Tuning the Mass of Chameleon Fields in Casimir Force Experiments
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We have calculated the chameleon pressure between two parallel plates in the presence of an intervening medium that affects the mass of the chameleon field. As intuitively expected, the gas in the gap weakens the chameleon interaction mechanism with a screening effect that increases with the plate separation and with the density of the intervening medium. This phenomenon might open up new directions in the search of chameleon particles with future long-range Casimir force experiments.
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In 2004, J. Khoury and A. Weltman showed that a scalar field whose mass depends on the local matter density may explain the late-time acceleration of the Universe and still elude laboratory tests of the gravitational inverse-square law [1–4]. On a cosmological scale, where the local density is small, the mass of the field is sufficiently low to drive the expansion of the Universe. In tabletop gravity experiments, however, the mass of the field is largely increased by the higher value of the local matter density, and the interaction mediated by the field becomes too small to be detected. Because of their ability to adapt to the surroundings, those fields are generally known as chameleon fields.

Chameleon particles have never been detected in any laboratory experiment. Still, one can show that the chameleon pressure between two plates kept parallel in vacuum at a separation of a few tens of $\mu$m is of the same order of magnitude as the Casimir attraction under the same conditions [4], reaching values that are within the detection sensitivity of the next generation of long-range Casimir force experiments [5]. Unfortunately, long-range Casimir force experiments suffer from one main drawback. Since the Casimir pressure rapidly decreases with increasing separation, large surfaces (several hundreds of $\text{cm}^2$) are needed to reach the force detection limit. The electrostatic potential of a large surface, however, is typically nonuniform, giving rise to background forces that easily overcome the Casimir attraction [6–10]. Those electrostatic forces are difficult to control and to quantify independently, making accurate Casimir force experiments at large separation a challenge that has still to be solved. Without reliable Casimir force measurements, it is of course not possible to use Casimir force setups to assess the existence of chameleon fields.

In this Letter we propose a novel approach that might alleviate the problem described above and open new possibilities for the detection of chameleon fields in long-range Casimir force measurements. The idea is to measure the total force between two parallel plates as a function of the density of a neutral gas allowed into the cavity. As the density of the gas increases, the mass of the chameleon field in the cavity increases, giving rise to a screening effect of the chameleon interaction. If all the other relevant forces between the two plates (Casimir and electrostatic) do not depend on the density of the gas in the gap, a direct comparison of the results obtained at low densities (strong chameleon force) with those obtained at high densities (weak chameleon force) should allow one to detect or to rule out the existence of chameleon particles.

To demonstrate the concept behind our proposal, we have calculated the expected chameleon pressure between two parallel plates at separation $d$ immersed in a gaseous atmosphere of density $\rho$. To illustrate the chameleon effect, we have focused on chameleon potentials of the form

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 + \frac{\Lambda^{4+n}}{\phi^n}, \quad (1)$$
where $\Lambda = 2.4 \times 10^{-12}$ GeV is the energy density leading to the late-time acceleration of the Universe. The chameleons are also coupled to matter, and the effective potential in the presence of matter is

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = V(\phi) + \rho e^{\beta \phi/m_{\text{pl}}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\beta$ is the coupling constant and $m_{\text{pl}} = 2 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the reduced Planck mass. The matter density $\rho$ influences the shape of the effective potential leading to an effective minimum. In particular, the mass $m$ of the chameleon field at the minimum satisfying

$$\partial_\phi V(\phi_{\text{min}}) = -\frac{\beta \rho}{m_{\text{pl}}}, \quad (3)$$

with $\phi_{\text{min}} \ll m_{\text{pl}}$ in the situations of interest, becomes density dependent

$$m^2 = \frac{\beta \rho}{m_{\text{pl}}} \left( n + 1 + \frac{\beta}{m_{\text{pl}}n} \right). \quad (4)$$

Let us now consider the Casimir setup with two parallel plates. We will denote by $m_b$ and $\phi_b$ the value of the chameleon mass and field in the vacuum corresponding to the matter density $\rho$ in between the plates and given by Eqs. (3) and (4). When the plates are present, the chameleon field in between the plates is not the vacuum one. It acquires a profile with a minimum $\phi_0$ at the midpoint between the two plates. Denoting $n = (1 - p)/p$ and $z = (\phi_0/\phi_b)^{1/p}$, we find that the value of the field $\phi_0$ and the distance are related as

$$d = \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{(1+p)/2}}{m_b} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{p-1}dx}{\sqrt{h_p(x) + zh_p(x)}}, \quad (5)$$

where $h_p(x) = (1 - x^p)/p$ and the pressure is

$$\frac{F_\phi}{A} = \frac{n + 1}{n} \frac{\Lambda^{4+n}}{\phi_b^4} \frac{z^{p-1}[h_1-p(z) - z h_p(z)]}{\Lambda^{4+n}}. \quad (6)$$

This parametric representation allows one to calculate the pressure profile as a function of $d$. When $m_c^{-1} \ll d \ll m_b^{-1}$ where $m_c$ is the chameleon mass inside the plates, we find an algebraic decay

$$\frac{F_\phi}{A} = O(\Lambda^4 (\Lambda d)^{2(p-1)/(p+1)}). \quad (7)$$

Notice that the energy scale $\Lambda$ corresponds to a length scale $\Lambda^{-1} \approx 82$ $\mu$m. This explains why the chameleonic pressure comes to the fore when distances are on the order of 10 $\mu$m and more. When the matter density in between the plates increases, the distance satisfies $d \gg m_b^{-1}$ as the mass $m_b$ increases. In this regime, the chameleonic pressure behaves like $\exp(-m_b d)$ and is therefore exponentially suppressed. Hence, by increasing the distance between the plates at a fixed distance $d$, one would observe a contribution from the chameleon to the pressure on the plates. When the density increases, the chameleonic pressure is screened off due to the exponential falloff resulting from the large chameleon mass $m_b$.

This behavior is well illustrated in Fig. 1. As an example, we fixed $n = 4$ and $\beta = 10,000$. The continuous and the dashed lines (left $y$ axis) represent the calculated chameleon pressure in vacuum and in a gas with density $\rho \approx 5$ g/l. The calculations were performed fixing the exponent that describes the chameleon potential to $n = 4$ and the coupling constant to $\beta = 10,000$. Symbols (right $y$ axis): Decrease of the chameleon pressure (in percentage) between two parallel plates induced by the screening effect, plotted as a function of separation. The different symbols correspond to the different values of $\beta \rho$ indicated in the legend, where $\rho$ is expressed in g/l.

FIG. 1. Continuous and dashed lines (left $y$ axis): chameleon pressure between two parallel plates as a function of separation for two configurations: in vacuum (continuous line) and in a gas with density $\rho \approx 5$ g/l. The calculations were performed fixing the exponent that describes the chameleon potential to $n = 4$ and the coupling constant to $\beta = 10,000$. Symbols (right $y$ axis): Decrease of the chameleon pressure (in percentage) between two parallel plates induced by the screening effect, plotted as a function of separation. The different symbols correspond to the different values of $\beta \rho$ indicated in the legend, where $\rho$ is expressed in g/l.

Let us now consider an experimental setup designed to measure the total interaction between two gold-coated parallel plates kept at $d = 30$ $\mu$m. At that separation, the chameleon force is of the same order of magnitude as the Casimir force, reaching approximately 0.2 pN/cm$^2$—a value that appears to be within the sensitivity of future long-range Casimir force experiments [5]. Unfortunately, both interactions mechanisms are expected to be largely dominated by the electrostatic interaction due to the nonuniformity of the surface potential. Following [9,11], in fact, it is possible to estimate the electrostatic pressure as

$$\frac{F_{\text{el}}}{A} = \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2d^2} + \frac{2\sigma_3^2}{k_{\text{max}}^3 - k_{\text{min}}^3} \int_{k_{\text{min}}}^{k_{\text{max}}} \frac{k^3}{\sinh^2(kd)} dk \right). \quad (8)$$
already sufficient to reduce the chameleon attraction of atoms $P_g$ to the limit for low densities of the Lorentz-Lorenz equation: $\frac{\lambda_{\text{max,min}}}{C^2}$.

The density of the gas is well approximated by $\rho$. In this range of pressures, the pressure of the gas. The electrostatic force thus follows a static attraction is expected to decrease as the pressure of the gas increases. The effect is, however, extremely small. To a first approximation (large separations and $T \to 0$), in fact, the Casimir force between two plates scales like $1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ [14], corresponding to a maximum decrease of $\approx 0.025\%$ at $P = 0.5$ atm.

**Electrostatic pressure.**—Because of the increase of the dielectric constant of the intervening medium, the electrostatic attraction is expected to increase linearly with the pressure of the gas. The electrostatic force thus follows a behavior that is opposite to what is expected for the chameleon force. In terms of absolute values, taking the upper limit of $F_{el}/A \approx 2 \times 10^3$ pN/cm$^2$ as an estimate of the electrostatic force in vacuum, one can calculate that the presence of the gas in the gap increases the electrostatic force of an amount that, at least at lower pressures, is of the same order of magnitude as the change on the chameleon force as soon as $\beta > 10000$, as illustrated in Fig. 3 [15].

We conclude that, while in a force-vs-distance experiment in vacuum chameleon fields manifest themselves as a $\approx 0.1$ pN/cm$^2$ pressure compared to a background electrostatic pressure of $\approx 10^3$ pN/cm$^2$, a force-vs-density experiment would only need to distinguish anomalies of the total interaction strength of $\approx 0.01$ pN/cm$^2$ or larger compared to a $\approx 0.1$ pN/cm$^2$ background (see Fig. 4). A force-vs-density experiment can thus alleviate the problem of the electrostatic force of at least 3 orders of magnitude. Furthermore, in a force-vs-density experiment the electrostatic and the chameleon forces are supposed to manifest different behaviors: the electrostatic force, in fact, increases with gas density, while the chameleon force is expected to decrease. The approach described in this Letter might thus open up new directions in the search for chameleon particles, although it is fair to stress that the practical implementation of this kind of experiments is not straightforward and might hide systematic effects that are
not covered in this Letter. We would like to point out, for example, that $\sigma_{LS}$ must remain constant within a few $\mu$V to avoid that the effect of the variations in the nonuniformity of the surface potential overcomes the expected change of the chameleon pressure [see Eq. (8)]. Similarly, for $\sigma_{LS} \approx 50$ mV, the separation between the two surfaces must remain constant within less than 1 nm to keep the errors due to variations in $d$ lower than the signal that has to be detected. Finally, the presence of the gas does not allow the use of dynamical force measurements (which are typically more sensitive than static methods), unless one can clearly separate the hydrodynamic force induced by the motion of the surfaces from the other interaction mechanisms [16].

In conclusion, we have calculated the chameleon force between two parallel plates in the presence of an intervening medium. According to our calculations, a gas with a density of the order of a few g/l increases the mass of the chameleon so effectively that, at separations of the order of a few tens of $\mu$m, the chameleon interaction is significantly screened out. We suggest that this mechanism might be used to unravel the existence of chameleon fields in future long-range Casimir force setups, where one could measure the chameleon force between two parallel plates as an anomalous dependence of the total interaction strength on the density of a gas allowed in the gap.
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[15] A small fraction of Xe atoms is expected to adsorb on the surfaces, giving rise to an increase of the variation of the surface potential. At the pressures where the screening effect becomes dominant, however, the average separation between two nearest adsorbed atoms is much smaller than the separation between the plates. According to Eq. (8), because of the mismatch between $\lambda_{\text{min,max}}$ and $d$, the resulting force is negligibly small.