Comparison of 4- and 64-slice CT scanning in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism
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Summary
With the introduction of multi-detector row CT (MDCT), sensitivity to diagnose pulmonary embolism (PE) has greatly improved. The use of newer generation CT-scans may lead to a higher prevalence and a different distribution of PE. We compared 64-slice with 4-slice MDCT regarding prevalence and distribution of PE, the number of inconclusive test results and inter-reader variability. CT-scans from a random sample of 110 consecutive patients who underwent 4-slice CT-scanning were compared with 64-slice CT-scans from 107 patients from a second cohort. Three radiologists independently reassessed all CT-scans. Consensus was reached in case of disagreement between the readers. Final diagnosis of PE was categorised as central, segmental or subsegmental by the thrombus’ most proximal end. The prevalence of PE was 24% (26/110, 95% confidence interval [CI] 17–32%) and 22% (24/107, 16–31%) for the 4-slice and 64-slice cohort, respectively. The prevalence of isolated subsegmental emboli was 2/26 (7.7%; 2.1–24%) and 5/24 (21%; 9.2–41%), respectively (p=0.424). The number of inconclusive scans was 10% in both cohorts, mostly due to movement artefacts and suboptimal intravascular contrast, respectively. The inter-reader agreement between the three readers was 0.70 for the 4-slice scans and 0.68 for the 64-slice scans. Although absolute prevalence of PE was equal in both cohorts, there was a trend towards more subsegmental PE with 64-slice CT. In a multi-reader setting, the number of inconclusive examinations was higher than quoted for clinical management studies, indicating that the diagnosis of PE with MDCT could be less straightforward than assumed.
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Introduction
Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography (CTPA) is nowadays the accepted state-of-the-art test in the diagnostic workup of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism (PE). Initially, critics were sceptical about the accuracy of detecting small emboli in segmental and subsegmental arteries with early single-slice detector computed tomography (CT) (1). However, with the introduction of multi-detector row CT (MDCT), visualisation of small peripheral arteries has greatly improved yielding an increased sensitivity for the detection of small subsegmental emboli (2–4). With the advent of newer generations detector row CT scans (16-/64-slice MDCT, up to even 256-slice MDCT or higher more recently) it is conceivable that the number of patients in whom only isolated small peripheral emboli are seen (i.e. involvement of subsegmental arteries, without involvement of the more central arteries) increases, with several unknown consequences.

We therefore wanted to compare ‘low’-multi-detector row CT scans (i.e. 4-slice) with more modern ‘high-end’-multi-detector row CT scans (i.e. 64-slice) for differences in the PE detection pattern. Unfortunately, a direct comparison between older and newer generation MDCT scanners in the same patients would require two scans per patient, which is unethical due to the radiation exposure. Therefore, we used two sets of patients to compare 4-slice and 64-slice MDCT, with re-evaluation of the CT scans by three radiologists. We expected an absolute increase in PE prevalence in the 64-slice cohort, with a higher proportion of isolated subsegmental pulmonary emboli (ISSPE) compared with the 4-slice cohort. We also expected to find less inconclusive test results with a 64-slice scanner, due to a higher diagnostic accuracy. Finally, we investigated the inter-reader variability to detect PE.
Methods

Patients

This study was performed by evaluating MDCT scans from two cohorts of patients with suspected PE. Patients with a ‘likely’ clinical probability according to the Wells rule (5) or an abnormal D-dimer test result (Tinaquant®, Roche Diagnostica, Mannheim, Germany, cut-off ≤500 μg/l), and in whom a 4-slice CTPA or 64-slice CTPA had been performed, were included. The first cohort consisted of a randomly selected sample of consecutive patients included in a large prospective diagnostic management study at the Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, between November 2002 and August 2004 (6). This study evaluated the clinical effectiveness of a simplified algorithm using the dichotomised Wells rule, D-dimer testing and CT in patients with suspected PE. The institutional review board approved the study protocol. In- and exclusion criteria have been published previously (6). At admission, the clinical probability was calculated by the treating physician using the Wells score. Among patients with a likely clinical probability (Wells score >4) or an abnormal D-dimer test PE was confirmed or excluded with CT scanning. For this analysis, a random sample of patients who underwent 4-slice CT scanning was selected using SPSS, to match the number of patients included in the second cohort. Besides the sample size, there were no requirements or restrictions in selecting the patients.

The second cohort consisted of consecutive in- and outpatients with suspected acute PE at the VU University Medical Center, also in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, between January and June 2006. The patients underwent the same diagnostic algorithm as in the first cohort and the patient referral pattern was the same for both hospitals. Patients with a likely clinical probability for PE (Wells >4) or an abnormal D-dimer test, and in whom a 64-slice CT was made, were included. The protocol had been approved by the institutional review board.

MDCT scanning protocol

CT pulmonary angiography scans were performed using 4-slice (first cohort) and 64-slice (second cohort) MDCT scanners. The scans had been made using state-of-the art protocols.

In the first cohort, according to the study protocol of the Christopher study (6), images were acquired with a 4-row MDCT scanner with 4 x 1 mm slice collimation and a pitch of 1.5. Images for evaluation were reconstructed with 1.25 mm width and 1.2 mm increment. Using automatic bolus tracking (main pulmonary artery), 80–100 ml contrast medium (350 mg iodium/100 ml) was injected intravenously with a flow of 4 ml/seconds.

All images were acquired in the cranio-caudal direction with the z-axis coverage and the fields of view chosen to include the entire thorax, from the apex to the base of the lungs. Patients were examined in single breath-hold technique.

Statistical analysis

Clinical characteristics of study patients from the two cohorts were compared using a chi^2 test or Fisher’s exact test for qualitative variables, and a Student t-test for continuous variables. Prevalence of PE and distribution were calculated along with their 95% confidence intervals (CI). To express inter-reader agreement the multi-reader kappa (κ) coefficient was calculated. All analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 15.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, and Confidence Interval Analysis (CIA, version 1.0; Gardner MJ).
Results

In the 64-slice cohort, 117 consecutive patients were included. Of these patients, five were excluded from analysis because of incomplete data storage (only the 5 mm thick reconstructed sections were available for retrospective analysis) and in another five patients the CDROM was missing in the moment of re-evaluation. These 10 patients were excluded from the analysis, leaving 107 patients for the current analysis. From the 378 patients analysed with 4-slice CT, a random sample of 110 was selected. Baseline characteristics of the two patient groups are shown in Table 1. Both groups were comparable with respect to age, gender, duration of complaints, risk factors for VTE and the prevalence of 'likely' clinical probability.

Prevalence and distribution of PE

The overall prevalence of PE by consensus was 24% (26/110; 95% CI 17–32%) and 22% (24/107; 95% CI 16–31%) for the 4-slice and 64-slice cohort, respectively. The distribution of emboli is depicted in Table 2. Subsegmental emboli as the most proximal manifestation of PE were present in two of the 26 patients with PE in the 4-slice cohort (7.7%; 95% CI, 2.1–24%), whereas this figure was five of 24 patients (21%; 95% CI, 9.2–41%) in the 64-slice cohort. This difference did not reach significance (p=0.424).

Inconclusive results and interreader agreement

Scans were marked as inconclusive by consensus in 10% in both cohorts: 11 scans in the 4-slice cohort (10%, 95% CI 5.7–17%) and 11 scans in the 64-slice cohort (10%, 95% CI 5.8–18%), respectively. The reason for an inconclusive outcome was marked by each reader individually and is depicted in Table 3. Among the 4-slice scans, inconclusive results were most often due to artefacts (pooled results, n=12), while with 64-slice scans, this was most often caused by suboptimal intravascular contrast (pooled results, n=18).

The inter-reader agreement between the three readers was comparable for the two groups: the \( \kappa \)-value for the three observers was 0.70 for the 4-slice CT scan and 0.68 for the 64-slice scan (range of \( \kappa \)-value between two readers 0.62 to 0.80 and 0.64 to 0.71 for the 4- and 64-slice cohorts, respectively). None of the readers performed significantly better or worse compared to the other readers (data not shown).

Discussion

The two main findings of this indirect comparison between 4- and 64-slice CT scans in patients with suspected PE were a trend towards a higher prevalence of subsegmental emboli with the 64-slice CT and secondly a relatively high number of scans rated as inconclusive with both techniques.

Contrary to our expectations, we found no difference in absolute prevalence of PE between the 64-slice and 4-slice CT scan cohorts. We did, however, observe a trend to more ISSPE among the
What is known about this topic?

- With the introduction of multi-detector row computed tomography (CT), visualisation of small peripheral arteries has greatly improved, yielding an increased sensitivity for the detection of small subsegmental emboli.
- Newer generation CT scans may lead to a higher prevalence and a different distribution of pulmonary embolism (PE), with unknown clinical consequences.

What does this paper add?

- A comparison between ‘low’-multi-detector row CT scans (i.e. 4-slice) and modern ‘high-end’-multi-detector row CT scans (i.e. 64-slice) did not reveal a difference in absolute prevalence of PE, although isolated subsegmental PE seemed higher with 64-slice CT.
- Careful evaluation, however, showed inconclusive tests results in a large proportion of patients, exceeding the number found in management studies.
- This may indicate that the diagnosis of PE with multi-detector-row CT could be more difficult than assumed.

64-slice scans. The latter was expected and is explained by the better visualisation of small peripheral arteries. However, with an increase in the detection of (ISS-)PE, one would expect the absolute prevalence of PE to increase in this cohort as well; the proportion of central/segmental PE should not differ between the 4- and 64-slice cohort. This is most probably due to chance and overall caution must be taken interpreting the small patient groups. Another explanation could be that the indirect comparison resulted in differences between the two cohorts, although baseline characteristics and the hospital referral patterns were similar. Three radiologists evaluated every scan in both cohorts, making an explanation on the level of radiologists unlikely.

The prevalence of isolated subsegmental PE varies in the literature. Using MDCT, the prevalence ranged between 7.5% and 22% with 4-slice MDCT (2, 9), whereas this was 5.4% with 8-/16-slice MDCT (10). In addition, in studies that did not specify the type of MDCT, the prevalence was 14% and 18% (11, 12). With re-evaluation of the scans by three experienced radiologists, we found a prevalence of 7.7% with 4-slice MDCT and 21% for 64-slice MDCT in our study, which corresponds to the range reported in the literature.

Currently, it is uncertain whether treating patients with ISSPE is beneficial, or would influence the three-month VTE rate after a 4- or 64-slice CT scan (10, 13). In a retrospective study by Eyer et al. (10), there was no significant difference in outcome between patients with isolated subsegmental embolism who were and who were not treated with anticoagulants. However, more studies, preferably with a prospective randomised design, are necessary before this question can be answered with certainty.

Our second main finding refers to the high number of inconclusive CT-scans. Overall, scans were inconclusive in one in 10 patients, which is higher compared to the 1–2% observed in clinical management studies (2, 6, 14). It has to be considered that evaluating scans in a research setting without management consequences is different from daily clinical practice. Also, a forced agreement between three radiologists inevitably causes more inconclusive outcomes than the evaluation by a single reader. Yet even when taking these two factors into account, our results suggest that in daily clinical practice treatment decisions might be based upon inconclusive CT scans in a subset of patients. The diagnosis of PE with MDCT could therefore be less straightforward than assumed and shows a considerable variability.

We found no difference in the total number of inconclusive scan results between the two cohorts. However, there seemed to be a trade-off in the reasons for the scan to be inconclusive. Because of the faster scanning time with 64-slice MDCT, it is not surprising that timing was a greater problem with these scans, while movement artefacts more often caused inconclusive outcome among the 4-slice CT scans. The inter-reader agreement between the three readers was substantial, although agreement between two readers (ranging from 0.62 to 0.80) was slightly lower compared to reports in the literature ranging from 0.84 to 0.97 (15, 16). There was no difference in inter-reader agreement between the 4- and 64-slice scanner.

Several aspects of our study require comment. First, this was a retrospective study comparing CT scans of patient from two separate cohorts. Although the baseline characteristics are comparable, it would have been preferable to compare the two MDCT types simultaneously in the same patient. However, this would require performing two CT scans in each patient, exposing them to a double radiation dose. Comparing CT scans from two similar sets of patients, as we have done, is the next best option.

Secondly, CT acquisition protocols differed with respect to slice geometry and contrast media application. This means that to a certain extent our results are valid only for these particular protocols and different protocols might yield slightly different results. On the other hand, both protocols represented state of the art procedures implemented in clinical routine.

Thirdly and most importantly, the number of patients included in this study was rather small and likely affected the power of our statistics. We were not able to prove a significant difference in ISSPE prevalence, which does not mean that there is none. In order to reach significance, 500 patients in each group should have been included. The 2.7-fold increase of ISSPE, however, suggests that more isolated peripheral emboli are detected with newer generation MDCT scans, while the clinical consequences of these findings are currently unknown (10).

In conclusion, although the absolute prevalence of PE was comparable in both cohorts, the prevalence of isolated subsegmental emboli...
PE seems higher with 64-slice CT scanning. Also, careful evaluation of the scans showed inconclusive test results in a larger proportion of patients compared with management studies, indicating that assessment of PE with MDCT could be more difficult than assumed.
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