

List of figures

	page
Figure 2.1: Principal components of the radiative forcing.	18
Figure 2.2: Atmospheric concentrations of important long-lived greenhouse gases	19
Figure 2.3: The global carbon cycle for the 1990 ^s .	22
Figure 2.4: Extent and location of global mires and peatlands.	23
Figure 2.5: Peatland areas and their greenhouse gas balance.	24
Figure 2.6: Schematic comparison of flux directions and relative magnitudes of net CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O fluxes in peatlands.	25
Figure 2.7: Current distribution of peat soils in the western Netherlands.	26
Figure 2.8: Schematic of the continuous land subsidence and sea level rise in the Netherlands.	27
Figure 2.9: Overview of a typical peat meadow area.	27
Figure 2.10: Example of an enclosure for flux chamber measurements.	29
Figure 2.11: Eddy covariance system with ultrasonic anemometer and gas analyser.	30
Figure 3.1: Current distribution of peat soils in the western part of the Netherlands.	46
Figure 3.2: Power-spectra of w , T and CO ₂ -concentration and co-spectra of $w'T'$ and $w'CO_2'$ for 10 Hz eddy covariance data.	54
Figure 3.3: Diurnal pattern of energy balance components net radiation, latent heat, sensible heat and ground heat flux and CO ₂ flux.	54
Figure 3.4: Energy balance closure for daytime periods during the spring of 2005.	55
Figure 3.5: Daily NEE, GEP, R _{eco} and cumulative NEE over 2004, 2005, and 2006.	56
Figure 3.6: Relation between soil temperature and respiration.	57
Figure 3.7: Measured CH ₄ fluxes at the Horstermeer site over 2004 to 2006.	59
Figure 3.8: Modelled daily CH ₄ fluxes over 2005.	60
Figure 4.1: Schematic overview of the integrated cavity output analysis (ICOS) technique used in the FMA.	72
Figure 4.2: Time series of CH ₄ concentration measurements with 10Hz sampling rate and the Allan variance plot for these data.	74
Figure 4.3: Results of the laboratory experiment on the effect of T _{cell} and P _{cell} on CH ₄ concentration measurements.	76

	page
Figure 4.4: Schematic overview of the combined eddy covariance field set-up for CH ₄ , CO ₂ and water vapour.	77
Figure 4.5: Averaged and normalised time series of CH ₄ concentration data showing the instrument response with 20Hz sampling rate.	78
Figure 4.6: Results of the spectral analyses of the FMA eddy covariance set-up for two moderately turbulent days.	79
Figure 4.7: Results of the analyses of the effect of low turbulence on nightly CH ₄ fluxes.	83
Figure 4.8: CH ₄ flux and CO ₂ flux (NEE) data series over a two week period in the summer of 2006.	84
Figure 4.9: Hourly CH ₄ flux data at July 10 and October 3 (both 2006) plotted in combination with flux chamber data from various land elements in the footprint of the eddy covariance tower.	85
Figure 4.10: Time series of CH ₄ fluxes for a one day period: half hourly averages of 10Hz eddy covariance and simulated 1Hz eddy covariance, disjunct eddy covariance, and REA.	86
Figure 5.1: Overview of the Horstermeer site including all measurement locations	97
Figure 5.2: Satellite images of the south west part of the Horstermeerpolder with the average 99% footprint area of the eddy covariance tower and the land elements.	98
Figure 5.3A: Flux chamber data (CH ₄ fluxes and R _{eco}), T _{s1} and WL _{soil} data at sites A, B, C, D and E between January 2004 and October 2007.	105
Figure 5.3B: Flux chamber data (CH ₄ fluxes and R _{eco}), T _{s1} and WL _{soil} data at sites F, G, d1 and d2 between January 2006 and October 2007.	106
Figure 5.4: Temperature-corrected CH ₄ fluxes plotted over WL _{soil} of the chamber data and eddy covariance data.	109
Figure 5.5: Seasonal averages of chamber measurements (CH ₄ flux and R _{eco}), T _{s1} and WL _{soil} per group of sites.	110
Figure 5.6: Results from the measurements of dissolved CH ₄ concentrations in the pore water over a soil profile at flux chamber sites A, C and E.	111

	page
Figure 5.7: Comparison of surfaces fluxes observed with the soil CH ₄ gradient method in the upper part of the soil profile and the chamber method.	112
Figure 5.8: Data series of CH ₄ fluxes, NEE and LE measured with eddy covariance as well as continuous data series of SW _{in} , U, u*, T _{s1} and WL _{soil} for the three measurement periods.	113
Figure 5.9: Results from the regression analyses of daytime data from the footprint analyses for the 2006 summer period.	116
Figure 5.10: Diurnal cycles of eddy covariance data (CH ₄ fluxes, NEE, LE, ET), SW _{in} , T _{s1} and WL _{soil} per measurement period.	117
Figure 5.11: Schematic of the processes affecting CH ₄ surface fluxes of four different physical soil profiles observed in the measurement area.	122
Figure 6.1: Overview of the Horstermeer peat area site with distribution of vegetation types and flux chamber sites.	137
Figure 6.2: Results of all measurements and analyses of soil variables for all vegetation types during the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007.	141
Figure 6.3: Results of all measurements and analyses of vegetation variables for all vegetation types during the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007.	142
Figure 6.4: Results of the measurements of CH ₄ fluxes and R _{eco} for all vegetation types over the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007.	144
Figure 6.5: Overview of C-balance, ΔRM, ΔCS, ΔLB, R _{eco} and CH ₄ flux for all vegetation types per growing season.	146
Figure 6.6: C-balance versus GHG balance of all vegetation types for both growing seasons.	148
Figure 6.7: Factor loadings of the soil and vegetation variables on the three important factor axes.	153
Figure 7.1: Results of the comparison between the different flux chamber set-ups	169