Biomarkers as predictors for conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer-type dementia: implications for trial design
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ABSTRACT

Disease modifying drugs for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are likely to be most effective when given in non-demented subjects. In this review we summarized biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood that can predict AD-type dementia in subjects with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). In addition we investigated whether these markers could reduce sample size and costs if used to select subjects for trials on the prevention of AD in subjects with MCI. A meta-analysis of markers that had been investigated in multiple studies showed that the combination of Aβ1-42 and tau in CSF had the best predictive accuracy for AD (odds ratio (OR) 18.1, 95% confidence interval (CI) 9.6-32.4). Aβ1-42, total tau and phosphorylated tau in CSF also predicted conversion, but with lower accuracy (OR 7.5 to 8.1). Plasma levels of Aβ1-40, Aβ1-42, the ratio Aβ1-42/Aβ1-40 and homocysteine did not predict outcome. In a fictive trial design, the use of the combination of Aβ1-42 and tau in CSF in the selection of subjects could reduce sample size by 67% and trial costs by 60% compared to a trial in which unselected subjects with MCI would be enrolled. In conclusion, the combination of Aβ1-42 and tau in CSF is useful to select subjects for trials that aim to slow down the progression from MCI to AD-type dementia.
INTRODUCTION

Disease modifying drugs that may slow down the progression of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are currently under development. These drugs are likely to be most effective when given early in the course of the disease, but diagnostic criteria for AD in non-demented subjects are currently lacking. Predementia AD can not be accurately diagnosed by clinical criteria alone, such as criteria for mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Biomarkers may help to increase the diagnostic accuracy compared to clinical criteria, because pathological changes of AD can already be found in non-demented subjects. Which biomarkers would be most useful as inclusion criterion in trials with disease modifying drugs and to what extent these markers could reduce sample size and costs of such trials is however unknown.

The aim of the present study was to investigate which biomarkers could predict AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI and how the use of these biomarkers as an inclusion criterion for trials with drugs that aim to slow down the progression from MCI to AD-type dementia, could reduce sample size and costs. We limited our study to biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood.

First, we will briefly summarize the pathophysiology in AD, since appropriate biomarkers should reflect AD pathology. Next, we will discuss biomarkers for the key pathological processes in AD in CSF and blood and discuss their use as predictor for progression to AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI. Of CSF and blood markers that have been investigated in at least 4 studies, we will calculate pooled measures of predictive accuracy. Finally, we will use the predictive accuracy of the best markers to calculate for a fictive trial how the use of these markers as an inclusion criterion will influence sample size and costs.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF AD

Abnormalities in amyloid beta (Aβ) processing play a central role in the pathophysiology of AD. In AD, Aβ peptides aggregate and form oligomers, fibrils and eventually plaques, a pathological hallmark of AD. Aggregated Aβ is supposed to be neurotoxic and to initiate a cascade of events including oxidative stress, inflammation and dysregulation of lipid metabolism. This cascade eventually leads to loss of synapses and cell death, as shown in figure 1. Different isoforms of the Aβ peptide are generated from the amyloid beta precursor protein (AβPP). In AD, especially Aβ1-42 seems to be important as it is more prone to aggregate compared to other isoforms. Cumulating evidence suggests that mainly the oligomer form of Aβ is toxic. The increase in aggregated Aβ may be caused by either increased production, disturbance of the post-translational modification or reduced clearance of Aβ. Another pathological hallmark of AD are neurofibrillary tangles. These are aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau and may contribute to neuronal dysfunction. Inflammatory processes, oxidative stress and alteration of lipid metabolism are though to occur as a consequence of Aβ pathology, but may in turn further dysregulate Aβ processing. The primary cause for dysregulation of the Aβ metabolism is unknown and multiple factors may be involved, for example genetic or environmental factors.
BIOMARKERS FOR AD IN SUBJECTS WITH MCI

Many of the biochemical changes related to the pathophysiology of AD can be measured in vivo in body fluids.

Markers for Aβ processing in CSF

Biomarkers for Aβ processing in CSF include isoforms of the peptide itself as well as markers for its production and clearance. Aβ peptides can be measured with assays that measure monomers or aggregated species, or with assays that are not specific for aggregation status. The concentration Aβ\textsubscript{1-42} correlates with the presence of amyloid plaques in the brain. Many studies have shown that in MCI subjects a low concentration of Aβ\textsubscript{1-42} in CSF can predict conversion to AD-type dementia, as will be discussed in more detail below. Also a combination of different Aβ isoforms in CSF may predict AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI. Production of Aβ\textsubscript{1-42} from Aβ\textsubscript{PP} is dependent on the β site Aβ\textsubscript{PP} cleaving enzyme (BACE-1). One study showed that increased BACE1 activity could predict AD-type dementia in MCI subjects. Of the peptides that may be involved in the clearance of Aβ only few have been investigated in CSF of subjects with MCI. Levels of neprilysin were decreased in subjects with progressive MCI and mild AD-type dementia compared to controls. Aβ binding proteins may also influence Aβ aggregation and a lower level of serum amyloid P component predicted AD-type dementia in MCI subjects.

Markers for Aβ processing in plasma

In plasma, abnormal Aβ concentrations have been associated with AD, although they do not correlate with the occurrence of Aβ plaques in the brain. Four longitudinal studies have assessed predictive value of plasma Aβ levels in MCI subjects as will be discussed in more detail below. In a small study, the Aβ\textsubscript{PP} isoform ratio in platelets could predict conversion to AD-type dementia in MCI subjects with a sensitivity and specificity of 0.83.

Figure 1. Pathophysiology of Alzheimer’s disease
Markers for neurodegeneration
Many markers of neurodegeneration are available, but most of them are not specific for AD pathology. Concentrations of total tau (t-tau) and phosphorylated tau (p-tau) can be measured in CSF and correlate with the presence of neurofibrillary tangles. In MCI subjects increased CSF level of t-tau and p-tau were associated with an increased risk of progression to AD-type dementia as will be discussed below. In plasma, levels of tau are very low and no reliable assay is available yet. Other markers for neurodegeneration, like visinin-like protein, neurofilaments and GAP43 (neuromodulin) are increased in CSF of AD subjects compared to controls, but have not been tested as predictor for AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI.

Markers for inflammation
A wide range of inflammatory markers have been studied in blood and CSF of AD subjects, including cytokines, acute-phase proteins and complement factors, but none of these markers could discriminate between subjects with AD and healthy controls. In MCI, CSF tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) was increased and transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) was decreased in subjects progressing to AD-type dementia compared to healthy controls, but not compared to subjects with stable MCI. Another study showed higher levels of TNF receptors in CSF as well as in plasma in MCI subjects that converted to AD-type dementia. Several other cytokines did not predict progression to AD-type dementia. Antibodies against Aβ are found in CSF and plasma of AD subjects. Studies comparing levels of antibodies of AD subjects and healthy controls showed conflicting results. The possible use of antibodies as marker in MCI has not been studied yet.

Markers for oxidative stress
Some markers of lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation and nitrosylation and DNA and RNA oxidation have shown to be abnormal in CSF, plasma or peripheral blood cells of subjects with AD-type dementia. In MCI subjects, an increased level of F2-isoprostane, a marker of lipid peroxidation was associated with cognitive decline and progression to AD-type dementia.

Markers for lipid metabolism
Serum cholesterol is suggested to be associated with an increased risk for AD, but results have been conflicting. In CSF, increased levels of 24OHC and 27-hydroxycholestrol (27OHC) were found in AD and MCI subjects compared to controls. In plasma, results have been conflicting. One study in MCI found that CSF levels of sulfatide and the ratio of sulfatide to phosphatidylinositol were decreased in very mildly demented subjects, however the predictive accuracy for AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI has not yet been tested.

Other markers
Homocysteine
Increased plasma homocysteine may be associated with an increased risk for AD, although the exact pathophysiological mechanism behind this is not clear. Two studies found an association between homocysteine level and incidence of AD in the general population, another study found...
no association of plasma homocysteine level and the incidence of MCI. The predictive accuracy of homocysteine for AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI will be discussed in more detail below.

**Proteomics**

Unbiased approaches have been useful to select biomarkers in CSF or plasma that may differentiate between AD subjects and healthy controls. In CSF, a panel of 17 proteins and peptides in CSF could distinguish between subjects with stable MCI and subjects with MCI who progressed to AD-type dementia. In plasma, a combination of 18 different proteins in plasma predicted progression to AD-type dementia in MCI subjects with over 90% accuracy. In a subsequent study that tested for reproducibility of these markers diagnostic accuracy was however lower.

**POOLED ANALYSIS OF PREDICTORS FOR AD IN SUBJECTS WITH MCI**

We performed a meta-analysis of markers that had been tested in at least 4 studies to obtain pooled estimates of the predictive accuracy for progression to AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI. These markers were Aβ1-42, t-tau, and p-tau in CSF and Aβ1-40, Aβ1-42 and homocysteine in plasma.

We identified studies through a systematic search in PubMed, Medline and Psychinfo (search terms available on request) and from the references of retrieved studies. If multiple studies reported on the same sample, we included the study with the longest follow up or the largest sample size. Data were pooled in a random effects model with STATA (version 9.2; Stata Corp, College Station, TX). For continuous variables we calculated Cohen’s delta as outcome measure. For dichotomized scores we calculated the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and odds ratio (OR). These dichotomized scores were based on cut-offs provided in the study. If different types of cut-off levels were used within one study, we chose predefined cut-offs over data-driven cut-offs.

**Aβ1-42, t-tau and p-tau in CSF**

We identified 19 studies which provided unique data of CSF levels of Aβ1-42, t-tau, p-tau as predictor for AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI (table 1). One multi-centre study may have included data that had been presented in other studies as well, but exclusion of this study did not change the outcome substantially and therefore the study was included in the analyses.

The mean conversion rate to AD-type dementia in the studies was 37% during a mean follow up of 2.5 years. Cohen’s delta showed that Aβ1-42, t-tau and p-tau were significant predictors of outcome (table 2). The highest odds ratio (OR) for AD-type dementia was found for the combination of Aβ1-42 and t-tau with or without p-tau (OR 18.1, 95% confidence interval (CI) 9.6-34.2). An abnormal combination was defined as an abnormal ratio of these markers or as an abnormal score for at least 2 markers. The combination Aβ1-42 with only p-tau had a slightly lower OR (17.5, 95% CI 10-30.6). For the individual markers the OR ranged from 7.54 (Aβ1-42) to 8.05 (p-tau). For further analysis we selected the combination of Aβ1-42 and t-tau, which was studied in 8 studies with a total of 1236 MCI subjects of which 454 converted to AD-type dementia.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Follow up (year)</th>
<th>Dichotomous outcome measure</th>
<th>Cohen’s delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riemenschneider (2002)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70.1 (8.1)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampel (2003)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>72.5 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarowski (2003)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>74.0 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.8 (range 0.2-3.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zetterberg (2003)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>range 50-83</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maruyama (2004)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>73.9 (6.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henukka (2005)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70.4 (74)</td>
<td>3.0 (range 0.5-12)</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson (2006)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>71.8 (range 50-86)</td>
<td>4.7 (range 1.1-6.8)</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnetti (2006)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewers (2007)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70.9 (7.3)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellgiebel (2007)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>68.6 (7.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson (2007)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>71.8 (range 50-86)</td>
<td>4.7 (range 1.1-6.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schonknecht (2007)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>69.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>26.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>x1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsberg (2008)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blom (2009)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>62.9 (8.2)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brys (2009)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71.8 (79)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kester (2009)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67.8 (8.2)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattsson (2009)</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>69.0 (range 43-89)</td>
<td>3.0 (range 2-11)</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papaliagkas (2009)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>67.7 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visser (2009)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>70 (7.7)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD), unless otherwise specified.

MMSE=Mini-Mental State Examination

*1=Aβ1-42, 2=Total tau, 3=Phosphorylated tau, 4=Combination of Aβ1-42 with total tau or phosphorylated tau.
The sensitivity of this combination to predict AD-type dementia was 0.87 (95% CI 0.80-0.95), the specificity 0.70 (95% CI 0.57-0.83) and the positive predictive value 0.65 (95% CI 0.53-0.77).

Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 in plasma

We selected 4 studies on the association of Aβ plasma levels with conversion to AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI in which a total of 244 subjects with MCI was included (table 3). The mean conversion rate to AD-type dementia was 51% during a mean follow up of 4.0 years. The pooled effect sizes for Aβ1-40, Aβ 1-42 and the ratio Aβ 1-42/Aβ1-40 and conversion to AD-type dementia are shown in table 2. None of the effect sizes was statistically significant, although increased levels of Aβ1-40 showed a weak association with conversion to AD-type dementia (Cohen’s delta 0.18, p=0.15 (table 3, figure 2)). No dichotomized scores were available.

Homocysteine in plasma

Four studies with in total 369 subjects investigated the predictive value of homocysteine for AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI (table 3). Mean conversion rate to AD-type dementia was 37% during a mean follow up of 3.3 years. Homocysteine did not predict AD-type dementia (Cohen’s delta 0.21, table 2). No dichotomized scores were available.

USE OF BIOMARKERS IN SELECTION OF SUBJECTS FOR DRUG TRIALS

In the previous section we showed that the combination of Aβ1-42 and tau in CSF had the best predictive accuracy to predict conversion to AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI. In this section we will investigate how the use of these markers for the selection of subjects can reduce sample size and costs of a trial with a drug that could slow down the progression from MCI to AD-type dementia. We compare the costs of 2 strategies. The first strategy is a trial in which subjects with MCI

<p>| Table 2. Effect sizes of biomarkers in CSF or plasma for prediction of Alzheimer’s disease in subjects with MCI |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Number of subjects (AD at follow-up/ no-AD at follow-up)</th>
<th>Cohen’s delta (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Aβ1-42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>172/252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-tau</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>238/404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-tau</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>161/316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Aβ1-40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>133/215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aβ1-42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>133/215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio Aβ1-42/Aβ1-40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>133/215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homocysteine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>138/231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD=Alzheimer type dementia; CSF=Cerebrospinal fluid; Aβ1-42= Amyloid beta peptide 1-42; Aβ1-40=Amyloid beta peptide 1-40; T-tau=Total tau; P=tau=Phosphorylated tau.
Table 3. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis of plasma markers in subjects with MCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>MMSE</th>
<th>Follow up (year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A(\beta)1-42 and A(\beta)1-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson (2008)(^{12})</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>69.7, range 50-85</td>
<td>27.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson (2008)(^{13})</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>62.9, range 47-79</td>
<td>27.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>Range 2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopez (2008)(^{14})</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>79.8 (4.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cammarata (2009)(^{15})</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>75.2 (5.2)</td>
<td>&gt; 26</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homocysteine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maruyama (2004)(^{16})</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>73.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>25.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annerbo (2006)(^{17})</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>65.0 (8.5)</td>
<td>26.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabryelewicz (2007)(^{18})</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>69.3 (6.9)</td>
<td>27.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siuda (2009)(^{19})</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70.8 (5.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD), unless otherwise specified.

MMSE=Mini Mental State Examination; A\(\beta\)1-42= Amyloid beta peptide 1-42; A\(\beta\)1-40=Amyloid beta peptide 1-40.

Figure 2. Pooled Cohen’s delta of A\(\beta\)-40 plasma levels for the prediction of AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI. A positive Cohen’s delta indicates that increased plasma levels are associated with an increased risk for AD.

are included. The second strategy is a trial in which subjects are enrolled with MCI and an abnormal combination of A\(\beta\)1-42 and tau in CSF. Calculations will be performed from the perspective of the performer of the trial and input estimates are mainly based on expert opinion.

The hypothetical trial is a 3-year placebo-controlled trial with 50% on active treatment to examine the effectiveness of an oral drug on the delay of progression to AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI. Subjects would be selected from a memory clinic setting, with MCI defined as an abnormal performance on neuropsychological tests in the absence of dementia.\(^{22}\) Costs needed to apply the trial inclusion and exclusion criteria (including cognitive tests and imaging) are estimated on €700 per patient. Costs for the collection and analysis of CSF are estimated on €324. The costs of the treatment phase of the trial were estimated on €5800 per included patient. This included
costs for 7 assessments with clinical and cognitive testing and blood analysis and costs related to
drugs, drug supply, approval of the medical ethical committee and subject reimbursement. Costs
related to side effects and adverse events were not included in the analysis.
The number of subjects was chosen such that the study could detect a relative decrease of 20%
in conversion rate to AD-type dementia over the 3-year period, with a power of 90%, a 2-sided
alpha of 5%, and a drop-out rate of 30%. The number of subjects to be included would depend on
the conversion rate to AD-type dementia in each strategy. Based on the meta-analysis above, we
estimated that the 3-year conversion rate would be 40% in subjects with MCI and 70% in subjects
with MCI and an abnormal Aβ and tau in CSF. Based on these conversion rates, the number of
subjects to be included in the trial would be 2160 for a study with only subjects with MCI (strategy 1)
and 714 for a study with subjects with MCI and an abnormal combination of Aβ1-42 and tau in
CSF (strategy 2). Based on a sensitivity of 0.87 and a specificity of 0.70 of the CSF test (see previous
section) and a failure rate of lumbar puncture of 10%, 1503 subjects with MCI should undergo
lumbar puncture in order to include 714 subjects with an abnormal combination of Aβ1-42 and tau
in CSF. The strategies are visualized in figure 3. This figure shows the rounded number of subjects
for each arm, while costs were calculated based on the unrounded number of subjects.
The trial costs of strategy 1 with only subjects with MCI included would be €14,040,000 (pre-trial
screening costs and trial costs for 2160 subjects, figure 3). The trial costs of strategy 2 in which
subjects with MCI and an abnormal combination of Aβ1-42 and tau in CSF are included would be
€5,681,580 (pre-trial screening costs and CSF collection and analysis costs for 1503 subjects, and
trial costs for 714 subjects, figure 3). This is a reduction in costs of 60% compared to strategy 1.
A one-way sensitivity analysis using the lower bound of the confidence interval of the test-
sensitivity (0.80 instead of 0.87) mentioned above would increase the number of subjects with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy 1</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-trial screening</td>
<td>CSF test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD at follow-up (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No AD at follow-up (60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy 2</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-trial screening</td>
<td>CSF test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD at follow-up (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test positive</td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test negative</td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test failed</td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No AD at follow-up (60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test positive</td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test negative</td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test failed</td>
<td>€700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Costs related to different recruitment strategies in a hypothetical trial. Strategy 1 refers to a trial in
which subjects with MCI are included and strategy 2 to a trial in which subjects with MCI and an abnormal
amyloid beta peptide 1-42/tau ratio in CSF are included. It is assumed that 40% of the subjects with MCI would
convert to AD at follow-up. The numbers of subjects with a positive and negative test result are based on a
sensitivity of 0.87 and a specificity of 0.70 as explained in the text. The rounded number of subjects in each arm
is shown, while costs were calculated based on the unrounded numbers of subjects. Test positive=number of
subjects with abnormal CSF ratio; test negative=number of subjects with normal CSF ratio; test failed=number
of subjects in which lumber puncture failed (10% of sample). #Subjects included in trial
208 and trial costs with € 536,194 (reduction costs compared to strategy 1 of 56% instead of 60%). Using the lower bound of the specificity (0.57 instead of 0.70) would increase the number of subjects with 261 and trial costs with € 1,704,852 (reduction costs compared to strategy 1 of 47%). If the lower bound of positive predictive value was used (0.58 instead of 0.70), 839 extra subjects needed to be included and trial costs would increase with 3,171,554 (reduction costs compared to strategy 1 of 37%). A CSF test-price increase of 25% resulted in an increase of € 121,743 of the total trial costs (reduction costs compared to strategy 1 of 59%). If trial costs would double to € 11,600 per patient strategy 2 would reduce costs by 63% compared to strategy 1.

**DISCUSSION**

Our main findings are that the combination of Aβ1-42 with total tau in CSF is the best predictor for conversion from MCI to AD-type dementia and that use of these markers in the selection of subjects for trials with drugs that aim to prevent the progression from MCI to AD can substantially reduce the sample size and trial costs. Although a large variety of biomarkers for AD can quantify dysregulation of Aβ metabolism or secondary processes in AD like neurodegeneration, inflammation, oxidative stress and altered lipid metabolism in CSF and blood, only few of them have been tested as predictor for AD-type dementia in subjects with MCI in more than 4 independent studies. Among the markers tested in multiple studies, the combination Aβ1-42 with t-tau in CSF had the best predictive accuracy. CSF levels of Aβ1-42, t-tau and p-tau could also predict conversion to AD-type dementia but the accuracy was lower. Plasma levels of Aβ1-40, Aβ1-42, the ratio of Aβ1-42/Aβ1-40, and homocysteine did not predict AD-type dementia.

In our hypothetical trial on the prevention of progression from MCI to AD-type dementia, use of Aβ1-42 and tau in CSF for the selection of subjects could reduce trial costs up to 60% relative to a trial that would include subjects with MCI that were not selected by CSF markers. This reduction largely resulted from the fact that fewer subjects needed to be enrolled in order to obtain the required statistical power. The sample size could be smaller because the conversion rate in subjects with MCI and abnormal Aβ1-42 and tau levels (70%) was much higher compared to that in unselected subjects with MCI (40%). The sensitivity analysis confirmed the strong effect of conversion rate on costs, as the cost reduction of a model with a conversion rate of 58% in subjects with abnormal Aβ1-42 and tau levels would be much lower (37%). The sensitivity analyses also showed that the costs of the CSF test had only a minor influence on the cost reduction and that benefits would be larger if the costs for conducting the trial increased.

Our study has several limitations. We may have overestimated the predictive accuracy of the CSF markers as some studies included in the meta-analysis dichotomized scores according to the best cut off in their study. There were differences in study design between the pooled studies but it was outside the scope of the present paper to explore the effect of this variability on the predictive accuracy. Our meta-analysis was based on relatively few studies, which reduced the accuracy of the parameter estimates. Therefore, we used lower bound estimates in the sensitivity analyses of the cost calculations. Still, these were one-way sensitivity analyses and not full sensitivity analyses. Our cost-calculation did not take into account costs associated
with side effects of lumbar puncture. The most common side effect is post-lumbar headache in less than 3% of the subjects, which occasionally needs intervention.\textsuperscript{73} We calculated the effect on costs when CSF markers were used to select subjects for treatment trials. However, when CSF markers are used to select subjects for treatment outside the clinical trial setting, the cost-benefit could be markedly different. In that case, costs need to be calculated from a societal perspective, which includes all costs and effects related to the disease, such as medication use, caregiver time, patient and caregiver quality of life and institutionalization. The cost reduction of the use of CSF tests in the selection of subjects for treatment in such a setting is likely to be much lower as subjects with a false-negative diagnosis or subjects that could not undergo the diagnostic test would not receive treatment and could not benefit from the reduction in societal costs associated with treatment.

**Clinical implications**

Our findings suggest that the design of trials on the prevention of progression from MCI to AD-type dementia could have a higher cost-benefit ratio if subjects are included based on abnormal CSF markers. A possible disadvantage of the use of CSF markers, however, is that the logistics of such trial could become more complex. CSF collection may not be available in all settings and subjects may refuse to undergo lumbar puncture or have a contraindication for it. In addition, facilities should be set-up for central analysis of CSF samples, which can provide a standardized cut-off.\textsuperscript{74} If lumbar puncture is performed on a large scale, rare side effects may become apparent.

**Future perspectives**

Since lumbar puncture is relatively invasive, a plasma biomarker for AD would be more attractive. So far attempts to develop an accurate plasma AD marker have been unsuccessful. It seems unlikely that new biomarkers in either CSF or blood may reach or improve the diagnostic accuracy of that of A\textsubscript{\textbeta}1-42 and tau in CSF in the near future. New markers that have been tested in subjects with AD-type dementia versus healthy controls have generally yielded a lower diagnostic accuracy compared to A\textsubscript{\textbeta}1-42 and tau in CSF. A promising alternative may be the combination of different plasma or CSF markers or gene expression profiles, but so far replication of such multiparameter approaches has been difficult.\textsuperscript{75} Development of diagnostic markers from proof of concept to large-scale application may take up to 15 years, as was the case for A\textsubscript{\textbeta}1-42 and tau in CSF. Future studies should compare the use of CSF makers in trials with that of other diagnostic modalities such as PET or MRI imaging. Finally, it should be investigated whether biomarkers could increase the cost-benefit ratio in the selection of subjects in prevention trials in subjects without MCI or outside a clinical setting, in which the conversion rate to AD-type dementia is much lower.
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