Chapter 2

Are social phobia and paranoia related, and which comes first?

Abstract

Background: Social phobia (SPh) and Paranoid symptoms (PS) are associated. They may overlap because they share psychological and behavioural mechanisms such as selective attention for social threats and avoidance behaviour. Possibly, one leads to the other. The aim of this study is to explore the association between SPh and PS in a prospective general population sample.

Method: 7,076 adults from the NEMESIS general population were assessed for SPh and PS using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview at baseline, one and three years later. Odds ratios, dose-response relationships and confidence intervals were calculated.

Results: Lifetime SPh and PS are associated (OR= 3.08; 95% CI = 2.49 – 3.82; p <.001), with a dose response. SPh emerging after PS was significant (OR= 4.07; 95% CI= 2.50 – 6.63; p< .001), also with a dose response, i.e. more PS symptoms yield more SPh symptoms. PS emerging after SPh wasn’t significant.

Conclusion: This study confirmed the association of SPh and PS in a general population. Possibly this is caused by shared underlying psychological and behavioural processes. There was some indication that paranoid ideation precedes the development of SPh but this must be considered with caution. Clinical implications are discussed.
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Are social phobia and paranoia related?

Introduction

In DSM IV, social phobia (SPh) and paranoid disorders are separate disease entities. While they are regarded as non-overlapping disorders, they share psychological and behavioural mechanisms. Gilbert and colleagues (2005) found an association between paranoid ideation and social anxiety in a clinical population and suggested an overlap in psychological aetiology. Both groups of patients think that they are the object of other people's interest; that they are judged by others; they scan their environment for socially threatening information; and they both have a strong self-referencing bias. For instance, both social phobic and paranoid people easily conclude that people are talking about them (Beck, Emergy & Greenberg, 1985). They might share psychological mechanisms, but be acting on different motives. Whereas the social phobic patient is afraid of rejection, the paranoid person is afraid of persecution. Figure 2.1 shows these overlapping mechanisms in SPh and PS. Other studies even suggest a relationship between social phobia and psychosis in general. Voges and Addington (2005) found that 31% of the first-episode psychosis patients met the criteria for SPh. This was confirmed by Birchwood and colleagues (Birchwood et al., 2006).

Several explanations were presented to explain the high rate of SPh in subjects with a psychotic disorder, and the high rate of psychosis in SPh subjects. The first explanation is that symptom clusters overlap (Birchwood et al., 2006; Gilbert et al., 2005). The second is that SPh is a psychological reaction to psychosis. Birchwood and colleagues (2006) found that SPh emerges after the onset of psychosis. Possibly, they have more stigmatizing thoughts about their illness. A third explanation is that SPh is a prodromal symptom of schizophrenia (Cassano, Pini, Settoni & Dell’Osso, 1998; Pallanti, Quercioli & Hollander, 2004). According to Blanchard, Mueser & Bellack (1998) SPh is a stable trait that remains unrecognized because its expression is overshadowed by the negative symptoms. There is also some evidence that social or situation anxiety is a predictor of future psychosis in a genetic at risk-group (Cunningham Owens, Miller, Lawrie & Johnstone, 2005).

Population studies showed that psychotic-like experiences – such as suspiciousness, hearing thoughts aloud or low frequency hallucinatory whispering sounds - are quite prevalent (Van Os, Hanssen, Bijl & Ravelli, 2000). This opens the opportunity to examine the association of SPh and paranoid ideation in a general population cohort. A prospective study cohort could reveal some temporal aspects of the association. The aim of this study is to explore the association between SPh and sub-clinical Paranoid Symptoms (PS) in a prospective general population sample.
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As far as we know, this is the first study of this kind. Most of the studies cited above, investigated psychotic patients (Blanchard et al., 1998; Cassano et al., 1998; Pallanti et al., 2004; Voges & Addington, 2005). The sample in the study by Cunningham Owens and colleagues (2005) was at genetic risk for schizophrenia. This subgroup forms only 15% of the group which is at risk for psychosis (Yung & McGorry, 1996) and a population cohort is therefore more representative.

Figure 2.1: The overlap and differences of psychological processes in Social phobia and paranoid ideation

Previous research in psychotic patients points to an association between SPh and PS. Can this be replicated for sub-clinical symptoms in a general population? Three hypotheses are tested:

1) SPh and sub-clinical PS are associated
2) The onset of SPh emerges after the presence of sub-clinical PS
3) The onset of sub-clinical PS emerges after the presence of SPh

Materials and Methods

Sample
This study used the data of the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS; Bijl, Van Zessen, Ravelli, De Rijk & Langendoen, 1998). NEMESIS is a prospective study of the prevalence, incidence and course of mental disorders in a representative Dutch population cohort of 7,076 adults between 18 and 64
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years old. Subjects were selected by a multistage, stratified, random sampling procedure in 90 Dutch municipalities. Stratification criteria included urbanicity and sufficient spreading over the twelve Dutch provinces. Within the municipalities, a proportional number of private households were approached, and the (family) member with the most recent birthday was selected. Persons residing in institutions, including psychiatric hospitals, were excluded from the sample. At baseline, 7,076 individuals (response rate= 69.7) provided informed consent and completed the first interview. The research sample was representative of the Dutch population. 5,619 respondents completed at least one of the follow-up interviews after one or three years. For a complete description of the study design, sample procedures, quality control procedures and analyses, see Bijl et al. (1998).

**CIDI interview**

Respondents were interviewed using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI, version 1.1. computerized version; World Health Organization, 1990; Andrews & Peters, 1998; Wittchen, 1994) by trained lay interviewers. The CIDI generates Axis I disorders according to DSM-III-R. The World Health Organization developed the CIDI on the basis of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS; Robins, Helzer, Croughan & Ratcliff, 1981) and the Present State Examination (PSE; Kendell, Everett, Cooper, Sartorius & David, 1968). The CIDI is being used in epidemiological research projects all over the world. It has a high inter-rater reliability, high test-retest reliability and high validity for all diagnoses, except for psychotic disorders. When a person was suspected of psychotic symptoms using the SCID interview, he or she was re-interviewed by a clinical psychiatrist using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM III-R (SCID; Spitzer, Williams, Gibbon & First, 1992). This interview established the presence of psychotic symptoms and the diagnoses of schizophrenia and other non-affective psychotic disorders in NEMESIS. The CIDI psychosis section has 17 items. The first four assess paranoid ideation: 1 “Have you ever been convinced that people were spying on you?”; 2. “Has there ever been a period in which you were convinced that you were persecuted by people?”; 3. “Have you ever been convinced that you were secretly tested on or that experiments were carried out on you?”; and 4. “Have you ever been convinced that someone was conspiring against you, wanted to cause you harm or poisoning you?” For each item 6 options are possible: 1 is no symptom; 2. psychotic symptom present but not clinically relevant; 3. psychotic symptom is the result of drug use;
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4. symptom is the result of disease; 5. true psychotic symptom; and 6. interviewer is in doubt because there appears to be some logical explanation for what emerges as a psychotic symptom. Presence of paranoid symptoms was broadly defined as any rating between 2-6 in one of the four paranoia CIDI items. The five ‘present’-ratings on the CIDI psychosis items are strongly correlated. The CIDI anxiety section contains ten questions related to SPh. Because those assessments are considered valid no cross-check was done. Subjects who met the DSM criteria for SPh were recorded as such in the database.

Statistical analyses

Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for each hypothesis. The relation between PS and SPh was tested using baseline (T₀) data. The second hypothesis was tested by excluding subjects with lifetime SPh at baseline and calculating the OR among those with lifetime PS at baseline for developing SPh between T₀ and T₂. The third hypothesis was tested by excluding subjects with lifetime PS at baseline and calculating the OR among those with lifetime SPh at baseline for developing PS between T₀ and T₂.

Krabbendam and Van Os (2005) found that neuroticism was associated with psychosis as well as all anxiety disorders. Since this study aims to explore a specific association between paranoia and SPh, we controlled the OR’s for the shared variance with neuroticism. Neuroticism was rated using the Groningen Scale as in other NEMESIS publications.

We used STATA statistical package, version 10 (StataCorp, 2008) to calculate the ORs, the 95% confidence intervals and the p-values.

Results

Sample

Of the original 7,076 subjects at T₀, 5,619 persons completed the CIDI on at least one additional measurement. 2,614 were men and 3,005 women. The mean age was 41 years (SD= 12) years. At baseline, a total of 575 individuals (8%) reported lifetime SPh and 705 (10%) individuals reported one or more lifetime sub-clinical PS.

Hypothesis 1: Lifetime SPh and lifetime PS are associated

The Odds Ration (OR) for the association between SPh and PS at baseline is shown in table 2.1. At baseline, 705 subjects reported lifetime sub-clinical PS. Of this
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subsample, 132 (19%) subjects also reported lifetime SPh at baseline. Of the 575 subjects who reported lifetime SPh at baseline, 132 (23%) persons also reported lifetime sub-clinical PS at baseline (OR= 3.09; 95% CI = 2.94 – 3. 82; \(p<.001\)). The OR is 1.64, when controlled for neuroticism, and remains significant (\(p<.001\)). A clear dose-response relationship was found for number of PS: the OR increases from 2.30 (one symptom) to 11.41 (four symptoms). When controlled for neuroticism, the dose-response remains, but is not significant.

**Table 2.1:** The association between Lifetime SPh and Lifetime PS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not adjusted for Neuroticism</th>
<th>Adjusted for neuroticism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds-ratio</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any sub-clinical PS at T₀</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hypothesis 2: The onset of SPh emerges after the presence of sub-clinical PS**

To explore the relationship between sub-clinical PS at baseline and subsequently developing SPh, we excluded the data of subjects who had lifetime SPh at baseline. Eighty four participants weren’t able to complete at least one of the follow-up interviews and were excluded from the analysis. Among the remaining 489 subjects who did have lifetime sub-clinical PS but no lifetime SPh at baseline, 23 subjects developed SPh (4.7%). This was significant (OR= 4.07; 95% CI= 2.50 – 6.63; \(p< .001\)). The OR remained significant after controlling for neuroticism (OR= 2.62; 95% CI= 1.57 – 4.36; \(p< .001\)). Dose-response analysis was conducted to measure whether more sub-clinical PS resulted in higher probabilities of SPh. ORs increased from 3.22 (one paranoid symptom) to 7.62 (three symptoms) (Table 2.2). The dose-response relationship is weaker after controlling for neuroticism and is no longer significant in one of three steps.

**Table 2.2:** Transition to SPh from having PS at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not adjusted for Neuroticism</th>
<th>Adjusted for neuroticism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds-ratio</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any sub-clinical PS at T₁</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sub-clinical PS</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Hypothesis 3: The onset of sub-clinical PS emerges after the presence of SPh

38 Subjects of those who reported SPh at baseline, were lost to follow-up and were excluded from the analysis. Among the remaining 405 subjects who reported lifetime SPh at baseline, 8 (2.0%) subjects developed subclinical PS after one to three years. The OR is non-significant (OR = 2.00; 95%CI = .90 – 4.44; p = 0.088) (See table 3.3).

Table 2.3: transition to PS from having SPh at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidence of sub-clinical PS at T1-2</th>
<th>Not adjusted for Neuroticism</th>
<th>Adjusted for neuroticism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds-ratio P 95% CI</td>
<td>Odds-ratio P 95% CI N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPh diagnose at T0</td>
<td>2.00 0.088 0.90 – 4.44</td>
<td>.89 .792 .40 – 2.00 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 – 5 SPh-symptoms</td>
<td>.57 .239 .22 – 1.45</td>
<td>.57 .241 .22 – 1.46 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 10 SPh-symptoms</td>
<td>.67 .701 .09 – 4.95</td>
<td>.633 .654 .09 – 4.65 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 SPh-symptoms</td>
<td>7.62 .001 2.25 – 25.80</td>
<td>3.67 .052 .99 – 13.60 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Many patients show co-morbid SPh and PS in clinical practice. The aim of this study was to explore the cross-sectional and temporal relationships between SPh and sub-clinical PS. We used the data of the Dutch general population study NEMESIS: a representative cohort of adults between 18 and 64 years of age. The analyses demonstrated a significant association between lifetime SPh and lifetime sub-clinical PS at baseline. This result confirms previous publications (Gilbert et al., 2005). Also the suggestion that SPh and PS share psychological processes is supported by the finding in a general population with only little psychopathology. The dose-response relationship further strengthens the idea that there is an association between paranoid ideation and social phobia.

Sub-clinical PS at baseline was associated with the onset of social phobia one to three years later. These results are in line with the findings of Birchwood and colleagues (Birchwood et al., 2006) who found that social anxiety can be a result of the psychotic episode. A possible rationale is that patients try to hide their discomfort, confusion or ‘madness’ by withdrawing from social contact and acting like a socially phobic subject. People monitor whether others are looking at them and become over-aware of their own behaviour. The dose-response relationship further supports the hypothesis that paranoid thoughts might lead to social phobia. Moreover, the inverse relation where social phobia leads to paranoid ideation was not significant.
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This study is not addressing the association of paranoia with general pathology but with specific aspects of social anxiety. We have tried to rule out the shared factors of psychopathology by controlling the OR’s for neuroticism. Neuroticism is a higher order construct that encompasses a broad range of emotional distress. It covers anxiety, depression and irritability that is present in 60-80% of prodromal patients before the onset of positive symptoms (Birchwood et al., 2006; Yung & McGorry, 1996). It shares variance with many disorders, and also with SPh and paranoid ideation. As expected, all the Ors decreased, after controlling for neuroticism.

The hypothesis that SPh and PS are related, isn’t new. Previous studies have demonstrated that both SPh and PS share psychological mechanisms (Beck et al., 1985; Fenigstein & Vanable, 1992)(see figure 2.1). Paranoid and socially phobic people both share the belief that they are subjects of other people’s attention. They both share a heightened self-consciousness, which means that they are overly aware of oneself and worry about how others will perceive their behaviour. But they act on different motives. Socially anxious people are afraid that others will judge them as incompetent, whereas paranoid people are afraid that others will persecute them. Fennigstein and Vanable (1992) noted that this self-consciousness in PS leads to the perception of others’ behaviour as being intentionally focused against them. This is conceptualised as the ‘self-as-target-bias’. Greenwald (1980) considered self-consciousness as the defining characteristic of paranoia. In his understanding of this notion, Laing (1969) argued that the self-conscious awareness of being an object of others’ awareness, leads to a heightened sense of being seen. Observation by others becomes a prominent concern and is assumed more often than is actually the case. Socially anxious people are also self-consciousness (Beck et al., 1985). They think they are judged by others, just as paranoid persons do. Both groups scan the environment for socially threatening information and overestimate the impact of their own behaviour. Both have a self-reference bias and a confirmation bias, which means that they perceive trivial stimuli to be important and meaningful to the self. And once a threatening or anxious explanation occurs to them they will search for confirmation and discard disconfirmatory evidence (Beck et al., 1985; Fenigstein & Vanable, 1992).

Individual psychopathology can overlap as well. People with SPh sometimes report psychotic-like experiences. The excessive self-consciousness makes them experience their voice as coming from an external source or their self as being outside their body (Gilbert et al., 2005). This might explain why SPh
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with heightened self-consciousness could lead to paranoia. However, in this study the hypothesis that social phobia is a precursor of paranoid ideation was not confirmed ($p = 0.088$) and no dose-response relationship was found. What might explain this negative finding? According to Freeman and colleagues an additional condition - also having perceptual aberrations - is necessary for social anxiety to evolve into delusions. In those circumstances the thought that 'something seems not to be right' is easily triggered (Freeman et al., 2008).

Study Limitations

One of the main problems is the small numbers in the analyses of hypotheses two and three. Although the original sample was large (N=7076), the analyses of the time sequencing of SPh and PS required subjects with conditional incidence. A hundred and thirty two subjects reported both lifetime SPh and sub-clinical PS at baseline and are excluded for this analysis. The subjects with either SPh or PS at baseline can develop the other condition at one of the follow-up moments. One disorder clearly preceded in only 31 subjects. Of these, 23 developed SPh after sub-clinical PS and 8 developed sub-clinical PS after SPh. Sub-clinical PS seems to precede SPh more often and this was significant. But because of the small numbers the conclusions should be interpreted cautiously. It is not known whether the 132 lifetime association subjects show the same pattern of development as these 31 subjects. It is also unclear whether the exclusion of large numbers of subjects for the analyses of time sequencing patterns altered the representativeness of the sample. When we compare these sub samples on sex and age we found significant differences in gender, but not in age. Having both lifetime SPh and lifetime SP at baseline is significantly more present in woman ($p = 0.032$). To overcome these shortcomings, additional prospective research of specific populations of social phobic or paranoid patients is required.

Secondly, the results do not tell us whether common psychological processes are indeed involved in PS and SPh and their aetiology. The study focussed on psychopathology in both conditions, although the descriptions of the symptoms reveal some psychological processes. While there is a clinical overlap and similarity in psychological mechanisms in SPh and PS - it is still speculation whether they explain the results in this study. More comprehensive psychological testing is necessary to further examine this hypothesis. The same is true for social avoidance behaviour. Both groups avoid all kinds of social situations but with different motives: Subjects with paranoid ideation fear persecution, while subjects with SPh fear rejection and humiliation.
Are social phobia and paranoia related?

Thirdly, the statistics were not adjusted for Type I error due to multiple comparison. This error might influence the conclusions. Findings at the <.05 significance level should be interpreted with caution.

Fourthly, sub-clinical PS was defined by the first four items of the Psychosis section of the CIDI (version 1.1; Andrews & Peters, 1998; Wittchen, 1994). Although confirmed by a clinical psychiatrist, the scores yield no information on the frequency or severity of the paranoid thoughts. More nuances would have contributed to a better understanding of the quality or severity of the paranoid thoughts.

Finally, psychotic symptoms – e.g. paranoia – are difficult to assess reliably in a structured interview (the CIDI) conducted by trained lay interviewers. Since the subjects were only re-interviewed when they were suspected of having psychotic symptoms, we expect some false negative subjects in the NEMESIS database. Especially paranoid patients can withhold information in interviews with unacquainted interviewers. It is likely that some of the paranoid subjects were not positive on the CIDI and that they were not re-interviewed with the SCID. These subjects aren’t in the analysis and therefore the OR’s might be underrated.

Conclusion
This study found a significant relationship between SPh and sub-clinical PS in the general population, with a dose-response. Although the items described psychological processes only indirectly, we cautiously suggest that the association may be due to an overlap in psychological mechanisms in both conditions. Furthermore, there is evidence that subjects with sub-clinical paranoid thoughts at baseline have a greater risk of developing social anxiety over the next three years. There was no significant evidence for the hypotheses that SPh precedes sub-clinical PS frequently.

The finding that in some individuals, paranoid ideation results in social phobia has clinical consequences. People might try to hide their suspiciousness from others and develop a heightened awareness of their own behaviour and start scanning others to see whether they have noticed their suspiciousness. This is consistent with, and may partially account for, the ‘safety behaviours’ that have been found to occur frequently in people experiencing paranoid delusions (Morrison & Wells, 2007). These behaviours, first identified indeed in anxiety disorders such as social phobia, are adopted to prevent a feared outcome but are problematic in that they can prevent disconfirmation of beliefs about the feared outcome. Addressing
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these safety behaviours has become an integral component of psychological formulations of paranoia (Bentall, 2003) and of cognitive therapy for paranoid delusions (Morrison et al., 2004). Clinicians could benefit their patients by paying attention to both paranoid thoughts and socially phobic behaviour. Indeed, focussing on social phobia might help alleviate some of the secondary handicap caused by psychosis.
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