In this chapter no referrals to literature are given, except in the paragraph ‘general discussion’. If studies are quoted without referral, we advice the reader to check the related chapter in this thesis.
Introduction

The Dutch Study Iraqi Asylum Seekers is an epidemiological study. Epidemiology is a branch of medical science that deals with the distribution of diseases in populations and with the distribution of factors that influence the occurrence of the disease. Epidemiological research attempts to determine who is prone to a particular disease, what exposure the affected individuals have in common and how much the risk is increased through exposure to a particular risk factor. By identifying personal characteristics and environmental exposures that increase the risk of disease, epidemiologists provide crucial input to risk assessments and contribute to the formulation of public health policies.

The father of epidemiological research is John Snow (1813-1858). This British doctor discovered through detailed and logical research that cholera was spread when people drank contaminated water (1849). His research results were eventually taken up by the government and led to the adaptation of the water supply systems, which decreased the spread of cholera significantly. Psychiatric epidemiology focuses on psychiatric problems and disorders and related risk factors. Rob Giel (1930-2009) has been called the father of Dutch psychiatric epidemiology. He was professor of psychiatry in Groningen from 1969 till 1995 and we were honoured by his presence at one of the first meetings on the present study.

This study emerged, like in Snow’s case, from a clinical observation: there seemed to be a relation between ‘source’ (i.e. a long asylum procedure) and disease (i.e. disorder). This observation led to the main research question: What is the impact of a long asylum-seeking procedure on mental and physical health, quality of life and disability of Iraqi asylum seekers in the Netherlands, in relation to pre- and post-migration risk factors?

The study estimates, in a cross-sectional design, the prevalence rates of mental health and health related dimensions (‘distribution of diseases’: descriptive epidemiology) and its relationships with a variety of risk factors (‘factors that influence the occurrence of disease’: analytic epidemiology). In order to estimate the relative impact of a long asylum procedure on health, the entire study group was pre-stratified, based on length of stay in the Netherlands. Group 1 arrived less than six months before the interview, and group 2 remained in the country for two years or more, without having a residence permit. The groups were compared on both risk factors and outcome measures and through several statistical procedures the relative contribution of each risk factor to the particular outcome measure was estimated.
In this final chapter the main findings and conclusions of the study are described, followed by some methodological considerations. After the general discussion, recommendations will be discussed, related to future research, the (public) health sector and the government, politicians and policy makers.

**Main findings**

*Psychiatric disorders and a long asylum procedure*

The results of the prevalence rates of psychiatric disorder showed that the Iraqi asylum seekers with a long asylum seeking procedure (group 2) had higher rates of psychiatric disorders compared to the asylum seekers that just arrived in the Netherlands (group 1). In group 2, 66.2% had ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’, versus 42.0% in group 1. Respondents in group 2 also had higher rates in anxiety disorders (30.5% versus 14.0%), depressive disorders (43.7% versus 25.2%), somatoform disorders (13.2% versus 4.9%) and alcohol dependency (6.6% versus 0%). The rates of post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) were high (36.7%) but did not differ significantly between the groups. The two groups differed on a variety of risk-factors and we analysed the relative contribution of these risk-factors. It appeared that a long asylum procedure (i.e. ‘membership of group 2’) was the most important risk-factor, after ‘female sex’. The risk to have ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’ in group 2 was more than twice as high (OR: 2.16) compared to group 1, independent from all the other included risk factors (e.g., adverse life events in Iraq).

In conclusion, asylum seekers who remain in the asylum procedure for more than two years have a significant higher risk for psychiatric disorders, compared to those who just arrive in the country. This risk is higher than the risk of adverse life events in the country of origin.

*Post migration living problems (PMLP) and health*

In order to study the post migration period in more details, a range of post migration living problems were assessed and evaluated in relationship with psychiatric disorders. Group 2 reported higher levels of PMLP, compared to group 1, e.g., worries about uncertainty about the future (86.8% versus 62.2%), missing the family (87.2% versus 71.3%) no permission to work (74.2% versus 31.5%). To reduce the number of variables, factor analysis was done on the 24 measured PMLP. Five clusters could be identified: 1) family related issues such as missing the family, worries about family in Iraq 2) discrimination, 3) issues directly related to the asylum procedure such as
fear of being sent home, 4) socio-economic living conditions, especially financial problems, housing problems and 5) socio-religious aspects such as lack of contact with people of the same religion. Some of the PMLP did not fit in any these clusters: language problems, no permission to work and work below level. All these clusters and items were significantly related to psychopathology, except ‘socio-religious aspects’. The relative importance of these risk factors were estimated in a regression model, which showed that ‘lack of work’ and the clusters ‘family related issues’ and ‘issues directly related to the asylum procedure’ were the most important risk factors for psychopathology. These (clusters of) PMLP were especially present in group 2 and most respondents had all of these problems at the same time, which did increase their risks (accumulation of risk factors).

In conclusion, from the wide range of post migration living problems, worries about lack of work, family related issues and issues directly related to the asylum procedure are the most important risk factors for psychiatric disorders.

**Functional disability, quality of life and physical health**

The study on other indicators of health showed that group 2 had higher levels of disabilities and physical complaints, and lower levels of quality of life compared to group 1. Multivariate regression showed that length of stay was the strongest predictor for a low overall quality of life. In addition, lower quality of life was predicted by psychopathology, higher age, adverse life events in the Netherlands and the PMLP-clusters: family issues, socio-economic living conditions and socio-religious aspects. Disability was predicted by psychopathology, higher age and the PMLP clusters: family issues and socio-religious aspects. Physical complaints were predicted by length of asylum procedure, psychopathology, female sex, adverse life events in Iraq and PMLP-family issues.

In conclusion, asylum seekers who remain in the asylum procedure for more than two years have a significantly higher risk for lower quality of life and poorer physical health compared to those who just arrive in the country. Functional disability, quality of life and physical health problems are predicted by various types of post migration stressors, independently from psychopathology.

**Use of health services**

The reported use of regular services (in the last 2 months) were: preventive healthcare (nurse-doctor in the asylum seeker centre), general practitioner, medical specialist
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The preventive healthcare was the most frequently used service (55.4%), followed by the general practitioner (29.3%). Group 1 visited the preventive healthcare services more often than group 2 (72% versus 39.7%) and group 2 visited the mental health services more often than group 1 (9.3% versus 1.4%). The use of general practitioner, social worker and medical specialist (non-psychiatrist) was not higher in group 2. Drug consumption (hypnotics, anxiolytics and analgetics) was higher in group 2. Use of alternative services and treatments was very low in both groups. Respondents with psychopathology used significantly more services, but only 8.8% of them visited a mental health service. Further analyses showed that ‘group 2 membership’ and low perceived quality of general health predicted service use of a mental health worker. Psychopathology did not predict a visit to the mental health worker, but it did predict a visit to the medical specialist (non-psychiatrist) (OR: 1.34).

In conclusion, asylum seekers who remain in the asylum procedure for more than two years have a higher use of mental health services, but compared to the high prevalence of psychiatric disorder (66.2%), the use of this service is low (9.3%). Moreover, there is a mismatch between the type of health problem and the type of health service use.

Methodological considerations

In the interpretation of our study findings several strengths and weaknesses need to be acknowledged: these are related to design, sampling, response and representativity, translation and cultural validation of instruments. Each of these issues is discussed hereafter.

Design

The design of the study is observational in nature. Considering the main research question, a (prospective) longitudinal design with a cohort of asylum seekers would have been more appropriate. However this type of study was not feasible. The lives of asylum seekers are full of uncertainties: they frequently have to move to other centres, they are forced to leave the centres, they choose to leave to hide in illegality, they choose to go to another country etc. We would have been faced with a considerable bias resulting from a loss of baseline subjects at follow-up (drop-out). Therefore we designed a cross-sectional study. Initially we thought to compare refugees who received a resident permit immediately after entering the country (so-called ‘invited refugees’) with refugees who were living in the country for a long time (> two years)
who still did not get a resident permit (asylum seekers). However, when we tried to contact the refugees with a resident permit, we failed to contact over 80% of the potential respondents, because the personal data (e.g., addresses) had been changed. Subsequently we designed a cross-sectional study in which we created a control group by pre-stratification of the entire study group of Iraqi asylum seekers, based on length of stay. We tried to reduce the differences between the 2 groups by studying only participants from the same country of origin and, in order to prevent missing important potential confounding risk factors, we gathered a wide range of potential risk factors for mental health problems. We used relevant literature and our own experience to choose which risk factors should be included and estimated the relative risk for each risk factor through statistical analysis. In our opinion the choice of a cross-sectional study of this type is the best possible design within the given circumstances.

Sampling, response and representativity

A strength of the study is the random sampling method. Everybody in the pre-selected groups had an equal chance to be included in the study. We were able to use this method because registration data were made available by the Central Agency for the Reception of Asylum Seekers (COA). By using random sampling we reduced selection bias. Moreover, to prevent another potential source of selection bias, we included registration data from all over the Netherlands.

A weakness of the study is the high number of non-contacts in both groups (group 1: 148 out of 582; group 2: 266 out of 474). In chapter 2 we described possible reasons. Fortunately we were able to differentiate the non-contacts in group 2: the percentage of non-contacts was especially high among asylum seekers that were registered with the COA, but were not actually living in a COA facility (centre). Consequently, the interviewed cases of group 2 mainly represent the population that was living in a regular centre (AZC). In order to calculate the response rate in our study, we defined the response rate as the proportion of completed interviews in the total number of eligible respondents as it is practiced in survey research. In this method non-contacts are considered as not-eligible, because it is not known if they are eligible. Following this method, we calculated the response rate in group 1 as 82% and in group 2 as 79%. Following another method in which the number of eligible respondents among the non-contacts is calculated with the help of the known eligibility among the contacted respondents and the inclusion of the partial interviews as respondents, we calculated the response rates in group 1 as 63% and in group 2 as 42%.
The high number of non-contacts is affecting the representativity of the final samples. It is important, though, to mention that representativity does not depend solely on the response rate. The sex ratio and mean age of respondents that participated in the study (i.e. interviewed respondents) could be calculated and did not differ from the original samples (all between sample comparisons p<0.05).

**Instruments**

A strength of the study is the use of well-studied and widely used instruments. The WHO-CIDI, version 2.1 was translated and tested in 58 languages, the WHOQoL-Bref in 23 and the reliability and validity of the BDQ has been evaluated in a 15-center, cross-national, multilingual study. Many studies among refugees use short instruments, that are particularly developed to detect the subjective psychological complaints among this group (HSCL-25 and HTQ). These instruments are dimensional and provide a score that does not result in a diagnosis according to one of the main classification systems (DSM, ICD). Moreover they only cover a limited range of symptoms (depressive, anxiety and PTSD symptoms). By using the WHO-CIDI, a categorical instrument, we were able to detect DSM-IV disorders and include a wide range of symptoms/disorders. The use of this instrument also creates the opportunity to compare the results with studies among general populations e.g., to compare with the results of the largest epidemiological study among the Dutch population. Finally, the WHO-CIDI and all the other used instruments are fully structured questionnaires which can be used by trained lay interviewers in face-to-face interviews.

**Translation, cultural validation**

We were fortunate that the majority of the included instruments were already culturally validated and translated in the Arabic language in the TPO-programme in Gaza. In this programme a translation process was used in which content, criterion, technical, conceptual and semantic (linguistic) equivalence were taken into consideration. However the Arabic language differs among populations in the Arabic world. We therefore worked with a focus group to adapt the list to the Iraqi study population. In this group each question was studied on comprehensibility, completeness, relevance and acceptability. Adaptations were made on the basis of consensus. The internal structure of instruments was left intact. These thorough procedures are a strength of the study because they improved the cultural reliability and validity of the original instruments. However, we can not exclude bias completely. E.g., the interpretation of the questions might have been different among the various ethnic groups (Kurdish, Arabic, Turkmenian, Armenian, Yezidi etc). Also some proposals of the focus
group had to be rejected because we could not allow additional questions in the instruments because of technical reasons. Consequently some concepts can be less valid than might have been possible. Finally, we could not avoid that some questions are phrased as statements, on which respondents were asked to respond if they agreed or not. Some studies have shown that these kind of questions have a lower degree of reliability.

**General discussion**

*A long asylum procedure and mental health*

To our knowledge the Dutch Iraqi Asylum Seekers Study is the first national community-based study among asylum seekers in a Western country. It is the first study that tries to assess the impact of a long asylum seekers procedure on mental health. However earlier studies did look at the effect of duration of stay in a host country on the level of psychological and psychiatric problems among refugees. As discussed in chapter 4, these studies show either a decrease or a stabilisation of such health problems over time. This contradicts the results of our study among asylum seekers, which suggest an increase of health problems over time. In a longitudinal study, Ryan et al. (2008) examined levels and predictors of distress among a community sample of persons who have sought asylum in Ireland. In this study they found that only those who attained a positive legal status outcome between T1 and T2 showed a decline in distress level. Hallas et al. (2007) studied a large, multiethnic group of asylum seekers (n=4516) in Denmark and found that referrals for psychiatric diagnosis as well as for somatic diagnosis increased significantly with length of stay in the asylum centre. These studies underline the stressfulness of being in an asylum procedure and/or living with the continuous threat of involuntary repatriation and confirm the findings of our study: a long asylum procedure is an important risk factor for mental health problems and other health related dimensions.

However, in a cross-sectional design, one can not automatically interpret causal relationships between a risk factor (i.e. a long asylum procedure) and the health outcome measures. Other explanations have to be considered and discussed. An alternative explanation is that the higher levels of psychopathology in group 2 compared to group 1 are caused by the selective attrition of Iraqi asylum seekers. The comparatively healthy individuals could have left the procedure, either due to a refusal of a residence permit or because they were fed up with their situation and applied for asylum in another country or went back to Iraq. In chapter 4, we argued
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that this explanation is unlikely for the following reasons: 1) leaving the centre and the procedure before the final result of the procedure more likely depended on social networks, financial means and the asylum procedure in other countries, than on mental well-being; 2) in the years preceding the study, poor mental health per se seldom was a reason for the authorities to prolong the procedure, so the chances of being refused were likely to be equal between the mentally healthy and the unhealthy; and 3) the prevalence rates of PTSD in group 1 and 2 did not differ significantly.

Another explanation of the results of our study could be that the asylum seekers might have had the idea that they would have more chances for a resident permit if they presented a lot of mental complaints. Although this explanation can not be completely rejected, we do not think this has influenced our results considerably, because 1) the impact, if it exists, would have an effect on both groups, so for the comparison it would have little consequence; 2) in all written and oral information for the participants the non-existing relationship between the study and any legal procedure was strongly stated and the medical staff was used as a channel to contact the participants; 3) anonymity was guaranteed.

The high levels of health problems

The prevalence rates of mental health problems were strikingly high. A comparison of prevalence rates for psychiatric disorders between Iraqi asylum seekers (our study) and the general Dutch population (NEMESIS) shows that group 2 has much higher life-time prevalence rates than the Dutch population: 43.7% versus 19.0% for depressive disorders: and 30.5% versus 19.3% for anxiety disorders. Equally the 12-months prevalence rates differ significantly: 39.1% versus 7.6% for depressive disorders, 20.5% versus 12.4% for anxiety disorders and 23.5% versus 61.6% for ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’. PTSD and somatoform disorders were not included in the NEMESIS. The National Comorbidity Survey as carried out in the USA, reported a life-time prevalence of the general population for PTSD of 7.8% (also measured with the CIDI). In a recent study De Vries and Olff (2009) found a similar prevalence rate (7.4%) among the Dutch population. In a study among USA veterans (Seal et al.,2009) from Afghanistan and Irak 12.8% were diagnosed with PTSD. Stellman et al. (2008) studied a large cohort of WTC rescue workers and found that 11.1% met probable PTSD criteria, 10 to 60 months after the WTC attack. In both groups of Iraqi asylum seekers the percentages are much higher (PTSD: gr 1: 31.5%; gr 2: 41.7%). This seems to be self-evident because a much higher percentage of asylum seekers is traumatised than the general population, but it is important to emphasise these findings because
there is a persistent belief nowadays that almost all asylum seekers are coming to the West for economic reasons. The prevalence rate of somatoform disorders and the levels of physical health complaints were especially high in group 2. The percentage of participants with a somatoform disorder was 4.9% in group 1 and 13.2% in group 2, while the prevalence rate in the general population was estimated at 1.0%. Within the cluster of somatoform disorders, group 2 had higher prevalence rates of conversion disorder (gr 1: 2.8%; gr 2: 9.3%) and pain disorder (gr 1: 1.4%, gr 2: 11.3%). Moreover, group 2 reported a higher percentage of one or more physical complaint than group 1 (gr 1: 38.5%, gr 2: 66.2%). About 30% of group 2 had complaints of dizziness with falling, headache or back problems for over three months (gr 1: about 15%) Studies (e.g., McFarlane et al., 1994; Gureje et al., 2008), have shown that patients with a psychiatric disorder (especially depression and PTSD) have higher levels of physical complaints. Our study confirms these findings but also shows that other risk factors (e.g., a long asylum procedure and family related PMLP) were important as well. The results emphasize the entanglement of psychopathology and physical health problems and have, subsequently, important implications for health workers (see later).

Functional disability and quality of life
The Dutch Study Iraqi Asylum Seekers is the first study in which additional health outcome measures are assessed among asylum seekers. The WHO recommends to include disability and quality of life instruments in all epidemiological research because knowledge of the prevalence rates of psychiatric disorders alone do not give the full picture of the ‘disease burden’. The results in this study confirm this statement and show that the suffering associated with a psychiatric disorder extends beyond the signs and symptoms of the disorder to broader areas of health (related) problems and impaired well-being.

As described above, quality of life and disability are both associated with psychopathology in our study. This is also found in other studies (e.g., Armenian et al., 1998). More important is the finding that other factors like length of the asylum procedure, various PMLP, and adverse life events in the Netherlands have a significant impact on these dimensions of health as well. E.g., the length of the asylum procedure (member Group 2) was the strongest predictor for ‘overall quality of life’ and the PMLP cluster ‘socio-economic living conditions’ (lack of privacy, housing problems, lack of safe environment for the children, financial problems) was a significant predictor of three (of the four) domains of quality of life. The finding that
psychopathology was not related to ‘overall quality of life’ shows that also asylum seekers without a psychiatric disorder experience a low quality of life.

Length of asylum procedure did not contribute significantly to functional disability. However, there was a strong relationship with the PMLP ‘family related issues’ and ‘socio-religious aspects’. PMLP ‘family related issues’ were significantly more present in group 2, indicating that the length of asylum procedure (indirectly) does play an important role in relation to disability.

**Health service use**

The study results indicate a high unmet need for mental health care. Thirty per cent of the asylum seekers with a psychiatric disorder did not visit any service, and more than 90% did not visit a mental health service. However 60.6% visited a nurse/doctor in the centre and 33.8% the general practitioner. At the time of the study, both health services had the authority to refer a patient to the mental health service.

In exploring the mechanisms of the referral process an important finding was that the use of a general practitioner in group 2 (25.8%) was not higher compared to group 1 (32.9%), despite the higher levels of health problems in this group. The use of a general practitioner in group 2 is even lower compared to the general Dutch population, which is 42%, and even more so compared to immigrants: 51% (Westert et al., 2005). Van Oort et al. (2003) also found that asylum seekers visit the general practitioner less often than the general Dutch population: number of contacts per year 3.5 versus 4.5. The conclusion is that despite the higher prevalence of health problems, asylum seekers make less use of the general practitioner which is decreasing the chance of being referred to e.g., a mental health service. Moreover psychopathology appeared to be a predictor for higher use of a medical specialist (non-psychiatrist), but not for higher use of a mental health service.

Our findings lead to the following hypothesis 1) asylum seekers present themselves with physical rather than with mental problems (our study showed high levels of physical complaints), 2) the staff in the centre and the general practitioner do not recognize the mental health problems, and if they do 3) only a small percentage of patients is referred for adequate mental health care. In addition to this it is also possible that the mental health services were not (or were thought not to be) accessible for this group of patients, and that the staff in the centre and the general practitioner did not refer in anticipation of this fact/assumption.
In between the period of the data collection and 1-1-2009, special projects and programs were developed to improve both the services in the centre as well as the mental health services for asylum seekers. As far as we know the results of these projects and programs have not been evaluated. At 1-1-2009 COA contracted another insurance company and this company has changed the health services in the centres drastically. With the exception of the doctors for patients below 18 years, all doctors have been discharged and the nursing staff has been diminished. Only the general practitioner has been authorized to refer patients. These developments have not yet been finalized and their impact is unknown at this moment.

**Recommendations**

**Recommendations for future research**

*Multi-national epidemiological research.*

The study shows that Iraqi asylum seekers have high levels of mental and physical health problems. Risk factor analyses have shown the importance of stressors during the post-migration period. These post migration stressor differ between receiving countries (within the European Union and elsewhere), because there are substantial differences in reception, living conditions and rights of asylum seekers between these countries. Multi-national epidemiological research, with the use of the same instruments, will help to find differences in prevalence rates and risk factors in different countries. The results can contribute in formulating an asylum seekers policy which prevent harm to the (mental) health of asylum seekers as much as possible. Moreover, the study shows that, in epidemiological research among asylum seekers, it is very important not to focus solely on PTSD, but to include a wide range of psychiatric disorders. As epidemiological research is especially focused on finding high risk groups, comparison of the results with the general population should be possible.

We recommend a epidemiological multi-national study among asylum seekers, with the inclusion of a wide range of potential risk factors and health outcome measures. The study should be done with recognized, frequently used instruments in order to be able to compare the results with the general, native, population.

**Context-related trauma research**

The participants in our study reported high levels of experienced adverse life events in the country of origin and we found high prevalence rates of psychiatric disorders. Risk factor analyses showed a significant relationship between these adverse life events
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and psychiatric disorder. These relationship has often been found in other studies. Our study has shown, however, that the period between the adverse life events in the past and the mental health in the present (i.e. the time of the interview) is of great importance when we study the risk factors for mental health. E.g., we assessed the experience of torture and found that 24.5% in group 1 and 36.4% in group 2 were tortured. Nevertheless in the multivariate analyses, including adverse life events in the Netherlands and length of stay in the asylum procedure, torture was not a significant risk factor for ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’. Our findings indicate that a research program among asylum seekers and refugees that focuses solely on the impact of trauma on mental health will never result in an appropriate picture of associated risk factors of mental health problems and the results of this type of research might even lead to misleading recommendations (e.g., that, in order to treat the patient, it is necessary in all cases to focus on the trauma’s).

We recommend that post migration stressors should always be included as determinants in trauma research among asylum seekers and refugees. More quantitative as well as qualitative research should be done on context-related risk factors and their relationship with (mental) health.

Recommendations for the (public) health sector

Application of integrated health policy

One of the responsibilities of the public health sector is to make authorities aware of the existence of a high-risk group. This study shows that asylum seekers are a particular group at risk for (mental) health problems and that the risks are not mainly related to experiences in the past but in the present. The consequence of this is, fortunately, that a lot can be done to lower these risks.

In the Netherlands the government promotes an ‘Integrated approach in health policies’. This involves the recognition of the importance of other sectors in relation to health, e.g., housing, education and social affairs (so-called facet policy). To support local governments in these tasks, a national institute (TNO) has developed tools to do a screening of relevant determinants and to investigate possible relevant interventions.

We recommend that the ideas of an integrated approach in health policies (facet policy) will be applied in the case of asylum seekers. Such an approach will put emphasize on improvements of living conditions, employment facilities, education, etc. which are very essential in relation to health. Local governments
and local public health services (GGD-en) should take the responsibility to develop such a policy.

**Capacity building**
Our study shows a high unmet need for healthcare among Iraqi asylum seekers. More than 90% of the persons with a psychiatric disorder did not visit a mental health worker. Moreover having a psychiatric disorder was a predictor for a referral to a medical specialist (non-psychiatrist) but not to a mental health worker (a mismatch between type of health problem and type of service). In the discussion of these findings the organization and the quality of the referral system has been questioned (see above). One of the characteristics of the complexity of the health problems of asylum seekers is the combination of mental and physical health problems.

We recommend that the relevant authorities (e.g., COA, insurance company) recognize the complexity of health problems of asylum seekers and the need for adequate mental health treatment. Based on this recognition a policy can be developed including e.g., an intensive training programme of medical staff (including general practitioners), personnel management which allows spending enough time to investigate the health problems, health care need and adequate preparation of referrals.

**Resilience-oriented treatment**
The complexity of the problems of asylum seekers that is described in the study reduces the applicability of routine treatment protocols and practices substantially. The use of these protocols requires a safe and stable environment, and besides, protocols usual deal with only one psychiatric diagnostic category or with one disorder, while comorbidity is high in this target group. Moreover, the experience is that most asylum seekers are not ready and/or able to go through these procedures and that results are modest at best. In order to meet the specific needs of our target group, new strategies are necessary.

In a separate chapter (chapter 8) we described a resilience-oriented diagnostic and treatment model in which the concepts of stress, vulnerability and resilience (distinguished in personal strength and social support) are incorporated. Using this model the health worker and patient search for resources of resilience and work together to strengthen them. These resources can be classified according to the bio-psycho-social model: biological (e.g. physical exercise, understanding the body,
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We recommend the use of resilience-orientated therapies and strategies (ROTS) in the treatment of mental health problems among asylum seekers. The therapies and strategies do not primarily focus on adverse and/or traumatic experiences prior to arrival but pay serious attention to daily living problems and the enduring stress generated by the asylum procedure. The described ROTS model (with the concepts of stress, vulnerability and personal strength and social support) offers a theoretical and practical framework for both patients and health workers.

**Recommendations for government, politicians and policy makers**

*Evaluation of policy on Iraqi asylum seekers*

The data collection of our study was done at the time the government of Saddam Hussein was still in power. The respondents reported high levels of human right violations. The occurrence of many of these violations have been extensively reported by human right organizations e.g Amnesty International. In 2003 Saddam Hussein’s government was overthrown by western allies, because of its supposed potential danger as a nuclear power. Later human rights issues were more prominently used to defend the military actions. After an intensive political debate, the political involvement of the Dutch government in overthrowing the Hussein government is presently under study. The policy on the handling of the applications of Iraqi asylum seekers in the years before the intervention was never evaluated, despite the fact that human rights breaches can be a reason to give refugees a resident permit according to international law.

We recommend that the policy of the Dutch government on Iraqi asylum seekers that fled their country during the Saddam Hussein regime is as seriously evaluated as the Dutch policy on the political support of the military intervention.

*Shortening of the asylum procedure*

The main conclusion of the Dutch Study Iraqi Asylum seekers is that a long asylum procedure (> two years) is detrimental for the health situation of asylum seekers. It is paradoxical that such harm exists alongside the commitment of the Netherlands as...
a signatory to the Refugee Convention of Geneva, to recognize the rights of people seeking protection. A policy that implements both the form and the spirit of the Refugee Convention should not result in harm to people who have sought protection.

The data for the Dutch Study Iraqi Asylum Seekers were collected in 2000/2001. In 2000, 34.0% of all registered asylum seekers stayed for over two years in a COA facility and in 2001, 47.8%.

According to the Dutch Alien Law 2000 (Article 42 and 43), the official period in which the decision should be given is six months after the initial application for asylum. In individual cases this time limit can be extended by another six months, when advice from or research by a third party is necessary according to the Minister of Justice. However the percentage of persons that stayed for over two years in a procedure increased over the years with the highest percentage in 2004: 78.9% of the asylum seekers in a COA facility. In 2007 the percentage was still 57.5%. The conclusion is that the problem of long asylum procedures has not vanished under the Dutch Alien Law 2000. The present government (2009) is working on a new asylum procedure. The aim is to shorten the procedures. One of the tools to reach this goal is to recognize medical problems at an earlier moment in the procedure. We support this new policy. In the old regulations, only after rejection of the regular asylum application medical aspects could be brought forward in another procedure. Unfortunately, however, earlier efforts to shorten the asylum procedure failed and that too should be acknowledged. The overall poor health situation due to a long asylum procedure is harming the affected and their families and it is a threat to the (re)integration process, in the host country or elsewhere. Therefore and also from a human right perspective there should be a time limit to the asylum procedure.

We recommend to put in all efforts to shorten the asylum procedure. Moreover we propose that the right to reside should be granted automatically when the procedure lasts longer than two years.

We also recommend a thorough inventory by an experienced specialist of physical as well as mental complaints/disorders, in the beginning of the asylum procedure.

*Improvement of living conditions*

The respondents of the study (especially group 2) reported high levels of worries about their socio-economic living conditions. The quality of life domain ‘environmental
aspects’ scored very low in group 2. Also in daily psychiatric practice patients report a lot of stress by poor housing conditions, lack of privacy, unsafe living environment, living together with all kinds of persons from different cultural background, poor transport facilities. In several qualitative studies these aspects are often mentioned as a source of complaints. Our study has shown that these stressors are significant risk factors for psychopathology and for low levels of quality of life.

We recommend a reconsideration of the housing policy of asylum seekers with more attention to matters of privacy, possibilities for a normal family life and safety.

**Work**
A high percentage of asylum seekers reported worries about lack of work (group 2: 74%). Further analyses showed that ‘worries about lack of work’ was one of the most important risk factors for ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’. Many asylum seekers come from countries where one has to work for a living and where social benefits are not known.

Other studies has shown that a sense of meaningfulness strongly contributes to a higher quality of life. Lack of work and/or other meaningful daily activities contribute to feelings of an ‘empty existence’ and are detrimental for the capacity of resilience.

Presently (September 2009) asylum seekers in the Netherlands are allowed to work for 24 weeks a year. However, due to the strict rules, only very few are able to find a job.

We recommend an extension of the period in which asylum seekers are allowed to work. The rules on practical implementation of work should be simplified and an active approach is needed e.g., by COA workers in cooperation with local employers.

**Recognition of the importance of family**
Most Iraqi asylum seekers had strong and extended family ties before their flight. In our study, the cluster of family related issues consisted of the items: missing the family, worries about family in Iraq, inability to go home in case of emergency (e.g., sickness relatives in Iraq) and loneliness of the asylum seeker him/herself. Group 2 reported high levels of worries about these items. Further analyses showed that the cluster was related to higher levels of psychopathology, lower quality of life, more disability and
even higher levels of physical complaints. The items are not exclusively characteristic for asylum seekers. Refugees and migrants also have these problems. The reason it affects the asylum seekers so badly might be related to the uncertainty about the future, the fact that they can not easily build a new social network to compensate the lack of family relations due to frequents moves, the constraints in housing and financial facilities, the impaired Dutch language abilities by lack of schooling opportunities etc. Social support is known as a protective factor for health problems and an important source of resilience. When this support is lacking for a long period it affects health and functioning in a negative way.

We recommend recognition by the government of the importance of family. Family reunification within the country (the Netherlands) should be based on a broad definition of ‘family’ (based on the extended family structure). Social support from other sources should be facilitated and encouraged (e.g., less moves, better access to social activities, more natural living environment, Dutch language lessons, more support by voluntary agencies).

**Finally**

As described in the introduction, John Snow, the father of epidemiological research, discovered a relationship between contaminated water and a deadly disease in 1849. The cholera vibrio, that turned out to be the direct cause of this disease, was first discovered by Koch in 1883. Like in Snows’ study, also in many modern epidemiological studies, the direct cause of a disease or disorder is not known. However such research highlights the importance of certain particular risk factors. Our study has shown that a long asylum seeking procedure and post migration living problems are important risk factors for mental health problems and other health related dimensions. Shortening of the asylum procedure and alleviation of the living problems that asylum seekers are faced with is therefore urgently needed.

As in the time of Snow, there will be arguments about these findings, as there will be discussion about the recommendations.

Snow took his research to the town officials of Soho and convinced them to take the handle off the pump, making it impossible to draw water and get contaminated. The officials were reluctant to believe him, but took the handle off as a trial, only to find out that the outbreak of cholera almost immediately trickled to a stop.
The recommendations in relation to our study, may not be as easy to implement as taking the handle off a water pump. However, we do hope that anyone who takes health seriously will try to implement the recommendations as best as possible, be it as researcher, health worker, politician or government official. The debate surrounding immigration is very complex and has many factors of influence. This study and its recommendations have tried to give this debate a new impulse from the perspective of (mental) health.
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Summary
Dutch Study Iraqi Asylum Seekers

Impact of a long asylum seeking procedure on mental health and other indicators of health among Iraqi asylum seekers in the Netherlands: an epidemiological study.

This dissertation systematically assessed the prevalence of psychiatric disorders, quality of life, disability and physical health among one of the biggest group of asylum seekers in the Netherlands. These outcome measures were evaluated in relation with socio-demographics and pre- and post-migration adverse life events, with a special focus on the length of the asylum procedure. Post migration living problems were assessed and also related to the health outcome measures. Health service use was measured and evaluated in relation with potential predictors. The dissertation finishes with the clinical implications of the study and an elaboration of a resilience-oriented diagnostic and treatment model for asylum seekers.

The data collection, from at random selected respondents living in all provinces of the country, took place in the years 2000 and 2001.

Part I: Introduction, methodology and descriptive results

In the decade preceding this study over 3 million persons applied for asylum in Europe. In the same period over 300,000 applications for asylum were submitted in the Netherlands, putting an enormous pressure on the immigration system. About one fifth of all applications came from Iraqi refugees. Due to the higher influx of asylum seekers and to the restrictive immigration policies, the number of asylum seekers living in special housing facilities, awaiting the decision of their application increased from 30,000 to over 80,000 in 2001. Many of them had to wait very long for this decision, e.g., in 2000: 34.0% of the 78,200 waited more than two years.

Many asylum seekers experienced adverse life events and were in need of (mental) health care. The healthcare institutions (e.g. GGZDrenthe) were challenged to deliver care to a group they were not used to deal with. Besides the cultural and language aspects that complicated the treatment, the clinical observation was that the asylum procedure had a serious negative effect on the treatment results and that the health problems increased as the length of the asylum procedure became longer. The need arose to do adequate research on the mental health problems of asylum seekers and the idea of the Dutch Study Iraqi Asylum Seekers was born.
In chapter 1, the Dutch and international literature on mental health problems among asylum seekers and refugees is reviewed till the year of the study (2000). The literature showed extensive health problems among asylum seekers and refugees. Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) appeared to be common but also other anxiety disorders, depressive disorders and dissociative disorders were frequently found. Often more than one disorder in the same person occurred. However, only a few studies dealt specifically with asylum seekers and there were several scientific limitations. Some of these limitations were: use of convenient and not at random samples, small size of the samples, focus on only one or two disorders, use of instruments only used in refugee population which limits the comparison with other groups, no attention for disability and quality of life, and many ethnic groups in one study.

Subsequently, the literature was searched for risk factors in relation with mental health problems. In describing these factors, we divided the risk factors in pre- and post-migration risk factors. Although the search gave information on a variety of risk factors, the importance of traumatic life events in relationship with other risk factors (e.g., a long asylum procedure, post migration living problems) in the pathway to psychopathology remained unclear.

Therefore we designed a study among asylum seekers with the main research question: *What is the impact of a long asylum procedure on mental and physical health, quality of life and disability of Iraqi asylum seekers in the Netherlands, in relation to pre- and post-migration risk factors?*

Chapter 2 describes the methodology of the study. In relation to the main research question a longitudinal study would have been preferable but appeared to be impossible, due to many practical problems (such as frequent moves, hiding or expulsion after denial of residence permit, leaving the centres without further notice). Therefore we did a cross-sectional, community based study and prestratified the population in two groups, based on length of stay in the Netherlands (= being in an asylum procedure): respectively less than six months (group 1) and more than two years (group 2). The sampling was at random. Data could be analyzed from 294 respondents (group 1: 143, group 2: 151). Fully structured, culturally validated, Arabic questionnaires were used. The interviews were done by specially trained Iraqi volunteers. Psychiatric disorders were measured with the WHO Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI, 2.1), quality of life with the WHOQOL-Bref, disability with the Brief Disability Questionnaire and physical health with a newly developed list, containing questions.
The adverse life-events were gathered from four life periods: (1) 0-12 years, (2) 13 years – departure from Iraq, (3) departure from Iraq – arrival in the Netherlands and (4) after arrival in the Netherlands. They were measured with the Harvard Trauma Questionnaire. Experience of psychological and physical torture was asked for in detail with the Exposure to Torture Scale. In addition data about youth domestic events, death and separation in the family, and loss of material goods were gathered. The list of youth domestic events encompasses being raised by both biological parents, and 14 items related to an unsafe and abusing environment. Post Migration Living Problems (PMLP) where measured with an adapted list from Silove et al. (1997). The Iraqi-Arabic questionnaire was based on the Palestinian-Arabic version which had been translated from English and culturally validated and translated in a 7-step procedure. For use in the Iraqi population a focus group of 8 men and women from different ethnic and professional backgrounds modified the instruments. Frequencies and mean scores of outcome and risk factors were calculated and differences between the 2 groups were estimated with the help of chi-square and student t-tests. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were used to study the predictive values of the pre-and post migration risk factors, with special focus on the impact of length of stay in the Netherlands.

The descriptive part of the results (chapter 3) showed significantly higher levels of psychiatric disorders in Iraqi asylum seekers staying in the Netherlands for more than two years (group 2) compared to those who just arrived (group 1). The prevalence rate of ‘one or more psychiatric disorders’ was 42% in group 1, versus 66.2% in group 2. Also the prevalence rates of anxiety, depressive and somatoform disorders were significantly higher in group 2. Posttraumatic stress disorder was high in both groups but did not differ (p>0.05). Alcohol dependency only occurred in group 2. Furthermore group 2 showed lower quality of life, higher disability and more physical health problems. Almost all (5 out of 6) chronic physical complaints were more prevalent in group 2. The frequencies of reported adverse life events were substantial, e.g., combat situations (41.8%), witness death family/friends (45.0%), imprisonment (32.3%), torture (29.3%). The most frequently mentioned post migration living problems (PMLP) were asylum procedure-related items and family-related items. Group 2 reported a high score (74.2%) on worries about ‘no permission to work’. Overall both adverse life events and PMLP were more prevalent in group 2. The descriptive results of health service use showed high overall service use: 71.4% made use of a service in the last two months. Although group 2 had much higher levels of health problems, there was no significant difference between the two groups in the
use of the general practitioner and the medical specialist. Group 2 visited a mental health practitioner more frequently, but the percentage (9.3%) was low compared to the (earlier mentioned) prevalence rates of psychopathology. Drug consumption was significantly higher in group 2. Finally, the opinion of the respondents about the interview is described. More than half of the participants reported that the interview was a positive experience.

**Part II: Analyses of determinants and outcome measures.**

In chapter 4 the prevalence rates of psychopathology are described and the results of the logistic regression analyses are shown and discussed. Through this type of analyses we estimated the relative contribution of socio-demographics and the pre- and post migration risk factors to psychopathology. In order to study the impact of a long asylum procedure we included ‘group 2 membership’ in the analyses. Because the two groups might differ on relevant risk factors we entered a detailed list of socio-demographic characteristics in the analyses, i.e.: age, sex, marital status, children, ethnicity, religion, literacy, geographic background, education and occupation in Iraq, language skills and psychiatric problems in the past. Mean scores were calculated from the list of youth domestic stress and the 4 lists of adverse life events. Because torture is a well known risk factor for a psychiatric disorder (especially PTSD) this item was entered as a separate item. The results from the analyses show that ‘group 2 membership’ was the most important risk factor (OR: 2.16) - , after ‘female sex’ (OR: 2.58). In other words, a long asylum procedure doubles the risk of getting a psychiatric disorder, no matter the experiences in the country of origin. Other independent risk factors for ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’ were: adverse life events in the youth (till 13th year) (OR: 1.28), between 13th year and departure Iraq (OR: 1.35), and after arrival (OR: 1.66). Adverse life events during the period between departure Iraq and arrival the Netherlands did not reveal significant risk. Torture did not show a significant relationship with ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’. Similarly, in the analyses with depressive disorders, anxiety disorders and somatoform disorders, a long asylum procedure and adverse life events after arrival in the Netherlands produced had higher risks (higher OR’s) than earlier experienced adverse life events.

In chapter 5, worries about post migration living problems (PMLP) and their relationships with psychopathology are reported and discussed. The aim of these analyses was to study the mechanisms of the impact of a long asylum procedure on psychopathology in more detail. Factor analyses showed that five clusters of PMLP
could be identified: family issues, discrimination, asylum procedure issues, socio-economic living conditions, and socio-religious aspects. Language problems and work-related items did not fit in one of the clusters and were analysed as separate items. We compared the scores of PMLP of participants with and without (different types of) psychopathology and found that almost all differences were significant. In the multivariate logistic regression analyses, worries related to the asylum procedure (i.e. uncertainty about residence permit, fear to be sent away, uncertainty about the future), worries about family related issues (i.e. missing the family, worries about family in Iraq, unable to go home in case of emergencies, loneliness) and worries about the absence of work appeared to have the strongest relationship with psychopathology. A higher score on ‘no permission to work’ increased the risk of ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’ with 44%. As mentioned earlier, this type of PLMP was especially reported in group 2.

Chapter 6 explores the outcome measures quality of life (Qol), functional disability and physical health and their relationships with psychopathology, and pre- and post migration variables. Group 2 had lower mean scores on the two overall measures of Qol and on three of the four domains of Qol (i.e. physical health, psychological health, and environment). Only the domain social relationships did not show a significant difference between the groups. Functional disability was worse in group 2 since there were higher mean scores on both ‘physical and social role impairments’ and on ‘total number of days with serious impairment in the last month’. Physical diseases did not differ between the groups, but physical complaints were significantly more present in group 2. Overall 66.2% of the participants of this group reported one or more physical complaints, versus 38.5% in group 1. Results of the various regression analyses showed that Qol, disability and physical health were all related with psychopathology, but when we extended the model with pre-and post migration risk factors the analyses showed that length of stay, adverse life events and several post migration problems were significant predictive risk factors, independent from psychopathology. To be more precise: predictors of lower scores on Qol were: length of stay in the Netherlands, adverse life events after arrival in the Netherlands, days of dysfunction in the last months, and the PMLP cluster ‘socio-economic living conditions’. Predictors of disability were psychopathology (i.e. ‘one or more psychiatric disorder’, depressive and somatoform disorders), higher age and the PMLP cluster ‘family related issues’. Chronic physical complaints were predicted by psychopathology (i.e. depressive, anxiety and somatoform disorders), but also by length of stay in the Netherlands,
adverse life events between 13th year and departure, female sex, and the PMLP cluster ‘family related issues’.

Chapter 7 describes the use of preventive and curative (physical and mental) health services of the study group and shows the relationships between health service use and predicting variables. In the literature three sets of variables are mentioned in relation to health service use: predisposing, enablement and need variables. Respondents’ predisposition was measured by age, gender, religion, ethnicity and ‘group 2 membership’ (length of stay). Need factors included: psychiatric disorders, physical health, quality of life and disability, while PMLP were added as a special set of need variables. Enabling factors were not measured as regular health services for asylum seekers are available and accessible in the Netherlands without financial obstacles. In the first analyses the relation between psychopathology and health service use was studied. Results show that respondents with psychopathology used significantly more services (70% versus 54.5%), both curative and preventive services. Respondents with psychopathology visited a medical specialist (non-psychiatrist) much more often in group 1, but not in group 2. The use of drugs (analgetics, anxiolitics and hypnotics) in respondents with psychopathology was higher in both groups, compared to those without psychopathology. Subsequent analyses with all predicting variables showed different patterns of predictors for different types of health service. The conclusion of these analyses is that a long asylum procedure is not associated with higher levels of service use, except for mental health service use and drug use. Psychopathology is related to a higher level of service use, but when corrected for the influence of other predisposing and need factors, other factors such as high role and functional disability, and low perceived quality of general health, are more important predictors. Moreover having one or more psychiatric disorder(s) predicts the use of a medical specialist (non-psychiatrist), but does not predict mental health service use. The overall use of mental health service use is very low compared to the high prevalence of psychiatric disorders: over 80% of the asylum seekers with a psychiatric disorder used any health service, but only 8.8% visited a mental health service.

Part III Implications of study results into clinical practice

In chapter 8 the implications of the study results in relation to the clinical practice are discusses and a resilience-oriented diagnostic and treatment model is introduced. The most important implications are that there is a need for a thorough and broad diagnostic assessment procedure and that the treatment should not primarily focus on
adverse and/or traumatic experiences prior to arrival but pay serious attention to daily
living problems and the enduring stress generated by the asylum procedure. Therefore
it is recommended that the treatment should be resilience-orientated. In the chapter,
biological, psychological, social, religious and cultural resources of resilience are
discussed and translated to the mental health care of asylum seekers.

The ‘resilience-oriented therapy and strategies’ (ROTS) model brings together the
concepts of vulnerability and stress and two aspects of resilience, i.e. personal strength
(e.g., coping) and social support. Vulnerability and strength are considered personal
characteristics (internal factors) and stress and social support are considered ecosocial
characteristics (external factors). It is assumed that a dynamic equilibrium between
these factors is required to remain or become a healthy person.

The ROTS model helps in the diagnostic process to gather information about relevant
factors that are possibly related to the health complaints. When the model is adequately
applied, the assessment leads to all kinds of ideas for interventions. In our opinion the
ROTS model is very well applicable in all treatment modalities with asylum seekers.
The conclusion of the chapter is that though treatment possibilities are limited due
to, the ongoing stress and the existence of co-morbidity of stress-related psychiatric
disorders, treatment is possible, even though it needs scientific corroboration.

**Part IV Concluding remarks, discussion and recommendations**

In the final chapter (9) the main findings and conclusions are described, followed
by some methodological considerations on design; sampling, response and
representativity; instruments; translation and cultural validation. After the general
discussion, several recommendations are discussed. These recommendations relate
to future research, the (public) health sector and government, politicians and policy
makers.

The overall conclusion of the findings is that a long asylum procedure has a negative
impact on the overall health situation of asylum seekers. The situation is not only
harming the affected, but also interferes with the integration process in the Netherlands
or elsewhere.
المختصر
دراسة هولندية حول طالبي اللجوء العراقيين

تتناول الدراسة مادة إجراءات اللجوء على مدى وقوع الأضطرابات النفسية والمؤشرات الصحية الأخرى لدى طالبي اللجوء العراقيين في هولندا: دراسة واسعة.

هذه الأطروحة تبحث وتعرض طريقة مهنية، وчная، والموجبة للظروف الراهنة في عالم الهجرة، وتتعامل مع تأثير هذه الأوضاع على الصحة والرفاهية النفسية والعقلية للهجرة المطولة، واختلافات الأشخاص في هذا المجال.

تستخدم هذه الدراسة أداة الاستجابة إلى الأعراض، معتمدة على أ(TYPE-2 PTSD) وطويلة الأمد. وتستخدم في مواقف مدفوعة بجهود الرعاية الصحية، خاصة في المراكز العقلية والجهاز العصبي المتصل.

هذه الدراسة تهدف إلى إقامة حلول صحية وفعالة، وتقديم نداء عن طريق تقديم البرامج العقلية والجسدية للهجرة، وكان فيها من أهمها أن تدشين الاستراتيجيات السليمة والعقلية لاستخدام العلاج الطبي في الحياة اليومية.

الجذر الأول: مقدمة، المنهجية والنتائج الوصفية

في السنوات الأخيرة، هولندا كانت ما قد طلب أكثر من 3 ملايين شخص اللجوء في أولى الدول الأوروبية. وكان في الفترة نفسها عدد طالبي اللجوء في هولندا 300,000، مما أدى إلى وضع خريطة على نظام اللجوء في هذه البلد. وظائف في مجموعة من مصادر استخدام، وبناء على كلمات مبسطة، فإنها كبيرة في هذه الدراسة.

كانت عدد كبير من طالبي اللجوء قد تعرضوا لأحداث مروعة في بلدانهم، وكانوا يواجهون أزمة عقلية (علمية). إن مستويات الصحة العقلية، وفقًا لجامعة درينا (GGZ Drenthe)، وجدت نفسها في موقع، مستعدة لتقديم الاستجابة لحالات تقدم وصقل برامج علاجية في هذه البلد.

والغة كان من شأنها أن تلعب دورًا في التأثير، على الرغم من أن السهولة في علاج كل منها من واحد. ولأن الأطروحة السليمة والممتلكات المتعارضة في فترات الانتهاء، يمكن أن تكون حالة البحث. هذه الأطروحة متوفرة منذ عام 2000 في هولندا، والبحث الجامعي قد اجتذب عدد 7,280,000، للخدور ما أكثر من هذه الانتظار.

وقد تم استخدام الدراسات السابقة، وتقديم النتائج، من خلال الأدوات، وحرية، من خلال الدراسات السابقة، وتقديم النتائج، من خلال الأدوات، وحرية، من خلال الدراسات السابقة، وتقديم النتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج. أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن هناك حاجة إلى تقديم برامج عقلية للمتضامنين وال🔍 والأعراض العقلية، وتقديم خدمات صحية، وتقديم نتائج.
يظهر النص التالي مفتاح العملية في اجراة الجودة على الصحة العقلية والعبدية وعلى غاية الحالة والأداء والنشاط البشري لطبيبي:

- ماهي أثرات الامراض النفسية على الصحة العقلية والعبدية؟
- ماهي الأسباب المحتملة لهذا الوباء من حيث الصحة العالمية؟
- كيف يمكننا أن نتعامل مع تلك الأعراض؟
- ما هي نتائج الدراسة المحددة؟

ومع ذلك، فإن النص يشير إلى أن النتائج هذه قد تؤثر على الصحة العقلية والعبدية على نطاق واسع، ويرجى أن يكون الاهتمام بالصحة العقلية والعبدية في الدراسة، ونعتقد أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي.

ويستند النص إلى بعض الدراسات المحددة، نحن نتطلع إلى المزيد من الأبحاث في هذا المجال.

ويشير النص إلى أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي، ونعتقد أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي.

ويستند النص إلى بعض الدراسات المحددة، نحن نتطلع إلى المزيد من الأبحاث في هذا المجال.

ويشير النص إلى أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي، ونعتقد أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي.

ويستند النص إلى بعض الدراسات المحددة، نحن نتطلع إلى المزيد من الأبحاث في هذا المجال.

ويشير النص إلى أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي، ونعتقد أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي.

ويستند النص إلى بعض الدراسات المحددة، نحن نتطلع إلى المزيد من الأبحاث في هذا المجال.

ويشير النص إلى أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي، ونعتقد أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي.

ويستند النص إلى بعض الدراسات المحددة، نحن نتطلع إلى المزيد من الأبحاث في هذا المجال.

ويشير النص إلى أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي، ونعتقد أن النتائج هذه قد تكون مفيدة في السياقات المستقبلي.
الجزء الثانى: تحديد المحددات والنتائج

في الفصل الأول تم دراسة ووصف أرقام معدل انتشار الاضطرابات النفسية (السياكوباثولوجي) ونتائج التحليل الأحادي، ونستعمل هذا النوع من التحليل، حسبما تأثير النسياب العمليات الإدارية – أزمات إغلاق ووصف الانقسام قبل وبعد الهجرة بالنسبة للسياكوباثولوجي، ولن نتمكن من دراسة تأثير الأحداث الوبائية اللفظية المضادة للصحة، بدلاً من ضبطه عملياً في المجموعة 2 في التحليل الساكن، ولأنها كانت من الممكن أن تكون بعض الأدوات الفعلية تؤثر في النتائج، ولهذا كان من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية، وبالمثل، فإن النتائج كانت من الممكن أن تحتفل مع بعض الأدوات الفعلية.
لا يوجد نص يمكن قراءته بشكل طبيعي.
في الفصل التاسع يتم وصف النتائج والاستنتاجات الأكثر أهمية للأطروحة، وبيليها بعض التوصيات المهنية بشأن تصميم البحث وطريقة أخذ العينات والاستجابة والخصوصية والوسائل المستخدمة والترجمة وتجميع الاستبيانات ومسألة مماثلة لدقيقة الاستعمال. بعد المناقشة العامة يتم صياغة بعض التوصيات. هذه التوصيات تخص الجوانب المستقبلية والصحة العامة والدولة والعمل والاستبان. استثناء للقرار.

النتيجة اللاحقة لهذه الدراسة هي أن إجراءات اللجوء الطويلة تؤثر سلبًا على الحالة الصحية العامة لطالبي اللجوء. وهذه الحالة الصحية السيئة لا تعني فقط الامعانة، ولكن تأثر كذلك سلبًا على عملية الاندماج في هولندا أو في البلد الذي يعيش فيه اللاجئ.
Samenvatting
Nederlandse Studie Irakese Asielzoekers

Invloed van de duur van de asielprocedure op de prevalentie van psychiatrische stoornissen en andere indicatoren van gezondheid bij Irakese asielzoekers in Nederland: een epidemiologische studie.

Deze dissertatie heeft op systematische wijze aard en omvang van psychiatrische stoornissen, kwaliteit van leven, functiebeperkingen en lichamelijke gezondheid onderzocht onder één van de grootste groepen asielzoekers in Nederland. Vervolgens werden de verbanden onderzocht tussen deze gezondheids-indicatoren en de potentiële risico factoren, zoals socio-demografische eigenschappen en schokkende levensgebeurtenissen en stresserende levensomstandigheden voor en na de vlucht uit Irak (de zogenaamde pre- en post migratie risicofactoren). Speciale aandacht werd gegeven aan het verband met de duur van de asielprocedure. Verder werd onderzocht in welke mate de asielzoekers gebruik maakten van welke gezondheidszorg voorziening. De dissertatie besluit met de consequenties van de bevindingen van de studie voor de hulpverleningspraktijk en een uiteenzetting over een veerkracht gericht model voor diagnostiek en behandeling, met name toegespitst op asielzoekers.


Deel I: Inleiding, methodologie en beschrijvende resultaten

In de tien jaar voorafgaande aan de studie vroegen meer dan drie miljoen mensen asiel aan in één van de Europese landen. In dezelfde periode bedroeg dit aantal in Nederland 300.000, wat een enorme druk legde op het immigratiesysteem. Ongeveer één vijfde van de aanvragen kwam van Irakese vluchtelingen. Vanwege de grote aantallen asielzoekers en het restrictieve toelatingsbeleid groeide het aantal asielzoekers dat in één van de opvangfaciliteiten wachtte op de beslissing over hun aanvraag van 30.000 in 1994 tot 80.000 in 2001. Veel van hen moesten lang wachten op deze beslissing, in 2000 wachtte bijvoorbeeld 34.0% van de 78.200 asielzoekers al meer dan twee jaar.

Veel asielzoekers hadden schokkende gebeurtenissen meegemaakt in hun land van herkomst en hadden (geistelijke) gezondheidszorg nodig. Gezondheidsinstellingen (bijvoorbeeld GGZDrenthe) zagen zich geplaatst voor een taak om zorg te bieden aan een groep waar zij tot dan toe grotendeels onbekend mee waren. Cultuur en
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Taalverschillen bemoeilijkte het contact en dus de behandeling. Daarnaast was er de indruk dat de lange asielprocedure de behandelresultaten negatief beïnvloedde en dat de gezondheidsproblemen zelfs toenamen gedurende de wachtijd. Omdat de wetenschappelijke literatuur hier geen inzicht in gaf, groeide de behoefte aan een goed opgezet onderzoek en het idee van de Nederlandse Studie Irakese asielzoekers was geboren.

In hoofdstuk 1 wordt een overzicht gegeven van de Nederlandse en de internationale literatuur over gezondheidsproblemen van asielzoekers en vluchtelingen tot aan het jaar van de studie (2000). Deze literatuur toonde aanzienlijke gezondheidsproblemen aan onder asielzoekers en vluchtelingen. Posttraumatische stress-stoornis (PTSS) bleek vaak voor te komen, maar ook andere angststoornissen, depressieve stoornissen en dissociatieve stoornissen werden gerapporteerd. Vaak werden er meerdere stoornissen bij dezelfde respondent gevonden. De studies die specifiek over asielzoekers gingen, waren echter zeer schaars en bovendien hadden deze studies belangrijke wetenschappelijke beperkingen. Een aantal van deze beperkingen waren: het gebruik van niet willekeurig (at random) geselecteerde onderzoeksgroepen, het meten van slechts één of twee stoornissen (meestal PTSS en depressie), het gebruik van instrumenten die alleen in vluchtelingen studie gebruikt worden (wat vergelijking met de algemene populatie bemoeilijkte), geen aandacht voor kwaliteit van leven, functionele beperkingen en lichamelijke gezondheidsklachten en meerdere etnische groepen in één studie.

Vervolgens werd in de literatuur gezocht naar de risicofactoren voor psychiatrische problematiek onder asielzoekers/vluchtelingen. Bij de beschrijving van deze factoren werden pre- en post migratie risicofactoren onderscheiden. Alhoewel de literatuur veel informatie gaf over het belang van de verschillende risicofactoren, bleef de relatieve invloed van schokkende levensgebeurtenissen op (on)gezondheid ten opzichte van de invloed van andere risicofactoren (bijvoorbeeld een lange asielprocedure en post migratie problemen) onduidelijk.

Daarom ontwierpen we een studie onder asielzoekers met als belangrijkste onderzoeksvraag:

Wat is de invloed van een lange asiel procedure op de geestelijke en lichamelijke gezondheid, kwaliteit van leven en dagelijks functioneren van Irakese asielzoekers in Nederland, in relatie tot de pre- en post migratie risicofactoren?
Hoofdstuk 2 beschrijft de methodologie van de studie. In relatie tot de genoemde onderzoeks vraag zou een longitudinaal onderzoek de voorkeur hebben gehad, maar zo een onderzoek bleek niet mogelijk vanwege vele praktische problemen, zoals: frequente verhuizingen, vertrek met onbekende bestemming (MOB) en uitwijzing of onderduiking na een afwijzing van een verblijfsvergunning. Daarom werd een cross-sectioneel bevolkingsonderzoek uitgevoerd waarbij willekeurige steekproeven getrokken werden uit twee groepen die onderling verschilde in duur van verblijf in Nederland (= duur van de asielprocedure): minder dan zes maanden (groep 1) en meer dan twee jaar (groep 2). Van 294 respondenten (groep 1: 143, groep 2: 151) konden de gegevens verzameld en geanalyseerd worden. Er werden volledig gestructureerde, cultureel gevalidateerde, Arabisch talige vragenlijsten gebruikt en de interviews werden afgenomen door speciaal getrainde Irakese vrijwilligers. Psychiatrische stoornissen werden gemeten met de WHO-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI, 2.1), kwaliteit van leven met de WHOQoL-Bref, functiebeperkingen met de Brief Disability Questionnaire en lichamelijke gezondheid met een nieuw ontwikkelde lijst die zowel vragen over lichamelijke klachten als over lichamelijke ziektes bevatte.

Meegemaakte schokkende levensgebeurtenissen werden uitgevraagd over vier levensperiodes: (1) 0-12 jaar, (2) 13 jaar – vertrek uit Irak, (3) vertrek uit Irak – aankomst in Nederland en (4) na aankomst in Nederland. Er werd hierbij gebruik gemaakt van de Harvard Trauma Questionnaire. Ervaringen van psychologische of lichamelijke martelingen werden gedetailleerd uitgevraagd met de Exposure to Torture Scale. Daarnaast werden gegevens verzameld over dood en separatie of verlies van familie en verlies van materiële zaken. De lijst over gezinsomstandigheden in de jeugd bevatte verder vragen over het wel of niet opgroeien met (beide) ouders en 14 items over een eventuele onveiligheid en verwaarlozing in de jeugd. De problemen in de post migratie periode (de zogenaamde Post Migration Living Problems. PMLP) werden gemeten met een aangepaste lijst van Silove ea. (1997).

De voor dit onderzoek ontwikkelde Irakees-Arabische vragenlijst was voor een groot deel gebaseerd op een Palestijns-Arabische versie. Deze versie was vertaald uit het Engels en cultureel gevalideerd en vertaald volgens een 7-staps procedure. Met behulp van een focus groep, bestaande van 8 mannen en vrouwen met verschillende etnische en professionele achtergrond, werd de vragenlijst aangepast voor gebruik onder de Irakese populatie.

Frequenties en gemiddelde scores van de uitkomstmaten en van de risicofactoren werden berekend en de verschillen tussen de twee groepen werden geschat met
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Het beschrijvende deel van de resultaten (hoofdstuk 3) toonde aan dat Irakese asielzoekers die al meer dan twee jaar in Nederland zijn (groep 2) significant meer psychiatrische stoornissen hadden dan degene die net in Nederland aangekomen waren (groep 1). Het prevalentie cijfer voor ‘één of meer psychiatrische stoornis’ was 42.0% in groep 1 en 66.2% in groep 2. Ook de prevalentie cijfers voor angst, depressieve en somatoforme stoornissen waren significant hoger in groep 2 vergeleken met groep 1. Posttraumatische stress-stoornis was hoog in beide groepen, maar verschillde onderling niet significant (p>0.05). Alcoholafhankelijkheid kwam alleen voor in groep 2. Verder liet groep 2 lagere scores zien voor kwaliteit van leven en hogere scores voor functiebeperkingen en lichamelijke klachten. Bijna alle (vijf van de zes) chronische lichamelijke klachten kwamen meer voor in groep 2 vergeleken met groep 1. Het percentage van genoemde schokkende levensgebeurtenissen was aanzienlijk, bijvoorbeeld: gevechtssituaties (41.8%), getuige zijn van de dood van familie/vrienden (45.0%), gevangenschap (32.3%), marteling (29.3%). De meest frequent genoemde PMLP waren items die samenhangen met de asielprocedure en familie gerelateerde items. Verder gaven bij groep 2 veel mensen (74.2%) aan dat zij zich veel zorgen maakte over het feit dat zij niet mochten werken. Over het algemeen had groep 2 hogere scores voor zowel schokkende levensgebeurtenissen als PMLP.

De beschrijvende resultaten over het gebruik van zorg toonde aan dat 71.4% in de laatste twee maanden vóór het interview gebruik had gemaakt van een bepaald type zorg. Alhoewel groep 2 veel meer gezondheidsproblemen meldde, maakte zij niet vaker gebruik van de huisarts en de medische specialist (niet-psychiater). Groep 2 bezocht vaker een ggz-hulpverlener, maar het percentage (9.3%) was laag vergeleken met de eerder genoemde prevalentiecijfers voor psychiatrische stoornissen. Medicatie gebruik was significant hoger in groep 2. Aan het einde van het hoofdstuk wordt de mening van de respondent over het hele interview beschreven. Meer dan de helft (55.5%) van de deelnemers gaf aan het eens te zijn met de stelling ‘Het interview was een positieve ervaring’, slechts 2.7% was het niet eens met deze stelling.

Deel II: Analyses van de determinanten en uitkomstmaten.

In hoofdstuk 4 worden de prevalentie cijfers voor psychiatrische stoornissen (psychopathologie) en de resultaten van de logistische regressie analyses beschreven.
en bediscussieerd. Met behulp van dit type analyses berekenden we de relatieve invloed van de socio-demografische en de pre- en postmigratie risicofactoren op psychopathologie. Om de invloed van een lange asielprocedure te bestuderen namen we “lidmaatschap van groep 2” op in de analyses. Omdat de twee groepen mogelijk op belangrijke risico factoren zouden kunnen verschillen werd een gedetailleerde lijst van socio-demografische gegevens opgenomen in de analyses, zoals: leeftijd, sexe, burgerlijke stand, kinderen, etniciteit, religie, alfabetisme, geografische achtergrond, genoten onderwijs en beroep in Irak, taalvaardigheden en psychiatrische problemen in het verleden. Gemiddelde scores van de lijst over gezinsomstandigheden in de jeugd en van de vier lijsten voor schokkende levensgebeurtenissen werden berekend en opgenomen in de analyses. Omdat uit de literatuur bekend is dat martelingen een belangrijke risico factor is voor het krijgen van een psychiatrische stoornis (vooral PTSS) werd dit item apart in de analyses opgenomen.

Het resultaat van de analyse voor ‘één of meer psychiatrische stoornissen’ laat zien dat “lidmaatschap van groep 2” de meest belangrijke risicofactor is (OR: 2.16), op ‘vrouwelijk geslacht” na (OR: 2.58). Met andere woorden: een lange asielprocedure verdubbelt het risico op een psychiatrische stoornis, onafhankelijk van de gebeurtenissen in het land van herkomst.

Andere risicofactoren waren: schokkende levensgebeurtenissen in de jeugd (tot het 13e jaar) (OR 1.28), in de periode tussen het 13e jaar en vertrek uit Irak (OR:1.35) en na aankomst (OR: 1.66) Schokkende levensgebeurtenissen in de periode tussen vertrek uit Irak en aankomst in Nederland gaven geen vergroot risico. Ook ‘martelingen’ liet geen verhoogd risico zien voor het hebben van een psychiatrische stoornis in deze analyse.

De analyses met depressieve stoornissen, angst stoornissen en somatoforme stoornissen, lieten eveneens zien dat een lange asielprocedure en schokkende levensgebeurtenissen na aankomst in Nederland hogere risico’s geven (hogere OR’s), vergeleken met schokkende levensgebeurtenissen in eerdere periodes.

In hoofdstuk 5 zijn de mate van bezorgdheid over ‘post migration living problems’ (PMLP) en het verband met psychopathologie beschreven en bediscussieerd. Het doel van deze analyses was meer te weten te komen over de wijze waarop een lange asielprocedure invloed had op psychopathologie. Uit de factor analyses bleek dat het mogelijk was om de PMLP in vijf clusters te verdelen: familie gerelateerde items, discriminatie, asielprocedure gerelateerde items, socio-economische leefomstandig-
heden en sociaal-religieuze items. Taal problemen en werk gerelateerd items paste niet goed in één van deze clusters en werden als aparte items opgenomen in de verdere analyses. We vergeleken de scores van PMLP van de respondenten met en zonder (verschillende types van) psychopathologie en vonden dat vrijwel alle verschillen significant waren. In de multivariate logistische regressie analyses bleken de volgende clusters het sterkste verband met psychopathologie te vertonen: zorgen over asielprocedure gerelateerde items (onzekerheid over verblijfsvergunning, angst om terug gestuurd te worden en onzekerheid over de toekomst), zorgen over familie gerelateerde items (gemis van de familie, zorgen over familie in Irak, het niet naar Irak kunnen als er iets naars gebeurd met de familie, eenzaamheid) en zorgen over het niet mogen werken. Een hogere score op ‘niet mogen werken’ verhoogde het risico op ‘één of meer psychiatrische stoornissen’ met 44%. Zoals eerder (hoofdstuk 3) vermeld werd dit type PMLP het meest genoemd door groep 2.

Hoofdstuk 6 onderzoekt de uitkomstmaten kwaliteit van leven, functiebeperkingen en lichamelijke gezondheid en hun verband met psychopathologie en met pre- en post migratie problemen. Groep 2 had lagere gemiddelde scores op de twee algemene vragen over kwaliteit van leven en op drie van de vier domeinen. (lichamelijke gezondheid, psychologische gezondheid en leefomgeving) Alleen bij het domein ‘sociale relaties’ was er geen significant verschil tussen de groepen. De functiebeperkingen bleken erger te zijn in groep 2: hoger gemiddelde scores op zowel ‘lichamelijke en sociale beperkingen’ als op ‘totaal aantal dagen van aanzienlijke beperkingen in de laatste maand’. Lichamelijke ziektes kwamen niet significant vaker voor in groep 2 vergeleken met groep 1, maar lichamelijke klachten wel. Van de respondenten in groep 2 gaf 66.2% aan één of meer lichamelijke klachten te hebben tegenover 38.5% in groep 1. De diverse regressie analyses lieten zien dat zowel kwaliteit van leven als functiebeperkingen en lichamelijke gezondheid gerelateerd waren aan psychopathologie. Toen de pre- en post migratie factoren aan de analyses werden toegevoegd, bleek echter dat ‘lengte van verblijf in Nederland’, schokkende levensgebeurtenissen en diverse PMLP voorspellers waren van deze uitkomstmaten, onafhankelijk van psychopathologie. Meer precies geformuleerd: lengte van verblijf in Nederland, schokkende levensgebeurtenissen in Nederland, totaal aantal dagen van aanzienlijke beperkingen in de laatste maand en het PMLP cluster ‘socio-economische leefomstandigheden’ voorspelden lagere scores op kwaliteit van leven. Psychopathologie (dat wil zeggen ‘één of meer psychiatrische stoornissen’, depressieve stoornissen en somatoforme stoornissen), hogere leeftijd en het PMLP cluster ‘familie gerelateerde items’ voorspelden meer functiebeperkingen.
Chronische lichamelijke klachten werden voorspeld door psychopathologie (dat wil zeggen depressieve stoornissen, angst stoornissen en somatoforme stoornissen), maar ook door lengte van verblijf in Nederland, schokkende levensgebeurtenissen tussen het 13e jaar en vertrek uit Irak, vrouwelijk geslacht en het PMLP cluster ‘familie gerelateerde items’.

Hoofdstuk 7 beschrijft het gebruik van preventieve en curatieve (lichamelijke en geestelijke) gezondheidsvoorzieningen en laat de verbanden zien tussen dit gebruik en de onderzochte voorspellende variabelen. In de literatuur worden met betrekking tot zorggebruik drie groepen van variabelen onderscheiden: predisposerende, gebruik-bevorderende en zorgbehoefte variabelen. De predispositie van de respondenten werd gemeten met: leeftijd, geslacht, religie, etniciteit en ‘lidmaatschap van groep 2’ (lengte van verblijf). De geïncludeerde zorgbehoefte variabelen waren: psychiatrische stoornissen, lichamelijke gezondheid, kwaliteit van leven en functiebeperkingen. Daarnaast werden de PMLP toegevoegd als een aparte groep zorgbehoefte variabelen. Gebruik-bevorderende variabelen werden niet gemeten omdat de reguliere gezondheidsvoorzieningen in Nederland voor asielzoekers zonder financiële belemmeringen beschikbaar en toegankelijk zijn. In de eerste analyses werd het verband tussen psychopathologie en zorggebruik bestudeerd. De resultaten hiervan toonde aan dat respondenten met psychopathologie significant een hoger zorggebruik hadden dan de respondenten die dat niet hadden (70% tegenover 54.5%). Dit hogere gebruik gold zowel voor de curatieve als voor de preventieve zorg. Respondenten met psychopathologie in groep 1 bezochten de medische specialist (niet-psychiater) veel vaker, maar dit was niet het geval in groep 2. Het gebruik van medicijnen (pijnstillers, angstreducerende medicijnen en slaapmedicijnen) was in beide groepen hoger in respondenten met psychopathologie. Verdere analyses, waarin alle variabelen geïncludeerd werden, lieten verschillende patronen van voorspellers van gebruik van diverse zorgvoorzieningen zien. De conclusie van deze analyses is dat een lange asielprocedure geen voorspeller is van meer zorggebruik, met uitzondering van het gebruik van de GGZ en medicijngebruik. Psychopathologie is gerelateerd aan een hoger zorggebruik, maar wanneer dit verband gecorrigeerd wordt voor de invloed van andere predisposerende en zorgbehoefte factoren dan blijkt dat andere factoren zoals functiebeperkingen en een lagere score van kwaliteit van leven een grotere invloed hebben. Een andere conclusie is dat het hebben van ‘één of meer psychiatrische stoornis’ het gebruik van een medische specialist (niet-psychiater) voorspelt, maar niet het gebruik van een GGZ voorziening. Over het algemeen is het gebruik van een GGZ voorziening erg laag, indien dit vergeleken wordt met de prevalentie van
psychopathologie: meer dan 80% van de asielzoekers met een psychiatrische stoornis maakt gebruik van een gezondheidsvoorziening, maar slechts 8.8% bezoekt een GGZ voorziening.

Part III Implicaties van de studie voor de hulpverleningspraktijk
In hoofdstuk 8 worden de implicaties van de studieresultaten voor de hulpverleningspraktijk besproken en wordt een veerkracht (resilience) gericht diagnostiek en behandelsmodel geïntroduceerd. De meest belangrijke implicaties zijn dat er een zorgvuldige en brede diagnostische fase nodig is en dat de behandeling zich niet primair moet richten op de schokkende en traumatische levensgebeurtenissen uit het verleden maar dat er serieuze aandacht besteed moet worden aan de dagelijkse leefproblemen (de context) en aan de voortdurende stress die de asielprocedure genereert. Daarom wordt aanbevolen een veerkracht gericht behandeling aan te bieden. In het hoofdstuk worden een aantal biologische, psychologische, sociale, religieuze en culturele bronnen van veerkracht besproken en vertaald naar de behandeling van asielzoekers.

Het zogenaamde ‘resilience-oriented therapy and strategies’ (ROTS) model omvat de concepten van stress en kwetsbaarheid en twee aspecten van veerkracht: persoonlijke kracht (bijvoorbeeld coping) en sociale steun. Kwetsbaarheid en kracht worden daarbij beschouwd als persoonlijke eigenschappen (interne factoren) en stress en steun als omgevings eigenschappen (externe factoren). In het Nederlands spreken we van het SSKK-model. Het model gaat er vanuit dat er een dynamische evenwicht tussen de verschillende factoren nodig is om een gezond persoon te worden en/of te blijven. Het ROTS model helpt om in de diagnostische fase zicht te krijgen op relevante factoren die mogelijk invloed hebben op de gezondheidsklachten. Wanneer het model goed toegepast wordt, levert het allerlei ideeën en mogelijkheden op voor de behandelfase. Naar onze mening en ervaring is het ROTS model goed toepasbaar in alle soorten van behandeling van asielzoekers. De conclusie van het hoofdstuk is dat de behandelmogelijkheden weliswaar beperkt worden door de voortdurend aanwezige stress en het bestaan van co-morbiditeit van stress-gerelateerde psychiatrische stoornissen, maar dat behandeling van asielzoekers mogelijk is. Deze conclusie behoeft nog wel wetenschappelijke onderbouwing.

Part IV Concluderende opmerkingen, discussie en aanbevelingen.
In het slothoofdstuk (9) worden de belangrijkste bevindingen en conclusies van de studie beschreven, gevolgd door enkele methodologische overwegingen betreffende
onderzoeksontwerp, manier van sampling, respons en representativiteit, gebruikte instrumenten, vertaling en culturele validatie. Na de algemene discussie worden er enkele aanbevelingen geformuleerd. Deze aanbevelingen betreffen toekomstig onderzoek, de (openbare) gezondheidszorg en de overheid, politici en beleidsmakers.

De eindconclusie van het onderzoek is dat een lange asielprocedure een negatieve invloed heeft op de algehele gezondheidssituatie van asielzoekers. Deze slechte gezondheidssituatie betekent niet alleen veel leed voor de betrokken asielzoekers, maar heeft ook een negatieve impact op het integratieproces in Nederland of in een ander land.
Dankwoord
De hulp, adviezen en morele steun van vele mensen was dit proefschrift nooit tot stand gekomen. Dit zeg ik niet omdat je dat nu eenmaal hoort te zeggen bij deze gelegenheid maar omdat ik het echt meen. Het Afrikaanse filosofische gezegde ‘ik ben omdat wij zijn’, is bewaarheid geworden in het hele traject naar deze promotie.

Allereerst wil ik alle respondenten bedanken voor hun tijd en inzet. In een verwarrende en moeilijke tijd in hun leven gaven zij ons het vertrouwen dat wij zorgvuldig om zouden gaan met hun antwoorden en met de moeilijke levenservaringen die zij met ons deelden.

De interviewers, Dhiya Al-Alyan, Faris Alwasaty, Wiaan Abdul Aziz, Susan Shaker Bdewi, Sadik Ahmad, Zirek Resh, Amar Kadhim, Bayan Kader Rasul, Sahel Al-Saieq, Amer Alwasaty, Safaa Al Mahmoedi, Amjad Al-Obaidi, M. Mohammed, Duyhan Almusauyi en Sarbaaz Majid wil ik bedanken. Dank voor jullie aandacht bij de trainingen, de inzet in het vinden van de respondenten, de waardigheid waarmee jullie de interviews hebben afgenomen, het geduld dat jullie opbrachten als dingen anders gingen dan gedacht. Jullie motivatie om deze studie uitgevoerd te krijgen heeft mij geïnspireerd om, ook in tijden waarin het niet zo goed liep, door te gaan met het (her)schrijven van de artikelen en uiteindelijk met de afronding het geheel. Voor de vertaling van de Nederlandstalige onderdelen van de vragenlijst ben ik Sadik Ahmed dankbaar. Verder denk ik met plezier terug aan de samenwerking met Amjad Al-Obaidi, die een fors deel van de data heeft ingevoerd en met Zirek Resh, die een wetenschappelijke stage van zijn opleiding tot sociale geneeskundige binnen het Irakese onderzoek heeft gedaan. Bedankt ook Zirek, voor de Arabische vertaling van de samenvatting in dit proefschrift.

Dank aan de Centrale Opvang Asielzoekers voor het beschikbaar stellen van de persoonsgegevens en aan staf en medewerkers van de Medische Opvang Asielzoekers voor hun hulp bij het vinden van de geselecteerde respondenten en het beschikbaar stellen van de ruimtes voor de interviews.

Zonder de secure hulp van het secretariaat van GGZDrenthe (in de persoon van Trijnie Eising) was er niets terecht gekomen van de uitnodigingen, de reminders, de contacten met de interviewers, etc. etc. En zonder de tomeloze inzet van de GGZDrenthe bibliotheek medewerkers, was het vinden van de literatuur een haast onbegonnen klus geworden. Dank!
Speciale woorden van dank voor jou, Hajo. Je stond aan de wieg van het project “Zorgvernieuwing Asielzoekers Drenthe”, samen met manager Bert Pijpstra. Dat het onderzoek binnen dit project uit zou lopen op een promotie was wel een gedachte (jij hebt de eerste contacten gelegd met Joop), maar je waakte ervoor mij onder druk te zetten. Wel heb je mij door je enthousiasme, complimenten en support steeds de indruk gegeven dat je geloofde in een goede afloop. Dank ook voor je logische en heldere denktrant.

Ivan, aanvankelijk was je met name mijn leraar op het gebied van de statistiek. Later werd je meer mijn leraar in wetenschappelijk denken en formuleren en nog later stelde je je vaak op als opponent. Hierbij begreep ik niet altijd dat je ‘popperiaanse’ manier van reageren op mijn schrijven, bedoeld was om mijn denken te scherpen en mij te behoeden voor conclusies die verder gingen dan mijn wetenschappelijke bevindingen. Ik heb veel van je geleerd, dank. Na het verkrijgen van je hoogleraarschap ben je bij mijn studie promotor geworden in plaats van co-promotor. Dit is volledig terecht.

Joop, als overall verantwoordelijke voor het wetenschappelijke gehalte van de studie heb je met wisselende intensiteit input geleverd. Je bent een man van ideeën en grote lijnen, met een gigantische kennis van de literatuur en een indrukwekkende staat van wetenschappelijke dienst. In het begin waren je ideeën en suggesties voor mij richtinggevend, zo niet heilig. Later, toen mijn kennis groeide en mijn onzekerheid afnam werd mijn ‘tegenspel’ groter en werd het voor mij, maar ik denk ook voor jou leuker. Met name ook wil ik je bedanken voor je bemoeienis bij de lastige laatste fase van mijn promotie.

Verder wil ik Bettine Schreuder en Ingeborg van der Tweel bedanken voor hun statische ondersteuning in diverse fases van de studie en Nel van Beelen voor haar vertaalwerk.

Astrid Appelhof, een speciaal woord van dank aan jou voor je goede lay-out werk, maar zeker ook voor je geduld met mij. Dit geduld had je nodig want het schrijven van de hoofdstukken die niet gerelateerd waren aan de reeds gepubliceerde artikelen hebben langer geduurd dan gedacht en werden door mij in zeer verschillend tempo aangeleverd.

Indrukwekkend en verrijkend heb ik de ontmoeting met jou ervaren, Satar Kawoosh. Ik had je naam gekregen van iemand die jou ooit geholpen had met Nederlandse taal en heb je opgezocht in je huis. Een rijtjes huis in een gewone Hoogeveense straat,
dat jij hebt omgetoverd tot één groot kunsthuis. Overall je prachtige schilderijen en je atelier op zolder. Zeer bijzonder dat ik een schilderij van jou, gemaakt destijds toen je nog asielzoeker was, mocht gebruiken op de voorkant van dit proefschrift.


Mijn werkgever, GGZDrenthe, wil ik bedanken voor het in mij gestelde vertrouwen en voor de financiële ondersteuning. Ook de betrokkenheid van de huidige divisie directie in de afrondingsfase van mijn promotie stel ik zeer op prijs. Dank voor financiële ondersteuning betreft ook Stichting OpenAnkh, die destijds medefinancier was van het project “Zorgvernieuwing Asielzoekers Drenthe”.

Dan komen we nu bij de kring rond het onderzoek heen.


Ook in de collegiale sfeer valt de steun die ik heb ondervonden in ‘mijn’ intervisie groep. Kamini Ho Pian, Caroline ten Brink, Robert Jan Hanhart en Bas Groot, dank voor jullie enthousiasme.

De dagelijkse contacten met de patiënten en de prima samenwerking met de collega’s van De Evenaar, hebben mij geïnspireerd gehouden om mensen van ver te ‘verstaan’ en met hen op te zoek te gaan naar bronnen van veerkracht. Het werk op De Evenaar geeft veel voldoening, maar soms kruipt het leed en de onmacht van de ander onder je huid. Om dit werk te doen heb je zelf ook veerkracht nodig. Evenaren, dank voor de hulp daarbij!
En vervolgens de kring van mensen uit de persoonlijke sfeer

Heel, heel veel dank aan alle vrienden en familieleden die met belangstelling het hele onderzoekstraject hebben gevolgd. Het overlijden van neef Nico en schoonzus Greet in de afgelopen periode hebben verdriet gebracht. De dood, waar zoveel van de respondenten ook mee te maken hebben gehad, kwam zo heel dicht bij en relativeerde veel. Tegelijkertijd nodigde het mij uit om te leven en door in mijn werk anderen te helpen een vrijer leven te leven.

Van de vrienden wil ik met name noemen de ‘Utrechtse vriendenclub’: Bas, Greetje, Matti, Jaap, Hans, Mieke, Aldert, Marion, het eetclubje van de GGDRotterdam, Wilma, Fauzia, Jan en Gijs-Willem en verder Peter, Aafke, Lex, Mariet, Piet, Martha, Alie, Ruud, Theo, Fennie, Antoinette, Henk, Hans, Lou, Wim, Greta, Kees, Andre, Joke, Regi, Ellen, John en, o je wat is dit gevaarlijk, ik ben vast mensen vergeten, allen dank.

En dan de meest nabije kring.

Wie had ooit kunnen denken dat mijn twee kinderen mijn paranimfen zouden worden. Jullie zijn volwassen geworden met een vader die, soms gedreven, soms gefrustreerd (ja, die box-bal staat nog op mijn bureau), werkte aan iets wat wel heel veel tijd nam, maar waarvan hij toch vond dat het de moeite waard was. Sander, dank voor het screenen van de diverse teksten, je adviezen op taalkundig en ‘logisch denken’ gebied. Hester, dank voor je lieve woorden en je vele lieve kaartjes. Ik voel me zeer gezegend met jullie.

About the author
In 2006 I was invited to write a bio-sketch for the Newsletter of the section Transcultural Psychiatry of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA-TPS). An adapted and updated version of this bio-sketch can be found below.

When I was asked to contribute a bio-sketch for the Newsletter, my memories went back to the last evening of the cultural psychiatry conference in Rhode Island in Oct., 2004. At the farewell diner the atmosphere was very pleasant. Probably inspired by this atmosphere and by the afternoon symposium, in which four participants told their life story, a group of residents invited several guests to sit at their table and tell their life story connected to transcultural psychiatry. I was one of those invited.

To my own surprise, I started my story by telling my audience that I was born thanks to Hitler. That attracted their attention and I started to explain: a year before World War II, young men in Holland were recruited into the army. My father volunteered. He was assigned a managerial job and was sent to another part of the country. Because there were not enough barracks, he was housed with civilians. And, as you may have guessed, my mother was part of the family that my father was sent to live with. They married in 1944 and I was always told that when my oldest brother was one month old, he saw the “tommies” (Canadians, Americans) parachuting food packages above Rotterdam: the hunger was over!

I was born in 1953 in Rotterdam, the fourth of five children. In addition to the war, different types of Christian faith have influenced my life. My father was raised in a very liberal family, while my mother was from a very conservative Calvinistic family. My father adopted the lifestyle of my mother after their marriage, which had serious implications for us as children: on Sundays no outdoor activities, no sports or bike riding, and no dancing, no going to cinemas or street fairs. Notwithstanding all these constraints, I received a lot of love; although I didn’t always realize that at the time. There was one interest that my mother and I had in common: Africa. She was active in the Christian mission movement and my interest in this faraway, strange world grew through her influence.

During my puberty, I rebelled a lot against all the limitations and rules my parents imposed on us children, and I think from that time on opposition became my second nature. Later on I saw the parallel between my life experience and the context of the times in the western world. There was a lot of opposition around me: student revolt in Paris, marches against Vietnam, freedom fighters against their colonial masters. My interest in politics grew and I defended the left wings ideas; even in the church group.
In school and university we discussed the future of the world, how to limit the power of capitalism and how to make things better and wealth be more equally shared.

During my medical training at the University of Utrecht from 1974 until 1981, psychiatry attracted my main interest - especially the so-called anti-psychiatry movement, of course - but I was determined to become a tropical doctor. A year-long program in Cultural Anthropology and Medical Sociology at the University of Leiden made me even more motivated.

During that time, I found the love of my life and told her that if she wanted to share her life with me, she should accept going to Africa with me. Fortunately, she shared this interest with me and became active in the “third world movement”. We demonstrated together in Amsterdam against nuclear missiles, and were proud that the opposition against these missiles was called “the Dutch Disease”.

To prepare myself for working in the tropics, I worked (1982-83) as a resident in gynecology, surgery and internal medicine, and participated in a 3-month course at the Royal Tropical Institute in Amsterdam. In September 1983, we - my wife, our son and me - went to Nigeria: to Cross River State, an Ibibio area that included a large number of tribes and languages.

We went to the tropics accompanied by the shame of colonial times and its past history of white superiority. In the area we came to, however, the people who lived there had only very good experiences with whites, and we were well accepted from the beginning. Also all our political concepts and ideas became completely irrelevant in this environment and nobody was interested in hearing about them - nuclear missiles were no issue in that part of Nigeria. Next to my culture shock in adapting to living in Nigeria, this was my main shock: a complete identity switch, or is it better to see this as part of the overall culture shock?

My own faith had moved in a more ecumenical direction in the several years before going to Nigeria, but this also became irrelevant, at least in the world outside our doors. Because the hospital was attached to the Lutheran church, we became familiar with the Lutheran faith. The local interpretation of this faith was: everything that happens is the will of God, so if your child dies, or if your village is infected Guinea worm, there is nothing you can do about it.

Fortunately, the urge to live and to protect your children is universal and strong, and in daily life a lot of people worked to make things better. Most health workers had
an attitude of helping and cooperating. In addition to the work in the hospital work, I was the head of the primary health care program, and the tuberculosis program. These responsibilities brought me to a wide variety of villages and tribes. I sat down with village heads and women’s groups, talking about clinics, mother and child care programs and water wells. I did hernia surgery on a kitchen table, to compete with private practitioners who were surgically incompetent, cried about lives lost, wrote many proposals and reports, organized training programs, celebrated weddings and funerals (big ones), survived a major allergic reaction to an ant bite, had another child (a daughter), was in the news because of a yellow fever outbreak, worked collaboratively with local healers (to the dismay of the Nigerian director) and spent holidays in Kenya and Togo. I had no time for research, which was another thing that seemed completely irrelevant in this environment.

After five years in Nigeria, we went back to the Netherlands in 1988. I worked for a while with an association against leprosy and tuberculosis and spent some time in Kenya and Tanzania with that organization, to evaluate several clinical programs. They had offered me a job in Nairobi, but this did not work out. My wife and children were getting settled in Holland and I had to accept that my career in tropical medicine had to come to an end.

Professionally, I was confused about what to do next. I had developed some interest in public health matters and there was a movement started by the WHO called ‘healthy cities’, which was directed to limit health differences related to ‘socio-economic status’. Rotterdam, my birthplace, had joined this movement, and I got a job in the youth department. I did some research, attended courses in epidemiology, set up a network between school doctors, counselors and social workers, and served as a part-time school doctor in districts with large populations of immigrants. This job offered me several opportunities: to adjust to a Dutch working environment, to develop more skills in immigrant health issues and to do some scientific work.

I re-evaluated my professional life and more and more I came to the conclusion that my real satisfaction was working with patients. My interest in psychiatry was revitalized by the contacts with adolescents and their parents, and I decided to apply for a job in this field.

My first weeks in the psychiatric intensive care unit in Deventer (1992) are still very fresh in my memory; what a change! Another type of ‘culture shock’ experience. A year later, I was able to enter the official training program, just by luck, I think. The
hospital had applied for years to be recognized as a training hospital and suddenly they succeeded. I got the chance to enter the training program in psychiatry. By that time I knew that psychiatry was my field of medical work. I eagerly started to read psychiatry books and articles and worked to improve my skills in making contact with all kinds of patients. During my training, I spent my ‘elective period’ in a service treating asylum seekers and refugees (Phoenix). Transcultural psychiatry had entered my life, as a very natural development to the next phase.

My work with my present employer, GGZDrenthe, a community mental health institute in the northern part of Holland, started in 1999. My assignments were to set up a mental health program for asylum seekers, and to organize a transcultural psychiatry training program. I wrote a plan and proposed that I would not see patients myself, because I wanted to set up a system in which a large number of people were challenged and trained to work with asylum seekers. They agreed, and so for my own clinical work, I worked with an organization for refugees in Amsterdam (Pharos).

After three years the management asked me to set up a day clinic for asylum seekers and refugees. We put together a treatment team, and after a while De Evenaar (2002) was inaugurated (easily written, but everyone knows the process is more complicated). I became the psychiatrist in charge, ended my job in Amsterdam and my family and I moved up north. Patients come from all over the three northern provinces of the Netherlands and in 2006 we got permission to expand to a ‘Centre for Transcultural Psychiatry’ and open an out-patient clinic. The Centre is also involved in training of psychiatrists and I am a teacher of transcultural psychiatry in the general psychiatry training program for residents. Fortunately we have a wonderful and well motivated team.

The job also gave me the opportunity to set up the epidemiological study among asylum seekers, that is described in this dissertation.

Since September 2008 I am the chair of the Platform of Transcultural Psychiatry of the Netherlands Associations of Psychiatry (NVvP). Shortly before my appointment the Platform was asked by the WPA-TPS to organize an international conference and I became the chair of this conference as well. The conference will be held in Amsterdam, June, 13th -16th, 2010.

The work with asylum seekers and refugees is challenging and rewarding. They learn from me (I hope), but in many cases I learn from them. They are enriching my life.
Many times their capacity to cope and to overcome past losses and trauma’s surprises me. Many times their trust in me and my co-workers touches me. But sometimes the work is not easy, as you all know. The confrontation with such an incredible amount of suffering creates feelings of sadness and anger. My study gave me the opportunity to analyse the backgrounds of the problems I noticed in my patients in every day practice. It also gave me the chance to draw the attention of a wide audience to the problems of this marginalized group.

To do this study and work several things were and are important to me: my tendency to oppose and not to sit back when injustice is done, my loving wife and children, my friends, my colleagues and my faith in a loving God who connects people from all over the world.

In addition to all these supportive resources, there is one more I would like to mention; the feeling of being connected to a wider network of people who share the same interest and (com)passion throughout the world. This is a very important source of inspiration for me to continue both my clinical and research work.

Therefore I feel honored that Professor Ron Wintrob, chair of the World Psychiatric Association – Transcultural Psychiatric Section (WPA-TPS) agreed to join the reading and promotion committee, as a representative of this world wide network.

Nobody knows what the future brings. The Dutch word for future is ‘toekomst’, that means, the future is coming towards you. I am curious about the challenges ahead of me and eager to make the most of the opportunities that will arise.

Kees Laban, April 2010
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