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The thylakoid membrane system is a complex membrane system that organizes and reorganizes itself to provide plants optimal chemical energy from sunlight under different and varying environmental conditions. Grana membranes are part of this system and contain the light-driven water-splitting enzyme Photosystem II (PSII) and light-harvesting antenna complexes. Here, we present a direct visualization of PSII complexes within grana membranes from spinach. By means of jumping mode atomic force microscopy in liquid, minimal forces were applied between the scanning tip and membrane or protein, allowing complexes to be imaged with high detail. We observed four different packing arrangements of PSII complexes, which occur primarily as dimers: co-linear crystalline rows, nanometric domains of straight or skewed rows, and disordered domains. Upon storing surface-adhered membranes at low temperature prior to imaging, large-scale reorganizations of supercomplexes between PSII and light-harvesting complex II could be induced. The highest resolution images show the existence of membrane domains without obvious topography extending beyond supercomplexes. These observations illustrate the possibility for diffusion of proteins and smaller molecules within these densely packed membranes.

Photosynthetic membranes are specialized structures that contain the various protein complexes that transform sunlight into an energy-rich compound. The majority of complexes within these membranes are light-harvesting complexes (LHCs) that absorb sunlight and transfer energy and reaction centers, to where this energy is directed to initiate electron transfer reactions (1).

In green plants, the photosynthetic membranes reside inside the chloroplasts. Under physiological conditions, part of the membranes is tightly stacked along their flat cytoplasmic surfaces, resulting in grana membranes, interconnected by stroma lamellae. Grana contain primarily Photosystem II (PSII) and its associated major light-harvesting antenna (LHCII) as well as minor LHCs (2). Analyses of EM images of isolated complexes from grana have indicated PSII and major and minor LHCs to be present in PSII-LHCII supercomplexes (3, 4). Using the three-dimensional crystallographic structures of the PSII core complex from cyanobacteria and the trimeric LHCII complex from plants (5, 6), a detailed model has been constructed based on the structure of these PSII-LHCII supercomplexes (4).

Grana membranes can be easily prepared by solubilization of stacked chloroplasts with the relatively strong detergent Triton X-100 (7). Structural investigations have revealed that these membranes are paired membranes with the extrinsic luminal parts facing outwards (8). However, to retain biological activity, the membranes are usually diluted in detergent-free solutions, resulting in a strong aggregation of the membranes and preventing structural analyses. For this reason, we have developed the preparation of grana membranes by a much milder detergent (α-dodecyl maltoside), which prevents the need for detergent removal. These preparations were extensively characterized by biochemical and structural methods, including EM (see, for example, Refs. 9–12).

Strong evidence exists that the PSII supercomplex is composed of a PSII core dimer with two to four LHCII trimers attached at specific binding positions. Depending on the light conditions during plant growth, about four to eight additional LHCII complexes are not directly bound to a PSII-LHCII supercomplex and reside in the so-called LHCII-only membrane domains (see Ref. 2 and references therein). EM studies have furthermore indicated that the majority of supercomplexes are organized in a random fashion and that a small amount is organized in regular arrays. Under certain conditions such as low temperature, low-light intensity during growth, or low pH, a tendency for ordering in crystalline arrays has been observed (10, 13, 14). A detailed analysis has furthermore indicated a large heterogeneity in the organization and content between opposing grana membranes (14–16).

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging protocols derived for two-dimensional crystals of membrane proteins (17, 18) have been successfully applied to photosynthetic membranes (19–23). Images with unprecedented precision allowed the visualization of individual proteins and protein complexes also in other types of biological membranes, i.e. mitochondria (24), eye lens (25), and retina (26). An AFM study of grana membranes has confirmed (following biochemical evidence (see Ref. 27)) that these membranes also are densely packed with protein (28). However, in this study, AFM was conducted on dried membranes in air, which prompts concerns as to dehydration and damage and prohibits high-resolution imaging.

The proposed functional consequences of segregation and aggregation of photosynthetic complexes include long-distance
electron transfer, regulation of energy distribution by preventing energy spillover, supplying ways to help protect vulnerable PSII from light overexposure, and allowing repair of photodamaged PSII units (2). The packing of protein complexes observed in all photosynthetic membranes raises questions as to how diffusive processes can take place on physiological time scales under such crowded conditions (29).

To address these questions, detailed knowledge on the supramolecular organization of the protein complexes and the possibility for dynamic rearrangements is indispensable. AFM images may provide information on the protein organization within a single membrane in a close to native state and with high detail without image manipulation. Here, we present high-resolution AFM images of grana membranes from spinach. Adhered membranes were immersed in a liquid ionic buffer, which prevents dehydration and greatly enhances resolution. To minimize damage further, we employed a most delicate AFM mode, the so-called “jumping mode” (JM-AFM). The advantage of this method is that the lateral movement of the scanning tip with respect to the sample surface is performed when the two are not in contact. In this manner, lateral shear forces on the specimen are minimized (30, 31). This is particularly important for a fragile system such as a pair of membranes, in which the lower membrane is fixed to the surface, the upper membrane is probed, and both membranes are attached to each other by weak electrostatic forces.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Membrane Preparation—Grana membranes from spinach thylakoids were prepared fresh by solubilization with the mild detergent n-dodecyl α-D-maltoside according to van Roon et al. (9).

AFM—The samples obtained were then deposited on freshly cleaved mica in adsorbing buffer (10 mM Hepes (pH 8), 25 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM KCl) for at least 1 h in the dark at room temperature or at 4 °C. Subsequently, the samples were washed with imaging buffer (10 mM Hepes (pH 8) and 50 mM KCl) and repeatedly imaged in a liquid cell with a commercial AFM microscope (Nanotec) by jumping or tapping mode. The nominal spring constant of the cantilevers (Olympus) employed varied from 0.1 to 0.03 newtons/m, and their resonance frequency in liquid was 11–30 kHz. All measurements and the following image analysis were performed using the WsXM program (32). The elevation of grana stacks is measured with respect to the mica surface, and the height of core complexes is assessed with respect to the plane of the membrane. The width of and distances between masses is obtained only when masses protrude from an area of equal height or as indicated in the figures and text.

RESULTS

Membranes Incubated at Room Temperature—Freshly collected gel filtration fractions containing solubilized grana membranes were adhered to mica, immersed within a liquid ionic buffer, and directly imaged. Our adhesion and rinsing protocols resulted in the firm attachment of flat membranes. Membrane sizes varied between 200 and 600 nm in diameter and 18 and 60 nm in height. Fig. 1A depicts a typical membrane imaged at low resolution by JM-AFM. Grana appear to be packed with protein, indicated as the most bright topographical masses. A more clear view of these masses is shown in Fig. 1B, displaying the same membrane at higher magnification. Fig. 1C depicts a three-dimensional image of Fig. 1B, clearly visualizing the unorganized but dense configuration of proteins within grana. The inset presents a digital zoom. The length of individual masses appears to be between 30 and 60 nm, and their width is ~15 nm, consistent with the size of the extrinsic parts of PSII dimers (2, 4). Fig. 1(D–G) shows AFM height (D and F) and accompanying error (E and G) images of similarly prepared membranes but incubated for 1 h prior to imaging. F and G, same as D and E but after 3 h of incubation. Scale bars = 100 nm.
structures. Clearly, the dense packing of protein is a common characteristic of these membranes. Although longer incubation results in a slightly better resolution, it does not allow further analyses.

Membranes Incubated at 4 °C—We proceed by incubating membranes at 4 °C after adhesion to mica. Storage at lower temperature is known to induce a higher degree of order in grana membranes (33), although this has never before been tested on membranes adhered to a mica surface. Usually, we incubated the membranes overnight.

Typical JM-AFM images of the membranes prepared this way are presented in Fig. 2. Fig. 2A displays the height, and Fig. 2B shows the error image. The height image shows that a small part of the upper left membrane is located on another; this is likely a result of incubation and rinsing. Especially in the error image, already at this low resolution, domains of protein protrusions regularly arranged in rows can be clearly distinguished. The height profile of the membranes (Fig. 2C) reveals the height of a single granum as ~20 nm, whereas the height of the two partially overlapping membranes is 38 nm. Fig. 2D shows a different piece of membrane. Here, three layers are visible on top of each other. The total height of this stack is 59 ± 1 nm. Fig. 2A also shows a piece of membrane with reduced height, pointing to a piece of membrane with only a single layer. This feature was observed previously in analyses of these types of membranes by EM (10) and may indicate a fragment of stroma lamellae. We conclude that the attachment of the membranes on a mica surface does not prevent a structural rearrangement and a higher degree of order in at least the membrane facing the medium and the AFM tip.

A view at higher magnification of one of the membranes from Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 3. The protruding proteins are now more clearly visible as brighter parts in the image. Clearly, these membranes are packed with protein, organized as rows residing in nanometric subdomains (Fig. 3, A–D). In several cases, the integrity of membrane surface is broken in a form of holes, visible as black spots in Fig. 3 (B and C). The presence of such damage is likely due to the detergent treatment during the isolation procedure and was also observed in EM images of preparations of the same kind (10). In Fig. 3 (D and F (digital zoom of C)), close-up views of the protruding proteins are shown. At this magnification, we observe the dimeric arrangement of masses arranged in rows. A cross-section is depicted in Fig. 3F (black line) and shown in Fig. 3E. The two main closest protrusions form a unit spanning 20 nm and have individual maxima separated by 8 nm and a height of 2 nm. The distance between units is ~10 nm. We measured similar values for our highest resolution images (see below).

FIGURE 2. AFM images of grana membranes adhered to mica and stored at 4 °C prior to rinsing and imaging. A, height image. The white line indicates the position of the height profile presented in C. The arrow points to an empty piece of membrane. B, error image of A. C, height profile as indicated in A. The zero level is taken at the mica surface. D, height image and profile (inset) of three pieces of membrane on top of each other. Scale bars = 100 nm.
Occasionally, we could switch between JM-AFM and tapping mode AFM (TM-AFM). In Fig. 4, two double membranes were imaged initially by jumping mode and then reimaged by tapping mode. Fig. 4A (height image) and Fig. 4B (first derivative image) were recorded by JM-AFM, and Fig. 4C (height image) and Fig. 4D (error) by TM-AFM. Although most membranes show disordered rows of proteins as in Fig. 2, here, proteins are very regularly packed in co-linear rows spanning the entire membrane. The size of protruding masses is 20 nm, with a gap of 10 nm between the rows. Five consecutive rows span 180 nm; thus, each row spans 36 nm. Thirty min had passed between recording the two images, and in this time, the upper membrane has been repositioned to the left, indicative of the loose adhesion to the mica surface. The TM-AFM image shows similar detail, but the membrane appears to be distorted. We stress that generally the same membrane could be imaged consecutively many times without any changes. Also in previous TM-AFM investigations on bacterial photosynthetic membranes, the membranes could be consecutively imaged, exhibiting similar results (20).

Our AFM method occasionally allowed membranes to be imaged to a resolution at which individual protein protrusions could be visualized. A high-resolution image of a partially ordered grana membrane is shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 5 (A and B) shows height and error images of this membrane adhered to a mica surface. Domains of regular rows of protein mass are clearly visible. A closer inspection of this membrane is shown in Fig. 5C, and at this magnification, the grouping of domains and the spacing between rows are clearly visible. Only at the highest magnification achievable (Fig. 5D) did we observe the masses to consist of four protrusions close together. The lower panel of Fig. 5D shows the two prevalent dimeric interactions indicated by dashed boxes: a skewed dimer (left) and straight dimer (right). The dimensions of the dimer are \( \approx 25 \times 16 \) nm, slightly larger than the extrinsic protrusions of PSII supercomplexes in the plant model presented by Nield et al. (34). The highest protrusions extend 4–6 nm from the membrane, consistent with the same structural model. Only at the highest magnification (Fig. 5, C and D) could the space between the protruding PSII units of ordered arrays be observed (see arrows). Also other, larger areas devoid of protruding masses are observed between membrane domains containing ordered arrays of PSII dimers (indicated by asterisks). Fig. 5D allows us to count the number of supercomplexes: 70 \( \pm 2 \). The total area of this panel is 260 \( \times \) 260 nm, which amounts to 67,600 nm\(^2\). Assuming we are viewing the most common C\(_2\)S\(_2\)M supercomplex in spinach with an area of 540 nm\(^2\) (2, 4, 10), a total of 125 supercomplexes could have been packed within this area. Thus, the packing density of C\(_2\)S\(_3\)M supercomplexes in this entire area is \( \approx 56\% \). As shown in all images shown here, the protein density varies considerably within one membrane. The densest area is occupied by co-linear rows of supercomplexes. In Fig. 5 (A and B), we estimate that half of the membrane shown is occupied by such rows; here, the packing fraction of C\(_2\)S\(_2\)M supercomplexes is \( \approx 95\% \), confirming that the crystalline arrays consist of C\(_2\)S\(_2\)M supercomplexes.

In Fig. 6, we show analyses of the lower right part of the membrane AFM image of Fig. 5D: a domain of rows consisting...
of four protruding masses as a basic unit. The cross-section within a row is indicated by a dashed blue line in Fig. 5B. The width of a unit is 20 nm, and within such a unit, the two protrusions have a height of 5 nm and are separated by 8 nm. The size of each protrusion is 5 nm. These values closely match those expected for the extrinsic PsbO, PsbU, and PsbV proteins.
from the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of the PSII core com-
plex from Thermosynechococcus elongatus (6). The cross-sec-
tion between rows is indicated by the dashed black line in Fig.
6C. The length of a unit is 30 nm, extending from topological
to the next mass. As we will discuss further below, this
indicates that we are observing proximate PSII supercom-
plexes, most likely of the C₃S₂M variety, with their long axes
along the dashed black line. At this particular domain, we also
observe an extra mass, associated with a supercomplex (indi-
cated by the arrow) of unknown origin but reported previously
(35).

DISCUSSION
JM-AFM—The common protocol to obtain a high-resolu-
tion AFM image is the application of a liquid ionic buffer solu-
tion that counters repulsive van der Waals forces when the
imaging tip of the AFM cantilever approaches the surface. The
highest resolution images can be obtained with contact mode
AFM, in which the force between the tip of the cantilever and
the probe is kept constant, or TM-AFM, in which the tip oscil-
lates with a certain frequency and only minimally interacts with
the surface. Contact mode AFM provides the highest resolution
images when applied to naturally flat membranes (19, 23), and
TM-AFM when applied to naturally curved membranes (20).
For both methods, high resolution is achieved only when a del-
icate interaction between the scanning tip and the topographic
masses is achieved. Generally, this is possible only in the
absence of large (more than a few nanometers) height differ-
ences. JM-AFM is a more sensitive scanning probe method, but,
as such, it cannot deal with large height differences due to the
fact that the withdraw-approach procedure of the piezo ele-
ment must be repeated at every measuring point. This prolongs
the scanning time and makes the cantilever liable to noise
caused by the liquid solution (36). For comparison, we also
applied TM-AFM in liquid. This resulted in a lowered resolu-
tion though: resolving the PSII core structure was not feasible,
despite the fact that the organization of regular rows of com-
plexes within the membrane remained clearly visible. More-
ever, the membrane was affected by scanning, as part of it
appeared to be moved and stretched. However, no other dam-
age was noticeable. In contrast, TM-AFM has been applied suc-
cessfully before to bacterial photosynthetic membranes (20).
We speculate that TM-AFM is too harsh because the double
grana membranes are more flexible structures compared with
the singular bacterial membranes. Another difference with bac-
terial membranes is that the domains of photosynthetic com-
plexes are completely packed without any areas containing only
lipids or loosely connected LHCs. A lesser degree of packing
density of protein complexes in subdomains may be responsible
not only for the observed mass-less areas (Fig. 5D) but also for
the lower sturdiness of the grana.

Visualizing Grana and PSII Complexes—We need to take
into consideration that our purification method produced
inside-out paired membrane discs cut out from the grana stacks
by mild detergent treatment and prevented from aggregation
by retaining small amounts of detergent in the final sample
solution. Therefore, any multilayered structures observed on
mica are purely coincidental and by no means reflect the real
state of organization in native appressed grana. Nevertheless,
the height of single and multilayered membranes can be
informative concerning the protrusions of proteins. The aver-
age height of membranes throughout our study is 20 nm.
According to the crystal structure of cyanobacterial PSII (20),
the PSII core dimer has dimensions of 20.5 × 11 × 10.5 nm
(length × width × height). Bearing in mind that the transmem-
brane part of the complex measures 4.5 nm, the stromal pro-
trusion is 1 nm, and the luminal protrusion of OEC is up to 5
nm, one can assume that a double membrane containing intact
PSII particles is at least 20 nm high. The observed height is in
good agreement with estimates from thin sectioning (reviewed
in Ref. 2) and recent electron microscope tomography of thyla-
koids (35, 37). The measured height is considerably longer than
observed previously for AFM on air-dried grana membranes
(28), which can be explained by a shrinking of the height due to
drying. The height profile of the overlay of two or three double-
membrane stacks shows a height of ~40 and 60 nm, respec-
tively. These values suggest that the luminal protrusions of PSII
complexes in paired grana are facing each other. Note that the
extrinsic proteins of spinach PSII differ from those from cyano-
bacteria (38). The values found here do indicate a similar height
above the membrane for both types.

Our most detailed images (Fig. 6A) reveal symmetrically
arranged structures with a pronounced long (30 nm) and short
(20 nm) side (Fig. 6, B and C). The repeating units consist of four
separate masses protruding 5 nm above the membrane,
arranged in a diamond shape (Fig. 6A). This arrangement
matches closely that of the cyanobacterial PSII crystal structure
(Fig. 6A, inset) and the extension of the OEC proteins of ~5 nm
from the lumenal side of PSII. On the basis of the topography of
the OEC proteins from the crystal structure, we can also deduce
the orientation of the PSII complexes. This topography is very
different for the two directions in the crystal structure: in one
direction, the OECs of the two PSII monomers are separated by
a small gap of only 1 nm, and in the other direction by 8 nm.
The latter separation fills precisely the gap between the masses
observed in our images (Fig. 6, B and C). Thus, the long axis of
the PSII core dimer lies along the 20-nm repeating unit. The
repeating length scale on the other side is found to be 30 nm,
and the topography appears regular within the rows with sym-
metrical units. We therefore expect an extra 5-nm mass on each
side of the PSII core dimer. Such a mass is expected for PSII
supercomplexes consisting of a PSII core dimer flanked by one
LHClI and two minor antennae on each side (2, 4, 39). These
structures are likely undetected here because their protrusions
are maximally 1 nm from the membrane (5). In Fig. 6A, this
tentative structure is outlined in green, with the arrow indicat-
ing the orientation of a supercomplex.

Supramolecular Organization of Grana—We imaged grana
membranes isolated and treated using two different protocols.
In all cases, the membranes appear densely packed with protein
masses. The sizes of these masses correspond to dimeric PSII
units. Adhering membranes at room temperature resulted in a
random distribution of clustered supercomplexes (Fig. 1). A
higher degree of organization was found when the membranes
were incubated at 4 °C while fixed onto a mica surface (Fig. 5).
Such a membrane reorganization is indicative of a disordered-
AFM on Grana Membranes

ordered phase transition. Only at low temperature can the weak specific interactions between supercomplexes become a dominant organizational driving force. Besides, the possibility for protein reorganization to take place indicates large-scale diffusion to be possible despite dense packing. Moreover, this reorganization takes place despite adhesion to the mica surface. Most likely, this is due to the upper membrane being more loosely attached to the lower membrane, which in turn adheres to the surface. Recently, it has been shown that the primary photosynthetic reactions are maintained on surface-adhered membranes (40); here, we found that proteins can reorganize on a large-scale within a membrane despite adhesion to a surface.

In general, we have shown that PSII supercomplexes can cluster into membrane domains of ordered arrays. We have shown previously that protein clustering within membrane domains originates in the depletion-induced attraction within packed membranes (41). In that work, we demonstrated that size differences between core and peripheral LHCs, together with the intrinsic curvature of the protein complexes, can drive membrane domain formation of one type of protein complex. In the grana membranes, the size differences between the two main components, PSII supercomplexes and peripheral LHCII complexes, are much larger and may solely cause these domains to be formed.

In our images, four types of packing lattices are observed. In most cases, supercomplexes are arranged in rows, either co-linearly arranged through the membrane (Fig. 4) or within nanodomains (Figs. 3 and 5). In both cases, little mass-free space can be detected. Our highest resolution images of such a latter domain (Fig. 5) show another packing configuration of supercomplexes: skewed rows (Fig. 5C). Strikingly, between these rows and between the nanodomains of specific order, mass-less space is observed. This space is likely occupied by LHCII, undetected here because these complexes hardly protrude from the membrane. On the other hand, the z-resolution in AFM is generally <1 nm, especially in a tight packing configuration. Topography-less area may thus indicate a lipid-only area, densely packed LHCII complexes, or loosely interacting LHCII complexes; yet, on the other hand, the temperature-induced reorganizations observed here indicate the possibility for large-scale protein movements, arguing against dense packing. Generally, our data show that such highly specialized structures as grana membranes retain their high flexibility to adapt to external conditions even in vitro.

Conclusions—We have applied a delicate AFM imaging technique to stacked grana membranes from spinach immersed in an ionic buffer solution. Using this method, we were able to obtain the first high-resolution images of PSII supercomplexes and visualized their organization within these membranes. Surface-adhered membranes are capable of remodeling from random to row-like organizations when temporarily stored at 4 °C. We can discriminate four different packing lattices: co-linear rows of close laying PSII that span the entire membrane, nanometric domains of linear or skewed rows, and disordered domains. Although the membranes appear to be densely packed with protein at lower resolution, the highest resolution images reveal large domains without protrusions to be present. These areas span beyond the dimensions of the supercomplexes, also when unresolved topography is taken into account. The high-resolution images allow the packing density of PSII supercomplexes to be estimated, varying between 50% for random and 75% for close packing. Areas with loosely interacting LHCII, lipid only, and less tight packing likely allow the large-scale protein remodeling of grana membranes during low-temperature incubation. Most importantly, these features may also facilitate other diffusive processes necessary for sustainment and adaptation within chloroplasts.
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