part of that ongoing endeavor here, since it will enrich any research and effort for just peace.

**FINAL REMARKS**

The new chair of Mennonite [Peace-] Theology and Ethics is a clear signal of a strong commitment to building cultures of just peace, a commitment of the *Algemene Doopsgezinde Sociëteit* (ADS, Mennonite Church in the Netherlands) with its fine Doopsgezind Seminarium as well as by this distinguished University and its members of the Faculty of Theology. It holds the promise to establish that necessary “open space” which is required to analyze the diverse and multiple challenges of violence in all its dimensions as well as to explore new theological and ethical thinking and reflection. On a personal level, to take up this challenge is an expression of my commitment to serve the Mennonite Community worldwide in order to train future pastors and scholars, to serve the global church by contributing to an ecumenical Theology of just peace, and to serve God by becoming ever more receptive to God’s wisdom.

Please allow me to thank you, the *College van Bestuur* and the *Bestuur van de Faculteit Godgeleerdheid* of the Vrije Universiteit, the Dean Wim Janse, colleagues and all medewerkers;

thank you, representatives of the ADS, its *WereldWerk*, and the *Curatorium* of the Doopsgezind Seminarium, the Rector Alex Noord, colleagues and fine Students.

Thank you for the trust you are granting me, and for the overwhelming warm welcome I have experienced over the past months.

An African Proverb says: “If you want to walk fast, you better walk alone. If you want to walk far, walk with others.” I intend to walk far.

Glory be to God!

---

75 I have learned this wisdom from Sam Kobia, the former General Secretary of the WCC.
Compassionate God
We speak of love and are accomplices in violence
We cry for justice and are entangled in injustice
We claim the truth and accept a lie
We hope for peace and fail to live it

Prince of Peace
You have taken upon you the sin of the world
You have suffered the violence of humankind
You have confronted the injustice of the powers
And faced the force of death

Creator Spirit
Give us the courage and strength
To speak the truth in love
To do justice with peace
To be merciful as you are.⁷⁶

APPENDIX  

JUST PEACE. International Congress, 23-24 June 2011, Amsterdam

The Free University Amsterdam (VU) and the Doopsgezind (Mennonite) Seminarium are organizing the academic congress Just Peace. With this event they are celebrating the 275th Anniversary of the Seminarium and also the inauguration of dr. Fernando Enns as Full Professor at the newly established VU-chair on Peace Theology and Ethics.

The World Council of Churches accepted at its 1998 assembly in Harare/Zimbabwe a motion from the Mennonite delegate dr. Fernando Enns to designate 2001-2010 as the Decade to Overcome Violence. Churches Seeking Reconciliation and Peace. At the International Ecumenical Peace Convocation (IEPC), May 2011 in Kingston/Jamaica, the Decade was officially closed.

The congress is being planned with the support of VISOR (VU Institute for the Study of Religion, Culture, and Society), Doopsgezind WereldWerk, and Mennonite Central Committee.

Programme

Opening 
Prof. Wim Janss, Dean of VU Faculty of Theology  
Rev. Alex Noord, Rector of Doopsgezind Seminarium

The Ecumenical Context: The Role of Peace and Justice for the Unity of the Churches

‘A Culture of Life in the Midst of the Deadly Threats of our Times’
Prof. em. Jürgen Moltmann (Eberhard-Karls-Universitaet Tübingen, Germany)

‘The Role of the Unity of the Churches for Peace and Justice’
Prof. Eddy van der Borght (Desmond-Tutu-Chair, VU Faculty of Theology)

a. The Role of the Unity of the Churches for Peace and Justice
Prof. Jürgen Moltmann / Prof. Eddy Van der Borght

b. The Interreligious Context of Indonesia
Rev. Paulus Hartono (Director of MDS Mennonite Diakonia Service, GKMI Synode, Solo/Indonesia)

c. The Art of Forgiveness – “Amish Grace”
Prof. Donald B. Kraybill (Young Center for Anabaptist & Pietist Studies, Elizabethtown College Pennsylvania, U.S.A.)

d. Creating Space for Peace. Dietrich Bonhoeffer and Christian Peacemaking
Dr. Annette Mosher (Assistant Professor of Ethics, VU Faculty of Theology),  
Rev. Janna Postma (Doopsgezind WereldWerk),  
Rev. Maarten van der Werf (Christian Peacemaker Teams)
Towards an Ecumenical Theology of Just Peace

The Intercultural Context: Peace with Justice–Reading for Reconciliation in Situations of Violence

‘Peace in Colombia: Justice or Justification?’
Rev. Alix Lozano (Director of Mennonite Biblical Seminary, Colombia), presented by Andrés Pacheco Lozano
‘Reading for Reconciliation. The Text, the Reader and the Other Reader’
Prof. Hans de Wit (Dom-Hélder-Câmara-Chair for Peace and Justice, VU Faculty of Theology)

The Interdisciplinary Context: Justice for all – the impossible possibility?

Citizen Involvement in War Situations.
Prof. em. Mient Jan Faber (VU Faculty of Social Sciences)
Psychology. Prof. Pumla Gobodo-Madikizela (University of Cape Town, South Africa)
Interreligious Relations. Rev. Paulus Hartono (Solo, Indonesia)
Law (Restorative Justice). Barbara Toews (Haverford College and adjunct faculty at Graduate School of Social Work and Social Research at Bryn Mawr College, U.S.A.)

a. Coming to Terms with Injustice – Interdisciplinary Workshop (VISOR)
Culture and (failed) Restoration in Postwar Yugoslavia
Prof. Mattijs van de Port (VU Faculty of Social Sciences):
Injustice and Posttraumatic Spirituality.
Prof. Ruard Ganzevoort (VU Faculty of Theology):
Is There a Theology after the Gulag?
Dr. Katya Tolstaya (VU Faculty of Theology):
Moderator: Dr. Peter Versteeg (project coordinator VU Institute for the Study of Religion, Culture, and Society VISOR)

b. Forgiveness after Mass Trauma and Violence: A Dialogue between Psychology and the African Ethics of Ubuntu
Prof. Pumla Gobodo-Madikizela (South Africa)

c. Building Peace with Justice in the Context of Colombia
Rev. Alix Lozano (Columbia)

Barbara Toews (U.S.A.)

Inaugural Address. ‘Towards an Ecumenical Theology of Just Peace’
Prof. Fernando Enns (Chair for [Peace-] Theology and Ethics, Doopsgezind Seminarium, VU Faculty of Theology)
Cultural Events

*Walking in the Footsteps of the Mennonites* – Walking Tour through Amsterdam (*Anna Voolstra*)

*The Amish Project* – A fictional exploration of the Nickel Mines schoolhouse shooting in an Amish community 2006, and the path of forgiveness and compassion forged in its wake. We have chosen for a performance as a 'reading’, with a simple decor (*Jessica Dickey*).

*Mennonite Heritage Tours* (*Ayold Fanoy*)