Generation of a mouse mutant by oligonucleotide-mediated gene modification in ES cells
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Gene targeting by ssODNs in MSH2 knockdown cells

Oligonucleotide-mediated gene targeting is emerging as a powerful tool for the introduction of subtle gene modifications in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells and the generation of mutant mice. However, its efficacy is strongly suppressed by DNA mismatch repair (MMR). Here we report a simple and rapid procedure for the generation of mouse mutants using transient down-regulation of the central MMR protein MSH2 by RNA interference. We demonstrate that under this condition, unmodified single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides can be used to substitute single or several nucleotides. In particular, simultaneous substitution of four adjacent nucleotides was highly efficient, providing the opportunity to substitute virtually any given codon. We have used this method to create a codon substitution (N750F) in the \textit{Rb} gene of mouse ES cells and show that the oligonucleotide-modified \textit{Rb} allele can be transmitted through the germ line of mice.

\begin{center}
\textbf{INTRODUCTION}
\end{center}

Publication of the human genome sequence has opened a whole new area of genetic research. In the last decade, many locus-specific mutation databases have been constructed and made publicly available. For instance, the IARC \textit{p53} database contains information on 21,587 somatic mutations found in the human \textit{p53} gene (http://www-p53.iarc.fr). Site-specific modification of the mouse genome provides a powerful tool to functionally characterize the identified mutations and distinguish between polymorphisms and truly pathogenic mutations. However, current strategies based on homologous recombination require the design and construction of a targeting vector and multiple rounds of selection and clonal purification of modified cells, which are laborious and time-consuming [1]. An alternative approach could be the introduction of subtle gene modifications into the mouse genome by single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides (ssODNs) [2].

Numerous reports have shown that oligonucleotides that differ from the target locus by one or a few nucleotides can be used to introduce specific mutations into both episomally- and chromosomally-located genes [3-6]. In most cases, chemically-modified RNA-DNA chimeric oligonucleotides or ssODNs were used in which the chemical modifications served to protect the oligonucleotides from nucleolytic degradation. The mechanism of transfer of genetic information from the ssODN to the target remains largely elusive. Many different cellular processes such as transcription [7,8], DNA replication [9], homologous recombination [10] and DNA mismatch repair (MMR) [11,12] seem to be involved. Several reports have noticed that cells targeted by chemically-modified ssODNs underwent a G\textsubscript{2} arrest that precluded their clonal expansion [13,14].

In mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells, ssODN-mediated gene targeting frequencies appeared to be relatively low. Chemically-modified ssODNs showed targeting frequencies that were ~50-fold lower in ES cells than in CHO-K1 cells [3,15]. Overexpression of the Red\beta protein from bacteriophage lambda seemed to increase the targeting efficiency in ES cells although the effect was not quantified [16]. To date, none of
these approaches has been followed up by experiments to show modification of an endogenous gene in ES cells and transmission of a modified allele through the mouse germ line.

Previously, we demonstrated that the efficiency of gene targeting by non-chemically-modified ssODNs in mouse ES cells is strongly suppressed by the MMR system [11]. In eukaryotic cells, DNA mismatches are recognized by MSH2 and its binding partners MSH3 and MSH6 [17]. The MSH2/MSH6 heterodimer mainly recognizes single base substitutions and small loops of 1 or 2 nt, while the MSH2/MSH3 complex has more affinity for larger loops of unpaired bases [18,19]. We showed that ssODN-directed substitution, insertion or deletion of a few nucleotides was only effective in cells lacking the central MMR gene Msh2. However, the mutator phenotype associated with MMR deficiency [20] may lead to inadvertent genetic alterations on top of the ssODN-mediated modification, hampering general application of ssODN-mediated targeting.

Here we show that transient suppression of MSH2 by RNA interference allows effective ssODN-mediated gene modification in wild-type mouse ES cells. Our method is generally applicable and provides the opportunity to modify in principle any given codon in the ES cell genome. The occurrence of frameshift mutations at simple sequence repeats was assessed to gain insight into the level of spontaneous mutagenesis resulting from transient MSH2 suppression. We have successfully applied the MSH2 knockdown strategy to substitute a codon in the Rb gene (N750F) of MMR-proficient ES cells and used these cells to generate the first mutant mouse line that was created via ssODN-mediated gene targeting.

RESULTS

Oligonucleotide-mediated targeting is suppressed by DNA mismatch repair

To provide a simple in vivo readout for the efficacy of ssODN-mediated gene modification, we have previously developed two selectable reporter gene systems consisting of mutant neomycin resistance genes (neo) [11]. The neo cassette was mutated by either a single base substitution (ATG > AAG) in the start codon (Target 2, Figure 1A), or a 2 bp insertion (extra GT) immediately after the start codon, causing a frameshift mutation (Target 1, Figure 2A). A single copy of these mutant reporter genes was stably integrated into the Rosa26 locus of Msh2−/− and wild-type ES cells. Correction of the mutant sequence by ssODNs restores the open reading frame (Target 1) or generates an ATG start codon (Target 2) resulting in G418 resistance.

As we have previously shown, G418-resistant colonies were readily obtained in Msh2−/− cells, but not in wild-type cells, indicating that MMR activity imposes a strong barrier to ssODN-mediated gene targeting [11,21].

Transient down-regulation of MSH2

The necessity of MMR deficiency greatly restricts the application of ssODN-mediated gene targeting for the generation of mutant mice. In order to establish a generally applicable protocol for ssODN-mediated gene targeting in ES cells, we minimized the inadvertent effects of constitutive MMR deficiency by down-regulating MMR activity in wild-type ES cells for only a brief period. For this purpose, we used the pSUPER vector containing a puromycin resistance gene to transiently express a short hairpin RNAi sequence against Msh2 mRNA (pS-MSH2) [22]. After transfection of wild-type ES cells with pS-MSH2 and puromycin selection for 2 days, protein samples were taken for six consecutive days and analyzed by immuno-
Figure 1 Oligonucleotide-mediated base substitution.

(A) A single copy of a defective neomycin gene (neo) carrying a T to A point mutation in the start codon (Target 2) was inserted into the Rosa26 locus of Msh2−/−, Msh3−/−, Msh6−/−, Msh2+/− and wild-type ES cells. (B) Activity of the neo gene can be restored by single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides (ssODNs) that substitute 1-4 nt (indicated in red) to create a new ATG start codon (underlined). (C) The efficiency of ssODN-mediated base substitution in Msh3−/− (red bars), Msh6−/− (blue bars) and pS-MSH2 transfected wild-type (green bars) or Msh2−/− (orange bars) ES cells is the number of G418-resistant colonies per 10⁵ cells that were plated after ssODN exposure. Targeting data of Msh2−/− (black bars) and wild-type (white bars) ES cells are taken from Ref. [21] and shown as controls. Error bars represent the standard deviation (s.d.) of at least three independent experiments. * denotes P < 0.001, whereas ** denotes P < 0.05 as compared with untreated wild-type cells (Student’s t-test).
blotting. MSH2 protein levels were 10-fold reduced for 3 days, and then gradually increased back to wild-type levels (Figure 3). This level of reduction was highly reproducible and also obtained in Msh2+/– ES cells (data not shown). We also analyzed MSH3 and MSH6 protein levels after pS-MSH2 transfection, since the stability of these proteins is known to be dependent on their interaction with MSH2 [20,23]. Although both MSH3 and MSH6 protein levels were reduced upon down-regulation of MSH2 (Figure 3), low levels of these proteins were present. This indicates that residual MSH2/MSH3 and MSH2/MSH6 complexes could still be formed in MSH2 knockdown cells.

**Nucleotide substitutions in MSH2 knockdown ES cells**

We next investigated whether transient down-regulation of MSH2 rendered cells permissive for nucleotide substitutions by ssODNs. Wild-type ES cells were transfected with pS-MSH2 and cultured in the presence of puromycin for 2 days. pS-MSH2 containing cells were transfected with different ssODNs to substitute 1, 2, 3 or 4 nt in the mutated neo reporter gene (Figure 1B). For the majority of substitution ssODNs, transient down-regulation of MSH2 significantly increased the targeting efficiency (Figure 1C, compare green and white bars; efficiencies in wild-type cells were taken from Ref. [21]). In particular, 4 nt could now efficiently be substituted reaching levels of 60% of the levels found in Msh2−/− cells (Figure 1C, ssODNs 4n, 4M, and 4N; efficiencies in Msh2−/− cells were taken from Ref. [21]). The performance of these 4 nt insertions in pS-MSH2 transfected wild-type ES cells remained at only 14-18% of the levels found in Msh2−/− cells. Insertion of a single base (+1) was hardly efficient with a frequency of 1% compared to Msh2−/− cells.

To rule out that the pS-MSH2 vector was stably integrated after puromycin selection, protein samples were taken of 16 G418-resistant colonies (eight wild-type and eight Msh2−/− ES cell colonies) and immunoblot-
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All colonies showed wild-type levels of MSH2 (data not shown). Furthermore, none of these colonies survived in medium supplemented with puromycin, indicating that the effects of pS-MSH2 transfection were truly transient. Taken together, transient down-regulation of MSH2 rendered cells permissive for 4 nt substitutions, but not for simple nucleotide substitutions or 4 nt insertions, although these were all highly efficient in Msh2−/− cells.

Oligonucleotide-mediated targeting in Msh3−/− and Msh6−/− cells

The MSH2/MSH6 heterodimer has been suggested to recognize single base pair mismatches and one or two unpaired nucleotides, while the MSH2/MSH3 complex is predominantly involved in the recognition of loops of two to five unpaired bases [18,19]. In order to study which of these complexes is responsible for suppression of ssODN-mediated gene targeting, we have introduced the
neo reporter genes into Msh3+/− and Msh6+/− ES cells [23] and studied the performance of insertion and substitution ssODNs in these cells.

We found that most 4 nt insertions could efficiently be introduced in Msh3−/− cells [21], but not in Msh6−/− cells (Figure 2C, blue bars). This indicates that 4 nt insertions are mainly suppressed by MSH2/MSH3 complexes. In MSH2 knockdown cells, most 4 nt insertions were less efficient than in Msh3−/− cells, indicating that after MSH2 knockdown, residual MSH2/MSH3 activity was still sufficiently high to suppress 4 nt insertions. In contrast, nucleotide substitutions were supported by MSH6 deficiency (Figure 1C, blue bars) rather than MSH3 deficiency (Figure 1C, red bars). In Msh6−/− cells, the efficiency of nucleotide substitutions was significantly increased, except for ssODN 4M. This indicates that nucleotide substitutions are primarily suppressed by MSH2/MSH6 complexes. Strikingly, simple nucleotide substitutions (1m, mxm and 2M) and the 3 nt substitutions 3n and 3M were less efficient in MSH2 knockdown cells than in Msh6−/− cells, while complex substitutions (4n, 4M and 4N) and the 3 nt substitution 3N, were more efficient in MSH2 knockdown cells than in Msh6−/− cells.

These findings suggest that suppression of nucleotide substitutions requires different levels of MSH2/MSH6 activity: low levels are sufficient for suppression of simple substitutions, while suppression of 4 nt substitutions requires high levels of MSH2/MSH6. Thus, in pS-MSH2 transfected wild-type cells, residual levels of both MSH2/MSH3 and MSH2/MSH6 complexes were still able to suppress nucleotide insertions and simple substitutions.

**Effects of transient MSH2 suppression**

To obtain an indication of the level of accumulation of spontaneous mutations as a result of transient suppression of MSH2 activity, we assessed the occurrence of frameshift mutations at simple sequence repeats. For this purpose, we have used two frameshift reporter constructs consisting of a neo gene in which the open reading frame was disrupted by either a (G)10 or a (CA)15C repeat. Polymerase slippage errors that remain unrepaired in the absence of mismatch repair activity may lead to e.g. deletion of a single G or addition of a CA restoring the open reading frame and resulting in G418 resistance. At the (G)10 repeat, pS-MSH2 transfected wild-type cells showed a slippage frequency that was ~400-fold higher than in control wild-type cells but 7-fold lower than in Msh2−/− cells (Table 1). At the (CA)15C repeat, MSH2 suppression resulted in only a 2-fold increase in slippage frequency compared to untreated wild-type cells which was ~30-fold lower than in Msh2−/− cells (Table 1). Similar results were obtained in Msh2+/− ES cells, where the
slippage frequency increased 5-fold upon pS-MSH2 transfection, but was still 25-fold lower compared to Msh2−/− cells.

In addition, we have determined the mutation frequency at the Hprt locus, assayed by the appearance of 6-thioguanine (6TG) resistant cells. We initially plated cells at a density of 10^6 per 85 cm^2. The number of 6TG-resistant colonies per 10^6 pS-MSH2 transfected wild-type cells varied between 0 and 2, whereas one Hprt mutant was found in untreated wild-type cells (Table 2). In Msh2−/− cells, the number of Hprt mutants was not increased upon transient down-regulation of MSH2. To exclude the possibility that 6TG-resistant colonies are lost due to metabolic co-operation by wild-type cells, we also plated cells at a density of 10^6 per 300 cm^2. Except for Msh2−/− cells, this did not lead to increased numbers of colonies (Table 2, exp. 4). The large fluctuation in the number of 6TG-resistant Msh2−/− colonies is most likely due to variations in the appearance of the first mutant cell in each culture. These results indicate that the accumulation of spontaneous mutations is increased upon transient disabling of the MMR machinery but remains well below that in constitutive MMR-deficient cells.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>(G)_n repeat</th>
<th>(CA)_n C repeat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT pS</td>
<td>0.75 (±0.0)</td>
<td>83 (±22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT pS-MSH2</td>
<td>322 (±36)</td>
<td>159 (±51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2+/− pS</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>44 (±13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2+/− pS-MSH2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>214 (±19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2−/− pS</td>
<td>2285 (±1039)</td>
<td>5085 (±1239)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Results from 2 independent experiments.
b Results from 4 independent experiments.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Number of HPRT mutants / 10^6 cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exp. 1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT pS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT pS-MSH2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2+/− pS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2+/− pS-MSH2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msh2−/− pS</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Cells were plated onto 85 cm^2.
b Cells were plated onto 300 cm^2.
**Oligonucleotide-mediated codon substitution in Rb**

Our results indicate that transient suppression of MSH2 activity allows effective substitution of 4 nt and may be used to introduce codon substitutions in non-selectable genes. As an example, we present an ssODN-mediated codon substitution (N750F) in the retinoblastoma gene *Rb*. We designed a 38-mer ssODN with four centrally located substitutions in order to replace the asparagine at position 750 by a phenylalanine (Figure 4A). In this experiment, we used *Msh2*+/− cells, although we later realized that wild-type cells perform equally well (Figure 1C). pS-MSH2-treated *Msh2*+/− ES cells were exposed to ssODN *Rb*-CTTT and seeded into four 96-well plates at a density

---

**Figure 4 Oligonucleotide-mediated codon substitution in Rb.**

(A) Single-stranded DNA oligonucleotide *Rb*-CTTT was designed to replace an asparagine by a phenylalanine at position 750 in exon 22 of the *Rb* gene. Mismatching bases are indicated in red. Arrows indicate the location of PCR primers. (B) Primer pair 1/2 was used to amplify a 738-bp fragment from pools of cells. This fragment was used in a second PCR round using the nested primer pairs 3/4 or 5/6 of which primers 3 and 6 are specific for the CTTT mutation. (C) Sequence analysis of *Rb* mRNA in a purified mutant ES cell clone revealed the presence of the CTTT mutation, replacing the asparagine at position 750 by a phenylalanine. (D) PCR-based detection of *Rb*~N750~ mutation in genomic DNA. PCRs were conducted with primer pairs 1/2 and 3/4, yielding a 213-bp product specific for the CTTT mutation. Lane M, molecular mass standards; lane 1, *Rb*~N750~ ES cell clone; lane 2, *Rb*~N750~ mouse; lane 3, wild-type littermate; lane 4, water control; * indicates non-specific band.
of 5000 cells per well. Genomic DNA was isolated and a 738-bp product was amplified by PCR using primers 1 and 2 (Figure 4A). Pools containing cells with the ssODN-mediated modification were identified by a nested mutation-specific PCR (Figure 4B). In this second PCR, primers 3/4 or primers 5/6 could only amplify a product when the CTCTT mutation was present. One well was identified giving a PCR product with primers 3/4 and primers 5/6. From this positive pool, a single cell clone was isolated in three subsequent screening rounds, in which cells were seeded in pools of 100, 10, and 1 cells per well, respectively. The **Rb**<sup>+/N750F</sup> ES cell clone was verified by sequencing of mRNA (Figure 4C) and used for the generation of mouse chimeras. In contrast to **Msh2**<sup>–/–</sup> ES cells, pS-**MSH2** transfected **Msh2**<sup>±/±</sup> cells were able to contribute to the germ line of chimeric mice. The **Rb**<sup>N750F</sup> allele was transmitted to their offspring as indicated by the presence of the 213-bp mutation-specific PCR band amplified from genomic mouse DNA (Figure 4D).

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here establish a generally applicable procedure for the generation of subtle gene modifications in mouse ES cells. ssODN-mediated gene targeting in combination with a temporary knockdown of the MMR system allowed effective substitution of 4 nt, which makes it possible to substitute virtually any codon in any gene. We have successfully created a codon substitution (N750F) in the **Rb** gene of MMR-proficient ES cells and used these cells to generate mutant mice. The targeting procedure was cost-effective and rapid: already 2 weeks after ssODN transfection, a single modified cell could be identified in a pool of 5000 unmodified cells by a mutation-specific PCR. This example illustrates the potential power of ssODN-mediated gene targeting as an effective novel tool for mouse genetics.

We demonstrated that transient knockdown of MSH2 rendered cells permissive for ssODN-mediated nucleotide substitutions. Yet, not all substitutions were equally efficient: ssODNs 3N, 4n, 4M and 4N performed best with targeting frequencies comparable to those in **Msh2**<sup>–/–</sup> ES cells (Figure 1C). Interestingly, three of these ssODNs (3N, 4n and 4N) already showed a slightly higher targeting frequency in untreated wild-type cells than the other ssODNs [21], indicating that the mismatches that are created by these ssODNs are not very well recognized by the MMR machinery. On the other hand, ssODNs substituting 1 (1m), 2 (mxm and 2M), or 3 nt (3n and 3M) performed moderately in MSH2 knockdown cells and very poorly in untreated wild-type cells. This indicates that these mismatches are strongly recognized by the MMR machinery. We showed that nucleotide substitutions were mainly suppressed by MSH2/MSH6 complexes, since they were only efficient in **Msh2**<sup>–/–</sup> and **Msh6**<sup>–/–</sup> ES cells, but not in **Msh3**<sup>–/–</sup> ES cells (Figure 1C). Remarkably, targeting efficiencies in **Msh2**<sup>–/–</sup> cells were ~5-fold higher than in **Msh6**<sup>–/–</sup> cells, suggesting that nucleotide substitutions were also suppressed by MSH2/MSH3 complexes.

Single or 4 nt insertions were only marginally improved in MSH2 knockdown cells compared to untreated wild-type cells. High efficiencies in **Msh2**<sup>–/–</sup> and **Msh3**<sup>–/–</sup> ES cells indicate that nucleotide insertions are primarily suppressed by MSH2/MSH3 complexes [21]. Again, **Msh2**<sup>–/–</sup> cells showed ~2-fold higher efficiencies than **Msh3**<sup>–/–</sup> cells, suggesting a slight suppression of nucleotide insertions by MSH2/MSH6 complexes.
Transient down-regulation of MSH2 resulted in an increased mutation frequency (Table 1). Yet, we have good reasons to believe that this should not hamper general application of our targeting approach. First, simple ssODN-mediated gene modifications were still suppressed in pS-MSH2 transfected wild-type cells, indicating that many spontaneous mutations may be suppressed by residual MMR activity as well. Second, temporary knockdown of MSH2 only modestly affected the slippage frequency at the (CA)$_{15}$C reporter (Table 1) and the mutation frequency at the endogenous Hprt gene in both wild-type and Msh2$^{+/–}$ cells (Table 2). Only the slippage frequency at the (G)$_{10}$ reporter was strongly increased (although still 7-fold lower than in Msh2$^{-/-}$ cells). This seems in contrast to the strong suppression of a single nucleotide insertion by ssODN +1 (Figure 2) and may reflect a particularly high sensitivity of the (G)$_{10}$ reporter to MMR defects as has been observed in yeast [24,25]. Moreover, poly(G/C) repeats are less abundant than poly(A/T) tracts and seem to be excluded from the coding regions of various eukaryotic genomes [26,27]. Third, pS-MSH2-treated Rb$^{+/N750F}$ ES cells were used to generate chimeric mice that were able to transmit the modified allele through the mouse germ line, while our constitutively MSH2-deficient ES cell line did not contribute to the mouse germ line. Finally, inadvertent mutations that may have arisen during the brief period of MSH2 down-regulation will be crossed out in subsequent generations of mice. This backcrossing will avoid confounding effects of unlinked mutations on the phenotype of the ssODN-mediated modification.

We have demonstrated that MMR raises a strong barrier to successful ssODN-mediated gene targeting in ES cells. Similar observations have been made in E. coli, where loss of MMR improved ssODN-mediated gene targeting by Red-mediated recombination by ~100-fold [12]. However, constitutive MMR deficiency may lead to an unacceptably high level of inadvertent spontaneous mutations. The experiments presented here disclose a dual approach to circumvent this problem and yet achieve reasonable targeting frequencies: a temporary down-regulation of MMR activity and the use of ssODNs that escape detection by residual MMR. During the brief period of MMR disabling, residual mismatch recognition capacity still largely suppresses spontaneous mutagenesis caused by simple mismatches. However, complex mismatches are not recognized allowing effective 4 nt substitutions. We are now routinely using this procedure to introduce codon substitutions into the germ line of mice. We have targeted three different loci and found targeting efficiencies between 0.25 and 1.5 ssODN-modified cell per 10$^6$ cells, which is comparable to the Rb$^{+/N750F}$ mutant presented here. Targeting efficiencies appear to be locus dependent, although more experiments are needed to confirm this observation. As we have shown previously, generation of knockout mice can readily be achieved by 4 nt insertion ssODNs in Msh3$^{-/-}$ ES cells, which are germ line competent [21].

More insight into the mechanisms of ssODN-mediated gene targeting may extend its use to in vivo applications. Stable correction of gene mutations by chemically-modified ssODNs in muscle fibers [28] and retinas [14] of mice holds great promise for therapeutic applications. A major advantage of ssODN-mediated gene targeting is the opportunity of correcting gene mutations without integration of exogenous DNA, potentiating this technique for gene therapy strategies and treatment of human disease.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and transfection
We developed two selectable targeting reporter systems consisting of mutant neomycin genes (Figures 1A and 2A) [11]. A single copy of these mutant reporter genes was stably integrated into the Rosa26 locus of Msh2–/–, Msh2+/–, Msh3–/–, Msh6–/– and wild-type ES cell lines as described previously [11,20,23]. ES cells (E14) were originally derived from 129Ola and cultured in Glasgow minimal essential medium (GMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, sodium pyruvate, non-essential amino acids, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 1000 U per ml of leukemia inhibitory factor.

For transfection, ES cells were seeded onto gelatin-coated 6-well plates at a density of 7 x 10^5 per well in BRL-conditioned medium. The next day, cells were exposed to 3 µg of ssODN per well using 27 µl of TransFast™ transfection agent (Promega) as described before [11]. For transient down-regulation of MSH2, wild-type or Msh2+/– ES cells were first transfected with 3 µg of pS-MSH2 using 27 µl TransFast™ in 1.4 ml of serum-free medium. After 75 minutes of exposure to the transfection mixture, 4 ml of (serum-containing) BRL-conditioned medium was added. The cells were incubated overnight and then refed with BRL-conditioned medium containing 20 µg/ml of puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich). After 2 days, cells were washed with PBS, trypsinized and seeded at a density of 7 x 10^5 cells per well. The next day (day 1, Figure 3), cells were transfected with 3 µg of ssODN as described before [11]. The next day, cells were counted and reseeded in selective medium containing 750 µg/ml (Target 2) or 600 µg/ml (Target 1) of G418 (Invitrogen-GIBCO). After 10 days, G418-resistant colonies were counted.

For the RbN750F mutation, targeted ES cells were expanded and plated in pools of 5000 cells per well onto four 96-well feeder plates containing irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). In three subsequent screening rounds, cells were seeded onto 96-well MEF feeder plates in pools of 100, 10, and 1 cells per well, respectively. All ssODNs, deprotected and desalted, were obtained from Sigma-Genosys Ltd.

RNA interference
We used the pSUPER vector containing a puromycin resistance gene for expression of shRNAs in murine ES cells [22]. A 19 nt sequence corresponding to nucleotides 1231-1249 of the Msh2 gene (GenBank accession no. NM_008628), separated from the reverse complement of the same 19 nt sequence by a 9 nt non-complementary spacer (TTCAAGAGA), was inserted into the pSUPER vector (pS-MSH2). An empty vector with no gene-specific 19 nt sequence served as a non-silencing control (pS). Western blot analysis
Cells were lysed in a buffer containing 60 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% (w/v) SDS, 10% glycerol, 100 mM DTT, and bromophenolblue. Protein extracts from 2 x 10^5 ES cells were separated by 7.5% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against MSH2 (1:500) [29], MSH3 (kindly provided by G. Crouse; 1:500), and MSH6 (1:500) [23] were used as primary antibodies, and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (BioSource International) was used as a secondary antibody. Signals were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence.
Frameshift mutations at simple sequence repeats
We constructed two reporter cell lines consisting of a neo gene containing either a (G)$_{10}$ or a (CA)$_{15}$C repeat disrupting the open reading frame. The neo gene was derived from plasmid pMC1neo [30] in which the sequence between the first and the fifth codon was replaced by either a (G)$_{10}$ or a (CA)$_{15}$C repeat. The pMC1-(CA)$_{15}$C-neo gene was placed immediately downstream of the promoterless histidinol-resistance gene in a Rosa26 targeting vector [11]. The pMC1-(G)$_{10}$-neo gene was inserted into the Rb targeting vector 129Rb-his which is based on 129Rb-hyg [31]. These targeting vectors were subsequently introduced into Msh2--/, Msh2+/- and wild-type ES cell lines by electroporation [31] to insert single copies of the (CA)$_{15}$C and G$_{10}$ reporters into Rosa26 and Rb locus, respectively. Msh2--/, Msh2+/- and wild-type cell lines containing the selectable slippage reporters were transfected with 3 µg of pS or pS-MSH2 followed by selection with puromycin for 2 days. After 7 days of cell culture, 10$^5$ Msh2-- cells and 4 x 10$^6$ wild-type or Msh2+/- cells were plated onto two 100 mm dishes in selective medium containing 600 µg/ml G418. After 10 days, G418-resistant colonies were counted.

Spontaneous mutation frequency at Hprt locus
Msh2--/, Msh2+/- and wild-type ES cell lines were transfected with 3 µg of pS or pS-MSH2 followed by selection with puromycin for 2 days. After 7 days of cell culture, 4 x 10$^6$ cells were plated onto two or seven 150 mm dishes in selective medium containing 10 µg/ml 6-thioguanine (Sigma-Aldrich). After 10 days, resistant colonies were counted.

PCR-based detection of Rb$^{N750F}$ mutation
A 738-bp product was amplified by PCR using primers 1 and 2 (Figure 4A) in a reaction mixture containing genomic DNA isolated from 2.5 x 10$^4$ ES cells, 1.25 U Taq polymerase, 1xPCR buffer containing 1.6 mM MgCl$_2$, 12.5 pmol of each primer, and 0.2 mM dNTPs in a total volume of 25 µl. After an initial denaturation step of 94°C for 5 min, amplification was carried out for 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min 30 s, and a final elongation step of 72°C for 10 min. Similarly, a nested mutation-specific PCR was carried out with primers 3/4 or primers 5/6 (Figure 4B) using 1 µl of the first PCR and an annealing temperature of 58°C.

Sequence analysis of Rb mRNA
Total RNA was isolated from Rb$^{+/N750F}$ ES cells using RNA-Bee™ Total RNA Isolation Reagent (Campro Scientific). Rb cDNA was prepared by reverse transcription using a primer in exon 23 (primer 2). From this template, a 207-bp product spanning the ssODN-mediated modification was amplified by PCR using primers in exon 21 (primer 1) and exon 23 (primer 4). This PCR product was cloned into the pGEM$^{	ext{TM}}$-T Easy vector (Promega). Vector primers T7 and SP6 were used for sequencing.
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