Decreased mRNA expression of CCL5 [RANTES] in Alzheimer's disease blood samples
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Abstract

Background: A recent study reported that an 18-analyte multiplexed plasma panel of signaling proteins differentiated Alzheimer's disease (AD) from controls. This study measured mRNA expression for nine of these promising biomarkers in 23 AD patients and 23 age- and sex-matched controls.

Methods: Total RNA was isolated from PaxGene RNA tubes. Relative mRNA expression levels of CCL5 [RANTES], CSF1, ICAM1, IGFBP6, IL1A, IL3, IL8, PDGFB and TNF were determined by Q-RT-PCR, with GAPDH as housekeeping gene.

Results: A panel of five markers (CCL5, CSF1, ICAM1, IL8, TNF) with detectable expression levels in all individuals differed between AD patients and controls (p interaction <0.10). Especially, the relative expression level of CCL5 was lower in AD patients than in controls (p<0.005). Across groups, levels of both CCL5 and TNF were correlated to CSF levels of τ (r=-0.39, r=-0.32), pr-181 (r=0.38, r=0.33), and MMSE (r=-0.31, r=-0.33, all p<0.05).

Conclusions: The measured panel, and especially CCL5, could aid in the differentiation of AD from controls.
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Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most prevalent form of dementia and is characterized by progressive cognitive impairment that gradually robs a patient of his or her independence. During life a diagnosis of AD is based on clinical criteria (1), but these rely on advanced symptomatology. To develop new treatment strategies, and for individually tailored management of patients, an earlier diagnosis is warranted. AD-related brain pathology and subsequent neurodegeneration precede clinical symptoms. Biological markers of this pathology could be of help to identify the onset of disease in vivo. In tertiary referral settings, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers amyloid β 1–42 (Aβ42), total tau (τ) and tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (pr-181) have been shown to perform well for differentiation of AD from healthy controls with sensitivity and specificity of 80%–90% (2). However, assessment of these markers is troubled by lack of standardized procedures (3) and obtaining CSF by lumbar puncture is considered by many to be invasive. Efforts have been made to develop a plasma test for AD to overcome these problems, with limited success (4, 5).

A recent study reported that an 18-analyte multiplexed plasma panel could differentiate AD from controls, using plasma signaling proteins (6). In that study, the abundance of 120 known signaling proteins were measured by filter-based, arrayed sandwich ELISAs. A set of 18 signaling proteins in blood plasma was found to classify blinded samples from AD and controls with close to 90% accuracy. These proteins were involved in pathways of immune response, hematopoiesis, apoptosis and neuronal support. Of these signature proteins, tentatively called the “cellular communicome”, nine have been reported to be specifically related to AD. Until now these results have not been independently replicated. Additionally, the study is hampered by methodological issues, e.g., there were age differences between the groups examined.

In the current study, we performed messenger RNA (mRNA) expression analyses using a quantitative RT-PCR technique for the nine AD related signaling proteins in 23 AD patients and 23 age- and sex-matched controls. We used Q-RT-PCR because of the assay performance and the ease to set up a quantitative molecular multimarker analysis of RNA, compared to the filter-based, arrayed sandwich ELISA analysis of proteins. The first aim of the current study was to validate the value of these nine blood markers in the discrimination of AD patients from controls. The second aim was to obtain insight in the biological pathways associated with AD, by analyzing correlations of mRNA expression for...
the signaling proteins with age, mini-mental state examination (MMSE) and levels of CSF biomarkers Aβ42, τ and pr-181.

Materials and methods

Study population

We included 23 patients with a diagnosis of probable AD and 23 patients with subjective complaints, who served as controls, from our memory clinic. Groups were matched for age and sex: AD patients were 64±7 years old and 44% were female, controls were 64±7 years old and 48% were female. All patients underwent a standard dementia screening including physical and neurological examination as well as laboratory tests, EEG and brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Cognitive screening included the MMSE, but usually involved comprehensive neuropsychological testing. The diagnosis of probable AD was made according to the criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) in a consensus meeting (1). When the results of all examinations were normal, patients were considered to have subjective complaints [i.e., did not fulfill criteria for mild cognitive impairment (7)]. For most patients (n=41) CSF biomarker levels of Aβ42, τ and pr-181 were determined (8). If possible patients were additionally selected based on CSF biomarker levels, with abnormal levels for the included AD patients and normal levels of the patients with subjective memory complaints, to obtain a more certain diagnosis. Levels of CSF Aβ42<550 pg/mL, CSF τ >375 pg/mL and CSF pr-181>52 pg/mL were considered abnormal (9). The Ethical Review Board of the VU University medical center approved the study, all subjects gave written informed consent and the study was performed within the confines of the Helsinki Declaration.

RNA isolation

Peripheral blood was collected in PAXgene tubes (PreAnalytiX) and left at room temperature for at least 2 h before freezing at −20°C, as was indicated by the manufacturer. Automated RNA isolation was performed on the BioRobot MDX (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (PAXgene Blood RNA Mdx kit). Yields and purity of isolated RNA were determined by spectrometric analysis (Nanodrop). Samples were stored at −80°C.

Quantitative RT-PCR

The relative expression levels of nine target genes were determined using TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) and detected on an ABI7300/SDS platform (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). Selected target genes were insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 6 (IGBP6), interleukin-8 (IL8), intercellular adherence molecule 1 (ICAM1), chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5 (CCL5 – also known as RANTES), colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF), interleukin-3 (IL3), interleukin-1 α (IL1A) and platelet-derived growth factor subunit B (PDGFB). As a calibrator (housekeeping gene), glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used. The 10 genes correspond with the TaqMan assay catalog numbers GAPDH (Hs00266705_g1), IGBP6 (Hs00181853_m1), IL8 (Hs99999034_m1), ICAM-1 (Hs99999152_m1), CCL5 (Hs00174575_m1), CSF1 (Hs00174164_m1), TNFα (Hs99999043_m1), IL3 (Hs00174117_m1), IL1A (Hs99999028_m1), PDGFB (Hs00234042_m1). The RT-PCRs were set up according to the manufacturer’s instructions (GeneAmp® EZ rTth RNA PCR reagent set, Applied Biosystems, Inc.). RT-PCR reactions were performed in 20 μL with 1× Taqman EZ Buffer, 3 mM manganese acetate, 300 μM dATP, 300 μM dCTP, 300 μM dGTP, 600 μM dUTP, 1 μL TaqMan gene assay mix (20×), 0.1 U/μL rTth DNA Polymerase, 0.01 U/μL AmpErase UNG, 100 ng total RNA. After 2 min at 50°C, 30 min at 60°C and 5 min at 95°C, 40 PCR cycles were performed as follows: 94°C for 20 s (denaturation), 60°C for 1 min (annealing and elongation). Each TaqMan RT-PCR assay was performed in triplicate in 96-well plates and ROX as a passive reference. Each plate had a reference RNA (100 ng) and a negative control, milliQ water. mRNA expression was given as cycle threshold (CT), where a higher CT indicates lower mRNA expression. All flag settings were used and wells with CT <36, bad ROX passive reference, standard deviation >0.5, no amplification, relative noise >4, were omitted. The delta CT (ΔCT) was calculated with the StatMiner software (Integromics, Inc.), using GAPDH as endogenous control.

Data analyses

For statistical analysis, SPSS version 15.0 (for Windows) was used. Results are expressed as means (SD) unless indicated otherwise. Frequency distributions for categorical variables were compared with χ²-tests and Student t-tests were used to compare continuous variables. MANOVA for repeated measures was used with mRNA expression levels (ΔCT) of the individual genes as within-subjects variable and diagnosis as between-subjects variable. Subsequently, mRNA expression (ΔCT) was compared between groups for the individual mRNA genes using Student t-tests. For the purpose of graphical representation, relative expression (RQ) was calculated with the formula 2−ΔΔCT, with ΔΔCT as the mean difference of ΔCT between AD patients and controls. In this approach, the mean value of the control group was arbitrarily set to 1. Pearson correlations were used to determine correlations among the different mRNA genes (using ΔCT), and between each mRNA gene and MMSE, age and levels of CSF biomarkers Aβ42, τ and pr-181. Correlations were determined first for the whole group, and then after stratification for diagnosis. In general, statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Interactions were considered significant if p-values were lower than 0.10.

Results

Table 1 represents patient characteristics according to diagnosis. AD patients had a lower score on the MMSE, lower levels of CSF Aβ42 and higher levels of τ and pr-181 than controls (all p<0.001).

The expression of mRNAs of IGF6, PDGF, IL1A and IL3 was detectable in less than half of the patients, which made analyses unreliable. ANOVA for repeated measures revealed that mRNA expression levels of ICAM1, IL8, CCL5, CSF1 and TNF were in general, albeit non-significantly, lower in AD patients than in controls (p=0.07). In addition, there was an interaction for diagnosis×mRNA genes (p<0.10), indicating that the pattern of mRNA genes differed by group (Figure 1). Post-hoc analyses of individual mRNA genes showed that expression of mRNA CCL5 was lower in AD patients than in controls (p<0.005).
null
reported 18-analyte multiplexed plasma panel has not been validated by either of the two replication studies or by our study. It appears that the results of the initial study reporting the 18-analyte multiplexed plasma panel should be considered with reservations. We used a different approach than the previous two replication studies, by measuring mRNA instead of protein levels. Possibly, the measurement of mRNA levels, instead of protein levels, could lead to a different result. This could for instance be caused by a difference in turn-over between mRNA and protein; the breakdown of protein could be higher than of mRNA. In addition, proteins in blood can be derived from several organs, like the liver or fat cells, while in this study mRNA was measured in blood cells only. Further studies could examine both protein and mRNA levels together, to get further insight in these possible differences. In our study, unfortunately expression levels of four mRNA genes (IGFBP6, PDGFB, IL1A and IL3) were not available for analyses. The concentration of mRNA of these genes was probably too low for the protocol we used. Although this can be seen as a limitation, it is most likely not a consequence of the used assay or study design, but of the markers themselves: the high level of inter-individual biological variation prevents their reliable detection in all individuals in general and thereby prohibits its use as a reliable marker for AD.

We did not succeed in replicating the promising results of the earlier study with one exception. In our study we found lower CCL5 expression in AD, which was congruent with the initial study (6), but not with both other studies (10, 11). The CCL5 gene is one of several C-C motif cytokine genes clustered on the q-arm of chromosome 17. Cytokines are a family of secreted proteins involved in immunoregulatory and inflammatory processes. The CC-cytokines are proteins characterized by two adjacent cysteines. The cytokine encoded by this gene functions as a chemo-attractant for blood monocytes, memory T-helper cells and eosinophils. It has been found that CCL5 levels are up-regulated in microglia and cerebrovascular tissue in the first phase after exposure to amyloid (12, 13), while after a longer period of exposure a decrease of CCL5 was shown (14). Furthermore, CCL5 has been associated with the prevention of amyloid associated cell death (15). It could be hypothesized that in an early phase of exposition to amyloid, microglia cells respond by producing CCL5 to inhibit apoptosis, while in later stages this system fails and patients suffer from an insufficient production of CCL5, which leads to the pathologic process of AD. CCL5 levels have been found to be increased by about 65% in cognitively healthy centenarians (mean age 101), compared to subjects at young (mean age 28) and at older ages (mean age 71) (16). This up-regulation of CCL5 expression might be necessary to prevent immuno-pathological damage, allowing subjects to reach the age of 100 years cognitively healthy. Further longitudinal studies in healthy aging and the early phase of AD (mild cognitive impairment) should be performed to clarify this. Next to lower CCL5, we also found lower TNF mRNA expression in patients with higher τ and pr-181 levels and lower MMSE, e.g., in patients with a typical AD profile. Lower levels of TNF were also seen in the initial study (6). Lower expression of TNF in AD has also been shown before by several other studies (17–19) and TNF was shown to be decreased in brain areas of AD patients (20). It seems possible that mRNA expression of the signaling proteins CCL5 and TNF are indeed related to AD pathology. Further support for the notion that measuring mRNA is a promising direction to seek for new AD biomarkers is provided by the observed associations between CCL5 and TNF levels and Csf biarkers for AD pathology. It is tempting to speculate that mRNA expression of signaling proteins in blood was indeed related to AD-associated brain changes. In addition, RNA expression profiling permits rapid screening of markers by assays that have an easy set up, compared to ELISA or proteomics studies, while the only requirement is information on the target sequence. We have now examined a small seemingly promising group of signaling mRNA markers, but probably many more signaling processes are involved in the pathogenesis of AD, and further exploration of these processes would be essential. To this end future studies should be performed in an unbiased approach using a genome wide manner, and for this RNA expression profiling seems promising since it has adequate sensitivity due to the use of an PCR amplification step. Therefore, by adapting the molecular approach to the genome wide level, novel molecular blood markers forming a “signature for AD” can hopefully be identified.

### Table 2
Comparison of results of studies evaluating signaling activity in blood samples for the differentiation of Alzheimer patients and control subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ray et al. (6) (protein)</th>
<th>Marksteiner et al. (10) (protein)</th>
<th>Soares et al. (11) (protein)</th>
<th>Present study (mRNA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCL5 ‡</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF1 ‡</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM1 †</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL8 †</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF ‡</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP6 †</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1A ‡</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL3 ‡</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFB ‡</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† and ‡, levels of protein or mRNA expression were significantly increased, respectively decreased in AD compared to controls; NS, levels of protein or mRNA expression were not significantly different between AD and controls; –, no data available.
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