ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Little did I know when I could finally start on the acknowledgements that the arbitrariness inherent in setting boundaries would again come to bother me. Like putting together a dissertation, writing down the names of the people and institutions who have contributed to this book calls upon the author to restrain herself and inevitably effectuates exclusionary effects. So here is my – incomplete – list:

I thank my supervisor Arend Soeteman for his patience and for his trust. Arend provided me with the space to develop my criticisms of liberalism and to work with intellectual traditions that he is critical of, even though, or perhaps because, he is himself a liberal in heart and soul. Bert van den Brink became my second supervisor in 2008. I am very grateful to Bert for his detailed comments, for helping me to explicate the points I wanted to make and for pushing me when I was looking for an easy way out. His input did not only contribute to the development of my arguments but was also invaluable for putting them together in a structured dissertation. Also, I want to thank the members of my dissertation committee: Govert Buijs, Annemie Halsema, Bart van Klink, Hans Lindahl, David Owen and Wouter Veraart.

The main part of the research and writing for this study was carried out at the Free University Amsterdam. The department of Legal Theory, of which I am still happily part, offers a collegial environment where philosophical questions are considered vital for understanding legal-political issues. Chapter two of this book was discussed in the monthly research seminar of our department. Thanks to Toni van Gennip, Nanda Oudejans, Wouter Veraart and Bertjan Wolthuis for their questions and comments about this piece and a special thanks to Roland Pierik from the University of Amsterdam for his critical response. Being involved in several courses of the teaching program of this department provided yet another opportunity to share and sharpen my views. Some of the arguments in this book became part of a course about the role of absolute truth claims in democratic life that I co-taught with my colleague Luigi Corrias. I thank Luigi and the students in this course for our discussions about political liberalism and democratic agonism.
Thanks also to the members of the ‘VU-ster’: an interdisciplinary research program of the Free University of which I was part during the early stages of my research. I profited from conversations with Ton van Prooijen, Jan van der Stoep, Ina Ter Avest and Regien Smit and I am proud that we published our discussions in an edited volume.

To Hent de Vries I am indebted for enabling me to do research at the Humanities Center at Johns Hopkins University in 2006-2007. JHU hosts an inspiring, intellectually vibrant community and I am lucky that I could spend a year amidst its staff and students. I benefited from seminars and conversations with Konstanze Baron, Jane Bennett, William Connolly, Jennifer Culbert and Christoph Menke. The Fulbright Foundation, the Prins Bernhard Cultuurfonds and the Radboudstichting provided financial assistance for this wonderful year.

Early versions of my arguments about democratic agonism were presented at the University of Essex and the University of Copenhagen. The NWO-workshop ‘Islam, Europe & the Secular-Religious Divide’, organized by Michiel Leezenberg and Yolande Jansen at the University of Amsterdam, provided an excellent opportunity to discuss Foucault’s work in relation to the institutionalization of religious freedom. Gijs van Oenen invited me to present chapter five of this book in his lively research seminar on interpassivity at the department of Philosophy at Erasmus University Rotterdam. I am grateful to all the participants at these meetings. Thanks also to Bonnie Honig for her extensive and stimulating commentary on chapter five.

The editorial team of the online, open-access journal Krisis: Journal for contemporary philosophy (www.krisis.eu) embodies a constant reminder of how philosophical interest and political engagement can reinforce one another. I am fortunate to be a member of that team and I am indebted to the other members for allowing me a very long sabbatical to finish my dissertation.

I would also like thank the members of my ‘try-out committee’ for all their time and energy in helping me to prepare for the official defense: Huub van Baar, Britta van Beers, Marieke Borren, Luigi Corrias, Yolande Jansen, Julia van Ooststroom and Marc de Wilde. A special thanks to Julia and Marc who have been my friends and philosophical discussion partners since I started my studies at the University of Amsterdam. Britta and Yolande have been part of so many highs and lows of my academic and personal life that I am very happy that they are my ‘paranimfen’. Britta and I studied
together at the University of Amsterdam and New York University and we are now working together as colleagues at the department of Legal Theory. Until this day, we manage to take breaks from academic and other obligations, trying out new cuisines and making fun of dogmatic academic trends. Yolande senses the beginning of an argument even within random associations and for this reason I always trust her with my wandering trains of thought. I fondly remember the day when I was sharing with her my first ideas on democracy and ontology while getting lost in the outskirts of Paris.

In addition to all the people already mentioned, I also benefited from exchanges with: Veit Bader, Wibren van der Burg, Wout Cornelissen, Thomas Fossen, Noortje Marres, Miklos Redner, Jonathan Soeharno, Yannis Stravakakis, Karen Vintges and Christian van der Veeke.

Dorien Pessers and Joep van der Vliet deserve a special mention. Not only did they plant the intellectual seeds of my critique of liberalism during my studies at the University of Amsterdam, but they also encouraged me to pursue an academic career. I am fortunate to have had the support of such generous and wise mentors.

Hjalmar Duin made my life much better during the final stage of this dissertation by taking care of the layout. Thanks also to Marc Cooper who corrected and tried, for better or worse, to ‘de-Americanize’ my English.

My family (in law) and friends have provided support and much needed distractions throughout the years. While my parents and I have difficulty reaching an overlapping consensus on quite a few issues, they have taught me things that were helpful when I was writing this dissertation. My mother Dinah is the funniest person I know and still nudges me to work upon my sense of humor when life starts to feel too serious. My father Uri is indefatigable in insisting that I follow my own track, even when he disagrees with the direction, or worse, wonders if I know where I am going. He also gave me his old copy of Wolin’s Politics and Vision which incidentally has become one of my favorites in political theory. My sister Daphne and her husband Wouter are exemplary in their commitment to creative work and gentle political engagement with the world. I am grateful to Daphne for our ongoing discussions about psychoanalysis and the pitfalls of negotiating institutional discipline. I also thank her very much for the image that she made for this book and for taking care of the design of the cover.

Haye came into my life when I was starting on the Foucault-chapter. Perhaps it is not the best timing to start a new relationship, to buy a house
and to move in together when you are actually trying to write down the argument of your thesis. But it is because of Haye that these last two years have become so unexpectedly joyful. Thanks Haye for helping me to disentangle some arguments, for listening to my frustrations and doubts and for lightening up more than a few difficult writings days with your company, food and music.